|  | 1 |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PLANNING BOARD BOROUGH OF MONT | 1 | PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS |
| 2 | COUNTY OF BERGEN | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | July 3, 2018 |
| 3 | BLOCK 2702, LOT 1, BLOCK 2801, LOT 2 : and BLOCK 3201, LOT 6-TRIBORO SQUARE: | 3 | A-1 Id. Affidavit of Notice |
| 4 | - MERCEDES DRIVE, GRAND AVENUE AND : VOLUME 2 GLENVIEW AVENUE - PLANED UNIT | 4 | A-2 Id. L2A Plans, 26 Sheets |
| 5 | DEVELOPMENT, PRELIMINARY AND FINAL | 5 | Last Revised 5/17/2018 |
| 6 | SITE PLAN (PHASE I) PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN: (PHASE II) - GLENVIEW ROAD (PUD) | 6 | A-3 Id. $\underset{5 / 17 / 2018}{\text { L2A Completeness Response Letter }}$ |
| 7 | ----X | 7 |  |
|  | Council Chambers |  | A-4 Id. Landscape Plans by Parker Rodriguez |
| 8 | Municipal Complex 12 Mercedes Drive | 8 | 12 Sheets, Last Revised 3/2/2018 |
| 9 | Montvale, New Jersey Monday, July 30, 2018 | 9 | A-5 Id. $\begin{gathered}\text { Lessard Architectural Plans } \\ 30 \text { Sheets, Last Revised } 3 / 2 / 2018\end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | B EFOR E: | 10 |  |
| 11 | JOHN DePINTO CHAIRMAN | 11 | A-6 Id. E.I.S. Report by L2A, 3/2/2018 |
|  | FRANK STEFANELLI, VICE CHAIRMAN |  | A-7 Id. Survey by Gallas Surveying Group |
| 12 | JOHN CULHANE | 12 | 4/8/2016, Last Revised 7/20/2017 |
| 13 | ROSE CURRY, COUNCIL PRESIDENT, absent JIMMY D'AGOSTINO | 13 | A-8 Id. L2A Sewer Capacity Report, 3/6/2018 |
|  | MAYOR GHASSALI |  |  |
| 14 | WILLIAM LINTNER, absent MAGGIE O'NEILL | 14 | A-9 Id. $\underset{3 / 2 / 2018}{\text { L2A Storm Water Management Report }}$ |
| 15 | ANNMARIE RUSSO | 15 |  |
| 16 | DANTE TEAGNO | 16 | A-10 Id. L2A Storm Water Maintenance Manual 3/2/2018 |
|  | ROBERT REGAN, ESQ., BOARD ATTORNEY |  |  |
| 17 | DOREEN ROWLAND, ACTING BOARD SECRETARY JEFFREY FETTE, BOROUGH CONSTRUCTION CODE OFFICIAL | 17 | A-11 Id. NJ DEP Flood Hazard Permit and Verification, 12/7/2016 |
| 18 | DARLENE GREEN, PLANNER <br> ANDREW HIPOLIT, BOROUGH ENGINEER | 18 | A-12 Id. Traffic Impact Report by Dolan \& Dean |
| 19 |  | 19 | 2/28/2018 |
| 20 | A P P EARANCES: | 20 | A-13 Id. Settlement Agreement, 11/2017 |
|  | BEATTIE PADOVANO, LLC |  | With the Borough and S. Hekemian |
| 21 | BY: ANTIMO A. DEL VECCHIO, ESQ. 50 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 208 | 21 | B-1 Id. Completeness Letter, Maser, 5/25/18 |
| 22 | Montvale, New Jersey 07645 (201) 573-1810 | 22 |  |
| 23 | Attorneys for the Applicant, SHG Montvale NB, LLC | 23 |  |
| 24 | DONNA LYNN J. ARNOLD, C.C.R. | 24 |  |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Computerized Transcription Services } \\ & \text { (201) } 666-3490 \end{aligned}$ | 25 |  |
|  | 2 |  | 4 |
| 1 | WITNESSES |  |  |
| 2 | VOIR DIRE DIRECT | 1 | (Agenda) |
| 3 |  | 2 | CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Let's move on to |
| 4 | JERRY R. SIMON | 3 | the public hearing, the continuing public hearing on |
| 5 | BY: MR. DEL VECCHIO BY: THE BOARD | 4 | Block 2702, Lot1, Block 2801, Lot 2 and Block 3201, |
| 6 |  | 5 | Lot 6, Triboro Square - Mercedes Drive, Grand Avenue |
| 7 | EXHIBITS | 6 | and Glenview, Planned Unit Development, preliminary |
| 8 | PAGE | 7 | and final site plan, Phase 1, planning and |
| 9 | A-14 Id. $\underset{\text { Updated } 7 / 16}{\text { Architectural Drawings }} 38$ | 8 | development, preliminary site plan Phase II and |
| 10 | A-15 Id. Materials Board 39 | 9 | Glenview Road. |
| 11 |  | 10 | Let the record show that it's about 8:45. |
| 12 |  | 11 | MR. REGAN: 7:45. |
| 13 |  | 12 | CHAIRMAN DePINTO: 7:45. And that we |
| 14 |  | 13 | intend to conduct this hearing and we will run it to |
|  |  | 14 | 10:15. So that will be what, about $21 / 2$ hours? I |
| 15 |  | 15 | think that would be adequate tonight. |
| 16 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16 | Okay. Please continue. |
| 17 |  | 17 | MR. DEL VECCHIO: Good evening, Mr. |
| 18 |  | 18 | Chairman, members of the Board, Andy Del Vecchio, |
| 19 |  | 19 | member of the firm of Beattie, Padovano on behalf of |
| 20 |  | 20 | the applicant this evening. |
| 21 |  | 21 | We're here on continued public hearings |
| 22 |  | 22 | concerning the Triboro Square project. |
| 23 |  | 23 | Just to pick up on some of the housekeeping |
| 24 |  | 24 | items where we started before the hearing this evening |
| 25 |  | 25 | just so the Board is aware where we're going and how |

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give will be the truth so help you God.

MR. SIMON: I do.
MR. REGAN: For the record state your full
name and spell your last name.
MR. SIMON: Jerry Simon, S I M O N.
MR. REGAN: Jerry is the first name?
MR. SIMON: Correct.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DEL VECCHIO:
Q Mr. Simon, you are a licensed professional architect in the State of New Jersey?

A Yes, Iam.
Q Your license is currently valid and in good standing here?

A Yes, it is.
Q And could you give the Board a brief overview and benefit of your educational experience in the field of architecture?
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A I've been in the business approximately 25 years plus. My schooling was California Polytechnic Institute of University of Pomona, California and I'm licensed in several other states as well as New Jersey.

Q And where are you currently employed?
A Lessard Design. That's in Vienna,
Virginia.
Q And, Mr. Simon, at the last hearing your, the Lessard architectural plans that consisted of 30 sheets and I believe have a last revision date of March 2 on them were marked in as A-5 in the proceedings.

Those drawings were either prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, they were.
Q You have had an opportunity to review the property which is the subject of this application?

A Yes, I have.
Q As well as the neighborhood in which this property sits?

A Yes.
Q And you were provided with and had an opportunity to review the MPUD zoning requirements and the zoning requirements of the Borough of Montvale as 8
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they may pertain to this project?
A Yes.
MR. REGAN: Mr. Del Vecchio, there is no need to qualify him.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: I was just going to put his foundation in.

Yes.
MR. REGAN: I can ask one question, have you ever testified before any land use boards in New Jersey?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
MR. REGAN: And you were qualified as an architect?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
MR. REGAN: I recommend that he be
qualified, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: The Chair will accept
recommendation of counsel.
Please continue.
Q Mr. Simon, the drawings that were marked as A-5, the architectural plans, again they were prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Under my supervision, yes.
Q And you had your hand in the early stages of this project in developing the plan as it has grown

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio and matured into this final set.

Correct?
A That is correct.
Q And can you start with providing the Board with an overview of what the vision of this project was and we'll start focusing down into some of the details.

A Okay. The vision was really taking a lot of inspiration from the DePiero's Farm across the street which would be the color, the materials. Basically the forms, the forms that were, that were across the street and applying that to, to, well, right now there's the three buildings that we're going to present to you tonight.

And that was, that was really our main, our main focus, basically the residential buildings.

Q And a lot of care, thought and consideration went into each of the forms and the materials and the shapes and the final product is presented in these drawings?

A I would like to think so.
Q All right. Let's start with an overview, if you will, of what the project is from an architectural standpoint.

A Start with the site plan?
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Q An overview of which buildings are which and how you will --

MR. REGAN: It might be helpful if you go
sheet by sheet to follow along.
Q Let's start with the aerial overview.
A Sheet G01 shows the overview of both the Mercedes property and the DePiero's Farm property.

There are six buildings on the Mercedes site. Buildings 1 and 3 are residential, Building 2 is an office and retail, 4 and 5 are conceptual buildings that will be coming back in the future and Building 6 is also a hotel that would be coming back as well.

Q When we say it's coming back, you mean returning for final site plan approval with final designs and architecture presented for the use?

A Yes. These are based on the preliminary site plan.

Q Okay. Let's, if we can, turn the sheets.
Let's just touch upon what G2 is and we'll go over the details of it at a later point when we define some of the fine points.

What is G2 intended to represent?
A G2 basically what's being the tabulation of the entire site which breaks down to two residential buildings, the office building and
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Building 6 which is the hotel.
Q Now the takeaway from this is, it tells us how many total units are proposed in terms of a, of the residential on-site?

A It breaks down each, each type of unit per building and the number of parking spaces within that building.

Q And so if we were to look at the residential mix, how many total units are provided in Building 1?

A Building 1 is 156 units.
Q And of them how many are market rate and how many are proposed to be affordable units?

A We have a total of 22 affordable units and 134 market rate.

Q And the market rate units are predominantly one and two bedroom units?

A Yes.
Q There are --
A Some have dens in them.
Q There are one or two-bedrooms. There are no three-bedrooms.

Correct?
A On the market rate?
Q Correct.
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A Correct, that is correct.
Q And on the affordable units there is a mix
of one, two and three-bedroom units?
A That is correct.
Q The total number of one-bedroom units in
the affordable project or the affordable component of
this building is how many?

A Four.
Q How many two-bedrooms?
A 13 .
Q And how many three-bedrooms?
A Five.
Q And in terms of percentages, because they're important for our compliance with our settlement agreement, that breaks down into what percentage of one, two and three-bedroom units in this particular building?

A One-bedrooms were 2.56, two-bedrooms were 8.33 and the three-bedrooms were 3.21 .

Q And the total percentages for --
A The total for the affordable is one-bedroom is 18.18 percent, two-bedrooms is 59.09 and the three-bedroom is 22.73 percent.

Q Now in terms of Building 3, which is the second residential building, can you just give us the

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio
total number of units broken down to market and affordable units again?

A The total number of units is 152 . In that building there's 130 market rate and 22 affordable units as well.

Q And the affordable units are broken down virtually -- not virtually, exactly the same number in terms of quantity, bedroom type and percentages as is exhibited in Building 1 which is the first residential building.

Is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. Let's, from a total for this particular project on the Mercedes site, the total is 308 units of which 264 are market and 44 are affordable?

A Correct.
Q Let's turn the sheet from here, turning to Sheet G3.

You can, again, walk us through what this sheet represents.

A This is the site, represents the site plan showing Building 1, 2, 3 and 6 colored which we're speaking of tonight and Buildings 4 and 5 are right now with no color.
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Q And that no color is meant to designate they're in for only preliminary but not preliminary and final?

A That's correct.
Q Now in terms of the residential buildings, obviously in the middle it looks like there is a white area that is uncolored.

Could you tell us what that represents?
A That's the plaza area that is bordered by Buildings 1, 2 and 3.

Q And essentially -- let's turn, if we can as well, to Sheet G4 --

We're going to switch back to Sheet G3 for a minute.

A I'd like to actually present both Buildings 1 and 3. Essentially -- they're actually the same size. They're 236 feet in length and 244 in width. They're structured the same. They're a donut type building with an interior courtyard. They park, there is subterranean parking on both of them. Each one of them has a retail component of approximately 10,000 square feet plus a leasing center on the grounds floor and then there's three, three floors of, of residential above that.

So we'll go through the elevations.
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But as far as our approach, we approached the design the same way trying to break the massing down because they're such large buildings as far as length and the width of them. The idea was to break them down with color, material, even window applications. We have different sized windows. We have different treatments as far as mullions on the windows so -but, again, both buildings were approached the same.

Q All right. Switching to Sheet A-1.1.
A This is the Mercedes Drive and north elevation for Building 1. On the Mercedes, on the right side of the building that's the, you'll see on the bottom that is the retail component of that building and they are three floors of residential above.

And what we tried to do is, and what we believe we have done is articulate the building in its, its verticality as far as we have had a lot of roof changes, different style roofs and also within a horizontal plain the building adjusts as well plus the different materials. We usual -- we have a materials board to go over later but we're using a lot of different Masonite. We're using stone materials. We're using corrugated, contemporary corrugated metal finish on some of the buildings and the roofs as well,

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio along with with different colors. We're trying, again, to breaking the building up, trying to reduce the mass of this, of both of these buildings.

Q Jerry, the retail component of this building essentially occurs at the portion of this building that faces that interior plaza where the office Building No. 2 is focused at. So it is on that north elevation, if you will, that kind of faces towards Grand Avenue where that retail component faces?

A Correct. This building, actually between Building 1, 2 and 3, the, it's, it's lined with retail facing the plaza area.

Q And other than turning that corner very so, very so slightly, it has a small portion of that retail component that will face Mercedes Drive?

A Correct. That's typically the depth of the retail.

Q The rest of that is either retail or dedicated to the subterranean parking?

A Well, as parking for residential.
Q Sorry. Correct.
All right. The elevation at the bottom of the sheet, Sheet No. 2 or Elevation No. 2 is entitled plaza style elevation. Again, that is showing us

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio
where that retail faces to that interior plaza area that is between Building 1, 3 and the office building, No. 2?

A That is correct.
Q And, generally speaking, can you just give us a general sense of the height, the maximum height of this building?

A It is --
Q I guess when I asked you for height, I'm going to ask you for height just as an architect we calculated not necessarily the fine point calculation of how the Montvale ordinance requires us to calculate it which Mr. Dipple will cover.

A We're actually, I believe we're 58 feet to the mid portion of the roof on the, on the pitched roof.

Q And the number of stories?
A We're at three stories over, over retail, a total of four in terms of spots.

Q Sure.
A We have to turn the page.
63 feet from the retail to the flat portion of the roof and to the pitched roof we're actually 60 feet 4 inches to the midpoint of the pitched roof.

Q Okay. Both buildings are generally the
18
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A Yes, they are, generally.
Q And at some point we need to cover the exterior materials and I'm not sure whether you want to talk about the material board at this point and correlate it to the, the elevation Sheet 1.1 or do you want to do that later?

A I want to do that later because we're using pretty much the materials --

Q Okay.
A -- in both, both buildings.
Q All right. Let's, let's turn to Sheet A-1.2. This is which building now?

A This is Building 1. It's the rear elevation -- the west elevation is actually the entry to the garage. You could see on the lower portion we have a garage and then the three levels of residential above. And on the left side of the west elevation there, it looks like a retail component but that's actually leasing and amenities space for that building.

Q Mr. Simon, that rear elevation is in fact the elevation that would face the Garden State Parkway?

A That is correct.
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Q And the left side elevation?
A That's the south elevation which also shows the portion of the garage naturally ventilated with three stories of residential above it and, and a retail component.

Q If you turn to Sheet 1.3.
A $\quad 1.3$ is the basement parking layout.
Again, it enters from the west side. There is a lower lobby from the garage that you can take up into the ground floor lobby and amenity area through the garage.

We're just showing exiting for stairs. We're showing our elevator. We have two elevators located in this building.

Q So this basement floor plan shows the one point where vehicular ingress and egress occurs to the subterranean parking area?

A Correct.
Q And it shows two elevator opportunities for access into the upper levels of this building?

A Yes.
Q And we have a couple of stairwells that are depicted on the, what I'll call the front and back of the building, the east and west elevation.

What are those, Mr. Simon?
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A They're exiting, emergency exiting.
Q All right. If we can shift to Sheet 1.4.
A 1.4 is showing the approximate 10,000 square feet of retail, the leasing and amenities on the west side of the building and then a partial floor with retail.

Let's see. That's pretty much it.
Q Just in terms of the, the layout for the residential component, we have a u-shaped corridor that services the entirety of the floor?

A Correct.
Q Again, with multiple elevator opportunity accesses to provide up and down, vertical exiting from the floor?

A Correct. And also exiting from the, the interior courtyard.

Q Now the -- there are units that will face the, I think you described as the donut area and there are units that will have their glass facing the exterior of the building.

Can you just give us an explanation of what folks who have the interior units are likely to see or have in terms of glass and exposure to that donut area?

A Well, the interior -- the glazing, as far

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio as the amount of glazing won't change for the interior versus the exterior units but they will be facing an amenitized courtyard which the landscape architect will represent later on. The exterior of the building they're facing out into or facing, basically, Mercedes west elevation and the south elevation as well.

Q And those are as represented on the elevation sheets that we just went, went by a few moments ago?

A That's correct.
Q 1.2 and 1.3 ?
A Yes.
Q Let's switch the page. Sheet 1.5, explain this, what this represents.

A That would be a typical floor plate that has a double wided corridor that navigates the entire floor that the interior units, exterior units would realize with the elevator locations in a fashion.

Q That's a good, good time to hit those two items. There's a couple of places located on this drawing that are labeled mechanical or mechanical storage or with a large T .

Could you tell us what those all represent?
A They will be, they will be electrical rooms, some of these areas telephone, TV and then on
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the, what would be the northwest area where it shows the T., that's a, that's a trash room. It would be a direct shoot down to the, down to a trash room in the garage. And it would be recycling bins within each of those trash rooms as well.

Q Switch the drawing. 1.6.
A 1.6 shows a, a partial roof and a partial floor because the, on this particular building the retail space is on-grade and not within the parking so that portion of the building has been pushed up to, to complete the balance of the units. And that also shows where we pitched roofs throughout. Other than just the flat roof we have some different pitched roofs in different directions, again breaking up, trying to create more of a , a rural architecture.

Q Mr. Simon, portions of that rooftop that is labeled HVAC units, again a good time to talk about how these units will be heated and cooled and ventilated. They are not proposed -- there are no package units through the wall proposed for these units?

A No. They're typically split units, condensers will be on the roof. Condenser locations are normally situated at the corridors so they're internal from the face of the building.
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Again, typical units for these sizes are 30 by 30 inches by about 36 inches high so they won't be seen from the street.

That is, that is typically what -- we would have some walkway locations between those units.

Q Okay. We can shift the drawing.
A A-1.7 just shows the balance of the roof over the last I think there are 17 apartments on the north side.

Q And this demonstrates, again, a roof area for the HVAC units for those 17 units in that dropoff partial floor area?

A And, again, they would be located over the center corridor area.

Q And, again, would not be seen from grade given their placement, size and location?

A Correct.
Q All right. Let's shift the drawing.
A This is a typical section, longitudinal section and cross-section of the building showing the corridors with the residential above the garage and you could see where the retail component is on-grade so it's pushed that portion of the building up. And then the cross-section, BB, shows the units over the garage and courtyard.
$\square$
Jerry

Q There's no issue with, there's, obviously, an area labeled pool there. There is no issue with building a pool over the subterranean garage?

A No, there is not. And that I'm not necessarily, this is not necessarily dictating the location of it. That's up to the landscape architect.

Q Okay. If we can shift the drawing.
A Yeah, I would like to go off -- Building 3.

Q Okay. In order to stay with the residential component of the project we're going to shift sheets to Building No. 2.

Okay. We're switching over to Building 3 which is on Sheet A-3.3. We're doing this primarily because the residential buildings are virtually identical so you're going to be told that if we run through these sheets one-by-one with some minor differences because of location.

If you would, Jerry, Sheet A-3.3 represents?
A This is the basement floor. This is parking along with retail and the residential lobby. This is the building on the north side of the plaza.

Again, we're entering the garage structure from the west side.

Q That would be the Garden State Parkway
side?

A 152 spaces within that.
We're showing service roads, we're showing retail and residential trash areas that are separate.

Q That retail area again faces, it's on the side of the building that faces that interior plaza area that is anchored by the office component in this project?

A Correct. That's the south side of this particular Building 3.

Q Okay. Let's switch sheets?
A Sheet A-3.4 is the second floor. On this building we have the amenity on the second floor for the plaza, the courtyard of the plaza is located and which shows a, again, a typical floor unit plans that we're using throughout, locates the stairs, mechanical areas, locates -- again, this building has two elevators as well and the trash locations.

Q Again, this building will have its own separate amenity plaza located within the donut section of the building as we call it?

A Correct.
Q And then it will have its own interior residential amenity space interior to the building?

A Yes.
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Q Let's switch to 3.5.
A A-3.5 is a typical floor plate showing the how the balance of the floor plates on this building would be laid out, again showing the stair, exits, elevator locations and mechanical and storage locations.

Q If you can.
A A-3.6 is the roof plan. It shows, again, different sloping areas on the roof that we've, we've come up with. This does show the HVAC layouts located in the floor area. We did indicate 30 by 30 by 36 .

Q And, Jerry, again those locations are, they would not be visible to anyone at grade?

A Correct.
Q 3.7 is the cross-section of Building 3.
A Again, our longitudinal section and a cross-section.

Q And what is the height to the midpoint of the rafter on the longitudinal section?

A That is to the roof, to the flat roof line it's 38 feet and it's $42,42.06$ to the mid portion of the sloped roof.

Q And that 42.6 dimension is that side elevation that faces essentially Mercedes -- not Mercedes, Grand Avenue aways through the project?

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio as the backdrop to the plaza, again with a retail component at the base. So we, we want to really tone down that portion of the building at the plaza actually on its own.

And as you turn, the building on the north side, then we move into a little more contemporary look, we change in the building where we've got curve lines and that follows through on Sheet A-2.A where we have the west --

Q 2.2.
A Yes, A-2.2.
The west elevation is the front door to the office. There is a small lobby area and the elevators are taken to the second through fourth floor.

Q Just, again, to set everybody's perception or placement, that west elevation is the elevation that faces essentially the Garden State Parkway side of this property.

A And the tree revenue, yes.
And then that, again that glass, that light elevation, the light elevation follows around to the south side, again at the base we have a sign.

That retail component again is facing the plaza.
Q About how much of that building is retail, how much of it is office?
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A That's the longitudinal and cross-section of the office building.

Q All right. If you could change sheets.
A Sheet A-6.1.
Q We're switching to the hotel building which is Building No. 6 ?

A 6.
Q That building is for preliminary approval?
A Yes.
Q We are not seeking final at this time. This is meant to show a footprint with ordinance compliance in one particular manner. The final layout of this hotel will be dealt with at final when an operator is selected.

A We're just trying to indicate how this, how this particular footprint and the program would be programmed.

Q So if you could give us an overview of what you're showing on Sheet 6.1?

A At the top we're showing a below parking structure that would park 51 spaces. The balance of it would be parked on the surface.

On the ground floor level we are showing, again, a basic program. It's a small conference center, lobby. You come into the hotel, administrative area,
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cafe, indoor pool and a kitchen and back of house that, again, would be programmed for about 2,000 square feet which would be determined with the final user in mind.

Q And that back of house is abbreviated BOH on that drawing?

A Correct.
Q If you would.
A A-6.2 shows a basic program. This is a 150 key hotel programmed which shows a basic room layout, how it would be configured, vertical circulation with elevators, mechanical service, exiting requirements which would be the typical floor plan at the top and then a roof plan based on that configuration we're showing at the bottom.

Q Mr. Simon, just so we appreciated that T-shape of the hotel building is meant to create a covered area for drop-off at the first level?

A Yes. It's a porte cochere with rooms above it.

Q And how many floors is the hotel programmed at on this drawing?

A Four.
Q If you could change sheets.
A Sheet A-6.3 is a longitudinal section,
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cross-section of that program.
Q The overall height of the hotel to the flat roof to the top of the parapet?

A 52 feet on the back side of the lower, the, on the west side where 81 feet goes down to the bottom portion of the parking.

Q Okay. All right. If you can switch sheets.
7.1 is an attempt to kind of put it altogether in the context of what currently exists in this area?

A We're trying to relate the form that was used on the buildings versus what's happening at DePiero's Farm.

Q Can you maybe just point out some areas where those forms correlate between the two projects?

A Throughout the project that's just a --
Q If you could point out a couple examples?
A Well, there's, there's gable roofs on a lot of these buildings. We have some flat roofs, obviously, on the secondary buildings out along Mercedes.

Q You're talking about the existing DePiero Farms project as you're making these descriptions. Correct?
A Yes. There are gable roof styles, there

## Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio is flat roofs.

Q And do these appear on the Mercedes site?
A Yes, they do, throughout.
Q Can you just, again, point out a couple locations on Mercedes where those gable roofs and other forms are showing themselves?

A Well --
Q Which, which building and where.
A Building 3 -- actually Building 1 if you're facing Mercedes on the northeast side and we have two opposing gables and on the northeast side of Building 3 we have two opposing gables. So then we have on Building 1 we have some gables that actually is towards the middle of the structure as well.

Q Okay. And what I would like to do is hold signage off at this point.

A Actually, if -- on A-7.2 although this is a signage sign, in the plaza area you'll see a small rectangular pink portion that's on the northeast side. That is actually a pavilion, a coffee pavilion which is depicted on $\mathrm{A}-7.5$.

MR. HIPOLIT: Say that again.
A On Sheet A-7.2 which is the signage location, if you look at the plaza, there is a small rectangular, rectangular building that's on the
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northeast portion of the plaza, the corner. That's actually depicted on Sheet A-7.5. That's a pavilion, a free-standing pavilion.

THE WITNESS: Did I confuse you? MR. HIPOLIT: No. I just, I mean I'll just say a coffee pavilion across from Starbuck's, does that make sense?

THE WITNESS: Well, it's a cafe. There could be, there could be a, multiple uses in that for lack of a better, that's what we called it.
MR. HIPOLIT: Okay.

A It's a steel and glass building with a flat roof, very transparent. There is an interior office and an employee restroom. It's designed to have the front of the coffee which is facing south into the plaza, that whole, that whole portion of the building is designed to be opened up as glass garage doors if you will, bi-folds so it creates a canopy and makes an open air cafe.

And we are using, again we're using the same materials, we are pulling materials from our pallet for this building as well. It's very simple, very, very light material, transparent.

Q And that building, what is the footprint use within that building as you programmed it?
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A It's approximately 20 by 40 . It's a
little over 800 square feet with the restroom. Again, it can be a cafe. It could be an ice cream parlor. It could be multiple uses. We just haven't determined that.

Q In addition to the restroom area there is a service, a hot box, mechanical space within that?

A Yes.
Q All within the 800 square feet that you dimensioned.

Is that correct?
A Actually --
Q Or is that in addition to?
A That's, well the, the mechanical hot box is, is actually is not included in that.

The service is outside. This is all outside.
Q So if you can go over what those uses are, whether inside or outside and compare approximate measures?

A The cafe itself is 20 by 40 with an internal office. There is a 7 by 7 restroom attached next to the office. That's, that the employees would go. It's a covered area but they would go outside and back into the water, bathroom.

There is an open service area with mechanical

Jerry Simon - Direct - Mr. Del Vecchio equipment to the, to the east and there's a hot box that apparently is needed to service Building 2 because I think it's the setback of the, I think it's $150-125$, they can only run along 125 feet and then we have to have put this hot box in, in the in-line before it goes into the office, Building 2.

Q Okay. Let's see if we can turn the page.
A Lastly, A-7.6 is a salt storage building. It's 26 by 53. And it will contain two small, small front loaders and also one-half of the building will be storage for salt for the project.

Q And it's a fully enclosed structure?
A It's fully enclosed, roof, garage doors.
Q The approximate dimensions and the finishes for that building?

A Again, it's 26 by 53. And we're using a steel, similar, similar character as the, as the cafe except it's enclosed with a rustic finish to it.

Q And where is this building approximately located in the context of Buildings 1,2 and 3 ?

A We go back to G03, the south side of Building 1.

As you enter, there is a small out building next to the parallel parked area to the south.

Q So it's generally located to the far back
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corner of this project behind the -- it's not a fair description -- let's say located to the south of Building 1 and to the rear of Building 1 to service this site?

A Yes.
Q And that's intended to provide the necessary means for salt storage and snow removal --

A Snow removal.
Q -- for the surface parking areas of the plaza on this site?

A Correct.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: All right. At this point I think we should switch the exterior materials.

Before we do that, let's just do a couple
housekeeping items.
Mr. Regan, with your permission we're up to A-14.

What I'd like to do is mark the architectural drawings that were updated?

MR. REGAN: July 16th?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Yes, to July 16th as
A-14.
And I'd like to mark the materials board which Mr. Simon is about to testify to is, marked as A A-8.0. It is a hard foam board with exterior stone,
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siding material depicted, labeled under the Lessard title block, Mr. Simon will provide greater detail in a moment, as A-15.

Q Mr. Simon, if you could give us an overview of what, of what A-8.0 represents?

A This is the material board that we're presenting. It shows the number of different, at the top the number of different metal colors that we would be using throughout the project, the different sidings, colors as far as the Hardie siding on the buildings. We also have a Nichiha which is a, we're using this in different areas all, again on all of the buildings.

Q Mr. Simon, Let's go back to the siding material for a second, spend a minute if we can. The siding material you indicated is a cementitious board?

A Yes.
Q And the colors that are called out on each of those are labeled on that materials board?

A Yes, they are.
Q Would you tell us what they are?
A We have Arctic White, Gray Slate, Pearl Gray and Rich Espresso and on the, on the Nichiha it's called Espresso.

Q And where would one, give me a couple
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A If you will go to A-1.1 on the Mercedes elevation and also on the north elevation if you look, you'll see -- let's go to the north elevation. On the lower right side, That is, that's the Nichiha, the Tobacco.

And then we have different, you see the different gradations, grade scale gradations that we're using on the different portions of the building itself.

And then we have called out the, as far as the cementitious siding, vertical, horizontal, we have called that out on the plans as well.

Q All right. Let's go to the next set of materials on that board.

A The masonry, the stone materials would be used at the base of the building to give it length and girth at the base and that would typically, and that would change throughout depending on the retailer.

There would be a retail component as well given the fact that it's, you can touch it. It's a lot heartier holding up to abuse. That would be
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throughout. Again, that's -- we would be using that in all of the buildings at the base as well.

Q Are there particular colors or names associated with any one of those products that you would call out for the record?

A They're, they're Arriscraft. That is the manufacturer. And we have Driftwood, Montecita and Dusk.

Q And the materials on the bottom right corner of A-8.0 where are those throughout -- yes.

A The stone we were just talking about.
Q Yes. And what color is on that -- that material on the far right, what color is that? The one you just had your hand on.

A That's Dusk.
Q And examples of where that product are exhibited on your elevation drawings?

A There are different portions. They would be at the retail base, in some cases they're at the, we have used it on the garage.

Q So those are at the first floor level of either the retail, residential or garage portions of the elevations?

A Always looking at the --
Q And the top row of materials, the metal on
monument sign is located on Grand as you enter the projects.

Q You said you had a better location with where the primary monument sign is located. Can you, for the record, indicate that?

A It's based on the corner of Grand and Mercedes Drive.

Q And on the drawing it's depicted as a pink square?

A Pink, that's good enough. There's -right now we're showing 36 feet off of Grand Avenue and 20 feet off of Mercedes.

Q Let's, let's go over the details of the monument sign first and then we'll go back to the other signs.

That requires a shift to Sheet 7.3 , does it not?
A Yes, it does.
Q Let's talk about what the monument sign is and your thought and design concept.

A Sheet 7.3, the primary monument sign is the top three illustrations that we have used and it's a simple, simple square form with a gabled roof, metal roof on it and material that we would use is going to be that of DePiero's so it has a rustic feel to it and
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Q And the sign text on it obviously other than Triboro Square, we obviously don't know the name of the tenants that would go on there. But what is, what composes, what material is used to create the text on that sign?

A Right now we're, we're showing a channel cut and we would like to see it back lit by channel cut sign.

Q And can you give us the approximate dimensions of the monument sign?

A It is 12 feet to the, 12 feet in height to the apex of the roof and it's 8 feet, it's an 8 foot square sign, monument.

Q And how many sides of that square will have text applied to it?

A Two.
Q And can you identify what, which two of those sides are?

A One side facing Grand Avenue, the other facing Mercedes Drive.

Q And I'm not sure if you calculated this but the approximate square footage of the sign component of that structure which would mean not
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including the pinnacle of the apex roof?
A The sign facing it would be 9 by 8 .
Q So roughly 72 square feet?
A Each side.
Q Okay. Let's switch back to 7.2. The next category sign that is depicted?

A The entrance monument sign. And that's located on Mercedes Drive across the street from the entrance to the DePiero's Farm's entry.

Q That would be the signalized traffic location on that street currently.

Correct?
A And the next entrance to the south.
Q That would be the signage located almost directly across the southern most exit to the DePiero's project as well?

A And that's also depicted on Sheet A-7.3, the three lower sign designs.

Q Can you roughly give us the dimensions, material, type of lettering and lighting proposed?

A As far as the text and material how it would be handled would be the same as the monument sign on the Triboro Square. Again, we don't know who the tenants would be. That sign is 12 feet to the pinnacle and it's 7 foot in width.
23
25
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1 $\mathrm{Q} \quad$ And it has how many faces to it?
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A A-7 -- Sheet A-7.4 you see a pedestrian wayfinding sign, that that's 6 feet in height, 2 feet in width, generally to have signage on one side only. And it would be lit with, it would be lit from the ground.

Q And those are essentially channel cut or some sort of metalic letters used to create text?

A Yes.
Again, tenants would have to be determined.
Q All right. And finally let's go back to 7.2.

A The brown is, the next sign is wall signs for the communities. That being signs that would be -- excuse me. I'm sorry. -- the purple wall signs for tenants and they're shown on Building 1 on the east side and on the north side of Building 1, adjacent to or with the retail.

Building 2, that's facing east towards the plaza.

Building 3, it's facing south, again towards the plaza associated with the retail space.

Q I notice that the drawing we're looking at, 7.2, has a number of dotted lines drawn in the retail area.

Is that a fixed number of tenants that is
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projected or is that just shown for illustrative purposes?

A Just illustrated purposes.
Q So there could be fewer or a greater number of tenants within that space.

This is just illustration at this point?
A Yes.
Q And, obviously, as you have heard with the DePiero Farms signage, the signage without tenants in place for the center has not been selected.

Correct?
A Correct.
Q But you've come up with some --
A Generic.
Q -- themes of signage that would work in this design that you created for the overall site?

A Yes.
Q Could you just go over it with us some of those themes?

A If you go to A-7.4. And, these are just concepts that could happen as far as different styles, different applications. Some of them are back lit, some of them are channel lit, some of them are lit externally.

Q And most of them appear to be channel cut
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letter type signage, nothing with a sign box?
A That's correct, individual letters.
Q And any one of those in your type of signs, in your opinion, would be acceptable in terms of marrying the design theme that you envision for the site?

A Correct.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: For the record, I did not give any guidance on what colors to show or not to show on the signage design.

Q If you can turn that. There is also a proposed sign on the cafe building. Obviously, we named it cafe but it could be called Tenant X whatever that tenant may be as well?

A That's correct.
Q And that would be similar to the wall sign as opposed to the retail tenants?

A Similar application.
Q For the most part, those retail signs for the center are all interior facing to the courtyard or to the plaza area that is anchored by the office building.

Correct?
A Yes.
Q There are a couple of signs on the corners
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that face Mercedes on Building 1 and 3 and the retail
that is set back, the office building footprint also
has some retail that would face into the plaza
therefore also face Mercedes as well.
A Correct.
questions of Mr. Simon at this point and make him
available to the Board for their questions.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think before we open
up for questions of this witness, I think we should take a five minute break and then resume, a 10 minute break. We will resume at 9:00.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Sure.
(A recess is taken.)
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. The meeting will come to order.

Okay. The Board has just heard the testimony of Jerry Simon from Lessard Design.

And before we do open the meeting to the public, I am going to start first with Board Members for questions and then I'm going to go on to Board professionals. And I think I'm starting with Ms. Russo. Questions.

I'm sorry. Mayor Ghassali, questions of
Mr. Simon.
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MAYOR GHASSALI: The plaza, it's just an open space, the one in front of the office.

THE WITNESS: No. There is a design that
the landscape architect will present. It's got a number of functions within the plaza itself. It's an active plaza.

MAYOR GHASSALI: Function is going to be
like a public space?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MAYOR GHASSALI: Okay. And to access the, the inside of the building, what's called the amenity plaza, what's inside there?

THE WITNESS: The Buildings 1 and 3 the courtyards, at this point in time I'm assuming there's going to be barbecues, most likely a pool, gazebo, soft sitting areas. Again, that's designed by the landscape architect which she will be presenting.

And there will be similar components to, for both Buildings 1 and 3 .

MAYOR GHASSALI: So the design as a whole,
in my opinion, doesn't match anything what DePiero looks like.

I know when you first started your testimony you're going to take the DePiero and carry it over to this site. I don't see it. I don't see the
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correlation, the colors, the material, the DePiero is light and fresh and barns and overhangs and columns.

This looks completely different than what I expected. And maybe there's a change in the future or, I don't know, but there is no, there is no tie-in between this and the DePiero. It looks like a whole different plan just taken from there and put -there's no connection between the two. It doesn't -the intention, I didn't see it.

The signs, I, I wish you didn't show us the signs. They actually don't fit anywhere here.

The main one looks like, looks like, it looks like a mausoleum. There's no fit in there. I just don't see it. And I'm trying, I'm really trying. THE WITNESS: I appreciate that. MAYOR GHASSALI: I wanted to -- the whole thing, I actually went on the site and at one point Mr. Hekemian had sent a picture over, what he envisions this to be.

And it looks something like this but, Mr.
Chairman, if I can share -- Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Sure.
MAYOR GHASSALI: They sent this over so
this would be the plaza and around it is the
development. The overhangs, this, you know, some
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tie-in to the DePiero rather than just one wall, different colors.

This is from Hekemian himself. This is, I don't know, four or five months ago in discussions.

So not exactly that but something that ties in the two together is what we were thinking of, what else we were thinking of.

You can take it back from -- if you can look at that.

THE WITNESS: Well, that's, that's a craftsman style. But I, I understand the concern and part of our problem, if you will, is DePiero's, I mean those are, those are one story buildings. So they're much easier to -- I mean we're trying to translate that into basically a four-story building, that Buildings 1 and 3 are almost the same size as Wegman's so -- and we look at the scale of it. So that, that's part of our reasoning for breaking the buildings down with different styles.

MR. HIPOLIT: Let me ask you a question.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Hold on one second. I
want technical review last. I want Board Members questions and then we'll go on.

MR. HIPOLIT: No problem.
MAYOR GHASSALI: My comment or feedback on
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this, I don't see the tie-in between the two.
I agree with, you know, the whole design in the
two buildings, the office and the hotel, that's what we talk about all the time but the actual outside of it does not tie-in to the DePiero and that's what I was looking for.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
Mr. D'Agostino.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: So, yeah. I guess I
would kind of echo the Mayor's comment. And I could see the, the attempt to pull in some of those materials. I guess it's kind of a modern spin on the DePiero site. Without a little more detail it's kind of hard to tell exactly what those finishes look like.

To that point, do you have an example of the decorative or deco grill that would be around the garage openings.

THE WITNESS: No, I do not. MR. D'AGOSTINO: And I guess just one other comment.

In looking at the eastern elevation between Building 1 and Building 2, Building 1 has, I guess, a lot of the character in that ridge line, a lot of gables. Building 2 doesn't have as many. So I think --
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THE WITNESS: The office building you're
referring to?
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Building 3 I'm -- the two
residential buildings don't match the character.
THE WITNESS: You're referring to?
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Building 1 has a lot of
gables on the eastern exposure, eastern elevation.
Building 2 -- Building 3 does not have as many.
I think Building 1 is actually, with the flow of it, some of that is topography, does add a lot of character with all those different ridge lines on the eastern exposure specifically to that as a form of building.

If you look at Building 3, it kind of looks like a three story office building.

THE WITNESS: I will certainly look at
that.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: That's it, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

## Miss O'Neill.

MS. O'NEILL: Do you have any intention of
providing like actual line drawing elevations to the Board or are we just looking at renderings of the building?

I'm looking for dimensioned elevations, like
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line elevations not just pictures.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: If there is a particular
elevation or something you need us to demonstrate.
MS. O'NEILL: Well, I'm just having a
difficult time physically seeing -- I, I, I can look
at the massing as presented on the building but from
professional experience of doing it, renderings are
kind of easy to manipulate to show what you want to
show and to hide what you want to hide and that is the point of having line elevations that are dimensions that I can be able to see how far things project to make up a scale and to look at the actual dimensions.

So, if possible, I would really appreciate those.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: From which, which
buildings, all the buildings, some of the buildings, which view, from Mercedes, from Grand? Which street line?

MS. O'NEILL: If we're starting somewhere, all of the buildings from Mercedes but realistically,
I would, if possible, I would like all of those, the facades of all of the buildings.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Okay.
MS. O'NEILL: Additionally, does the
sample board have a sample of what the mechanical
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screen is? There's a mechanical screen noted on one of the renderings of the elevations.

THE WITNESS: It will be -- well, on the office building it would be, I think it's, I think it's the Slate Gray.

MS. O'NEILL: So it's a panel not a
screen?
THE WITNESS: Well, colorwise it will be, it will be an open screen but we can certainly supply that to you as well.

MS. O'NEILL: Additionally, what -there's a note for a deco shutter, I assume that's a decorative shutter.

THE WITNESS: For the garage?
MS. O'NEILL: It's also listed on A-1.2,
the rear side elevation it's, there's an arrow pointing to nothing. I don't know if that's a leftover note that just wasn't removed or if there's supposed to be a decorative shutter there. If there are going to be detective shutters, I would like to see a detail of one perhaps or at least a material note, also where else they would be located because I think having shutters in one place that look --

THE WITNESS: No. Actually, that is, that is --
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MS. O'NEILL: That's a leftover.
THE WITNESS: That's a miss, no shutters.
MS. O'NEILL: On A-1.1, on the plaza side, elevation north, this is probably the reason why I want line elevations. I think the roof leader is drawn a little bit wrong. It looks a little too low, if you look in between th two front gables side-by-side, that roof leader looks very low.

I don't know how effective that would be.
I also think if we are revising some of the plans on floor plans north arrows would be incredibly helpful.

And then jumping to the signage on -- I do second the Mayor's comments that the primary monument sign does kind of look like a mausoleum. I understand the intention with the materials but I think they blend together a little bit too much.

On the entry monument sign, I think having the word directory there is unnecessary, redundant and just makes the sign look crowded.

And then I don't see or correct me if I'm wrong, signage examples for vertical wall banners. They are listed on the, on 7.2 as extending out from the buildings. I don't know if they're just drawn as extending all the way out to the parking lot area or
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if we're picturing something radically different from what I'm used to with a wall banner. Usually I'm expecting a maximum of a foot.

THE WITNESS: Okay. We show a blade sign but not a banner.

MS. O'NEILL: Okay. What about the other thing? Are we counting the blade sign as a wall sign? Blade signs aren't listed as types of signs.

THE WITNESS: Well, what we're indicating that we would certainly like to have an option.

MS. O'NEILL: Okay. I think you could just add that to the list of sign types.

I skipped one in the beginning. But I also think it would be incredibly helpful if we could indicate just where the doors are on the floor plans for the bedrooms. It's kind of hard to get a feel for the circulation of the building without just entry doors drawn.

I know that layouts would be unrealistic at this point. But, there are -- this building does kind of have a bunch of little nooks and crannies and things like that that I would like to see.

And I also presume that the widths of, hallway widths are ADA compliant. But a note with that would be great.
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THE WITNESS: Okay. So you're asking for front doors located --

MS. O'NEILL: Yeah. Just front doors and then there's also, I think, flipping, kind of the notations of some of the labelings for some of the bedrooms, that they're not upside down or backwards.

There's also a couple missing on A-1.4.
And then I think on one of the floor plans lease is spelled wrong.

That's it. I'm done.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
Mr. Teagno.
MR. TEAGNO: Yes. Just a couple things.
The monument signs on A-7.3, are they meant to be concepts or representative of what is actually going to be proposed to be built?

THE WITNESS: Well, we were presenting
them as a, to be built.
MR. TEAGNO: So you have, for example, on the primary monument sign you have three tenants on each side?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. TEAGNO: Were you planning on listing all the tenants?

THE WITNESS: No, just -- well, not all
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the tenants because we don't know how many tenants will be there at this point. Right now we're showing just three.

MR. TEAGNO: Okay. The reason I'm asking
you is because you have, by my count, on page A-7.2,
you have at least seven retail tenants and I don't
know how many office tenants you're going to have in Building 2.

THE WITNESS: Well, again, on A-2 those, those are just representations as far as how it could be split. There maybe one single user. I don't know at this point.

MR. TEAGNO: Okay. I'm just saying I don't think three on each side is sufficient for the number of tenants that you would have, both office and retail. That's why I asked if you intended to list all of the tenants on these signs?

THE WITNESS: No. Their based on --
MR. TEAGNO: Based on records or something.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Just so you're aware, the ordinance only allows the primary monument sign to have the name of the development and up to three tenants.
2
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MR. TEAGNO: So that's why it's depicted the way it is.

Okay. Thank you.
On A-7.2 you have the color coded sign on signs on the right-hand side, the bars. And I think you covered everything except, you may have mentioned this, is the little pink coffee shop on the plaza, as I don't know what color because some of these colors I don't know if it's pink or purple or whatever.

But there's a sign on the Mercedes Benz side and on the plaza side of that.

THE WITNESS: That should actually be a
wall sign. It should be purple.
MR. TEAGNO: Okay. Because it's not labeled. It does not -- the others have an arrow pointing to the color bar telling what kind of sign it is and there's nothing pointing to those two. So I was just wondering.

THE WITNESS: It would be a tenant wall sign. We'll correct that.

MR. TEAGNO: So that would be purple. The
fourth one down. Okay.
And the last thing, this may not be anything that you presented here but it looks like there are three methods of ingress and egress into the site, one
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off Grand Avenue and two off of Mercedes Drive.
Are we going to have some testimony from someone on traffic flow, how many lanes, which way it turns, so on and so forth?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Yes but let me just take a step back.

There's three entrance and exit points on
Mercedes and there's at least one currently shown on Grand.

MR. TEAGNO: I'm sorry. You're right.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: And Ms. Dolan is sitting
behind me. She's our traffic engineer. We're
probably not going to get to that portion of traffic
tonight if we get to traffic at all but, yes, it's coming.

MR. TEAGNO: My question regarding --
you're right. There's three off of Mercedes. I
neglected to see one of them.
But the one off Grand is, since that's a county road, does that need county approval for that entrance and exit?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Yes. Mr. Hipolit was kind enough to --

MR. HIPOLIT: Yes, it does.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Mr. Hipolit was kind
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enough to speak to the county and they have told us what Mr. Hipolit would like us to do and the next iteration of the drawing will show what the county will allow us at that location.

MR. TEAGNO: So that's actually going to be an entrance and exit. It's not going to be closed off like it is today.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Correct.
MR. TEAGNO: I'll wait until the proper time.

Okay. Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
Mr. Culhane.
MR. CULHANE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
On Sheet G, G02, in your assignment of the
affordable units, as part of the settlement there's 350 units of housing allowed and 53 units for affordable housing.

So the way I read the numbers, Glenview would get 42 units of housing of which nine would be affordable. That gives me a percentage of about 21 percent versus Buildings 1 and 3 having roughly 14 percent distribution. I think we should be taking two of the units away from Glenview and assigning them to Building 1 and Building 3 so there would be more even
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distribution through, I'll call it, three sites.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Unfortunately, the settlement agreement and the ordinance allows us to do that calculation over the entirety of the site. It does not require us to do it on a building-by-building calculation. So we're simply following the settlement agreement and the ordinance provision there.

MR. CULHANE: One of the things I'm assuming would be part of the overall site development plan, what kind of amenities are being provided for 308 families with children both for the younger children and the teens, kind of an on-site facility that is being provided.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Within the donut area there will be a full amenity plaza which is being programed by our landscape and hardscape consultant and you'll hear that testimony in the near future.

MR. CULHANE: Will there be anything else beyond that area?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Just that area as well as whatever is provided in the plaza area that's located in front of the main plaza or the office plaza area in front of --

MR. CULHANE: It doesn't strike me as being, I'll call it, teenage, both male and females,
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something like basketball, sports of that simil nature are not being provided for.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: No. We're not -- I
don't envision a soccer court or basketball court.
MR. CULHANE: I'm not asking for that.
It's kind of large but basketball to me is not that kind of large.

Anyway, I think there should be more consideration given for other amenities.

On the basement plan, drawing A-1.3, where you located the trash, it's kind of inconvenient from where the elevator is located.

Would it be more convenient for the residents to have the trash closer to where the elevator is.

THE WITNESS: Well, the trash, there is a trash shoot that, on each floor, you can get to the trash shoot. You don't have to go down in the basement.

MR. CULHANE: Okay. So you don't have to go down.

THE WITNESS: Right. You're not having to go into the garage to put your trash in.

Each floor has a trash shoot and recycling.
MR. CULHANE: Okay. I was going to ask about recycling. I didn't see that.
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On the roof plan I notice you have penthouse for the elevator on the northwest corner but I didn't see the penthouse for the elevator on the southeast corner nor did I see how you plan to access that elevator.

THE WITNESS: Access it from the roof.
MR. CULHANE: So the mechanical went from the one spare to the other corner of the building?

THE WITNESS: There would be -- are you referring to Building 1, A-1.6, is that the sheet you're on?

MR. CULHANE: A-1.7 I see the penthouse.
A-1.6 is the fourth floor plan.
Yeah. That's the elevator but --
THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. CULHANE: Where is the penthouse for the elevator on the southeast corner is basically what I'm asking.

THE WITNESS: That actually --
MR. CULHANE: It shows on the, one of the elevations if I remember, the cross-section you showed it.

THE WITNESS: Well, to get on to the roof there will be an access door from that hallway with a stair that's located on the east side to get to the roof. Because they, obviously, have to service the
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condensers on the roof as well.
These elevators most likely would be a Gen2 which has the equipment that hangs on the equipment. There is no equipment up in the penthouse.

MR. CULHANE: These are traction elevators?

THE WITNESS: We're trying to keep them low as far as the, the penthouse.

MR. CULHANE: But they're traction
elevators, I assume, as opposed to hydraulic.
THE WITNESS: Well, we can be hydraulic as
well but --
MR. CULHANE: The only trouble with
hydraulic is what kind of speed are you going to use?
If you use 100, it's kind of slow.
THE WITNESS: Well, we're going up four
floors. There is that, there is that option to go
four floors. You could go five.
MR. CULHANE: You can't go to 200 on the
hydraulics also.
The office building, I don't recall you saying
too much about it but that bay window where it
overhangs from the third floor --
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. CULHANE: -- what's the purpose, to
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give some relief to the overall profile of the building.

THE WITNESS: It's kind of a teaser to get you around to the other side.

We've taken that bay because there is a punched opening and we kind of slid it over just as a, just as a little teaser.

MR. CULHANE: And on the north side of Building 1 and the south side of Building 3 on the elevations I notice there's a series of seating arrangements.

Is that going to be detailed on the landscape plans?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it will.
MR. CULHANE: No other questions at this time, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
Mr. Fette.
MR. FETTE: Just a comment. I remember
from our Site Plan Review Committee meeting you were talking about trying to blend to match what was done on the DePiero site and in your testimony you said the same thing but I listened to what the Mayor said and I agree with him. I don't really see a whole lot of blending between the two sites based on the
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from the upper one down to the lower one but I don't think that would work. There's too many units on the roof.

The plan states composite windows and states glass windows. So what's your, what type of composite windows are we talking about?

You know, is it aluminum/glass, is it aluminum, are they vinyl?

You know, I think what I would like to see is a sample of the windows for the development. I think that we should have a sample of what that's going to look like.

In Building 1 you have the retail, the retail -I was wondering if the retail area is going to have access to the amenity plaza because they're kind of on the same level.

THE WITNESS: No, it won't.
Depending on how many users there will be, there will be a service corridor in the back.

VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Along the plaza area.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Okay. So what
about -- I, I see the roof areas and that and there's nothing about -- can you explain to us about any projection about LEED or solar panel or, you know, Jerry Simon - The Board
what are we doing for energy conservation, you know, for this project?

It's a large project, you know. I'd like to see some LEED certification as part of this. And I was wondering if the owner is, is thinking about something not just, not just complying with the energy code.

So that one, you don't have to answer it tonight but I would like to have an answer at the next meeting.

The salt shed, I have a concern that you're not going to be able to -- I think, I think, I think a year from now, once it's developed and you have your first couple of snow storms, I, I think that may be inadequate. And I'm willing to say what size you need. It doesn't have to be heated, some of that but I think, I think that area needs to be looked at. Because even if it's a contractor, a contractor is going to want to keep equipment on that site because it's such a big site.

MR. HIPOLIT: It's way too small.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Yeah. I know.
So what I'm saying is I think the building for
the area may be way too small based on my experience.
The, the hotel, I'm concerned about and I'm not,
I'm not the Fire Department but access to the rear in
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case of a fire, that would be a concern of mine.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: That is being addressed
in the drawings that I mentioned to you earlier. That
was a comment in one of the review letters.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: I didn't read the review letter.

The other thing is you did mention signs and I
saw that the first entrance on Mercedes, I see it
looks like there's a sign but it's not marked.
Is that -- or there was something on that drawing at that entrance.

THE WITNESS: I think that's a, a stop
line.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Oh, is that?
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: It's not in the
driveway. It's on the side there.
THE WITNESS: Well, there's a, there's a,
there's some retaining walls there.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Right there in
that little, can you see on that, on the right-hand
side of the drawing?
THE WITNESS: During A-11.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: It looks like a
bar sign.
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Wouldn't you guys have a sign there? That's
what I'm questioning.
THE WITNESS: We can add more signs.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: No, on the
other side.
THE WITNESS: Well, it's a bench.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: It's a bench?
But wouldn't we look at putting signage there?
It's the first entrance there.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: We'll put as many signs
as you would let us have.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Well, it's the
first one coming into it. I think you have the hotel and you have two other things, I would rather see the traffic go there first and then drive down and then come back on the site, site circulation.

And then my only other comment, I, I agree with you're looking for a final and I think that some of the, the dimensioning on the lines of the buildings should be and I think it should be at least the Mercedes Drive side, you know, and the plaza I would think that, I would like to see a dimensional drawing.

I'm the only one to disagree. I think how you make a four-story building look like a barn, I think it's very difficult. I think the breaking up of the
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materials is good. It might not be the color scheme that we want or something like that but I think breaking it up, maybe additional roof lines would, would help it. But, but I think materialwise, the selection, I think, of the type and the stories I think is good. We just need to work on it a little bit.

End of my comments.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
Ms. Russo.
MS. RUSSO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I would agree with Mayor Ghassali that, you know, the, we were kind of hoping, I think, all of us that it was going to match DePiero's but not in a barn sense, maybe make it look more countryish, a little more quaint. It's very modern and it doesn't fit esthetically with the way everything else has been going.

That's just my opinion.
Buildings 1 and 3, Jimmy is right, they don't match.

Building 3 looks very, very flat. It looks like flat with a couple of corners on it and it's kind of office building looking.

I disagree, I don't think the sign looks like a
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monument or what did you guys say, mausoleum. I think
it looks like a dog house. To me, I was waiting
for --
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which is worse.
MS. RUSSO: I'm sorry. I think it looks
like a dog house.
MR. FETTE: Totally how you feel.
MS. RUSSO: I'm sorry. It's just my
opinion.
MR. FETTE: Not at all.
MS. RUSSO: And then Building 2, the, the
window maybe it's because I have a three year old but it looks like you forgot to put the block into the light house.

THE WITNESS: It brings your attention to it.

MR. FETTE: It's a giant Jenga game.
MS. RUSSO: I want to shove it back in.
Other then that, that's pretty much all I have.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.
Can we go to page A-1.3. It shows the parking layout on Building 1.

Could you describe the access point, No. 1?
THE WITNESS: It's accessed from the west
side of the site.

```
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    CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And there is only one
access point?
    THE WITNESS: Correct.
    CHAIRMAN DePINTO: With respect to
complying with fire code requirements, can a garage of
this size be limited to that one access point?
    THE WITNESS: Well, part of our, part of
the issue is the grade. We could certainly look at
that.
    MR. DEL VECCHIO:The question, the
question I think, Jerry, is, is the design as proposed
comply with code as it's designed today?
    THE WITNESS: No, it actually doesn't.
    CHAIRMAN DePINTO: It does not comply?
    THE WITNESS: Correct. It does not.
    CHAIRMAN DePINTO: So it would lead me to
believe that we have to look at that more carefully,
A., not only to comply with code but in the interests
of public safety.
    No. 2, there is -- the only dimensions I see on
A-1.3 are aisle widths of 24 feet which I believe is
sufficient for two-way traffic.
        So the presumption is that you're proposing
two-way traffic throughout the garage.
    Is that correct?
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CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And there is only one
access point?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: With respect to
complying with fire code requirements, can a garage of
this size be limited to that one access point?
THE WITNESS: Well, part of our, part of
the issue is the grade. We could certainly look at
that.
question I think, Jerry, is, is the design as proposed
comply with code as it's designed today?
THE WITNESS: No, it actually doesn't.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: It does not comply?
THE WITNESS: Correct. It does not.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: So it would lead me to
believe that we have to look at that more carefully,
A., not only to comply with code but in the interests
of public safety.
No. 2, there is -- the only dimensions I see on
A-1.3 are aisle widths of 24 feet which I believe is
sufficient for two-way traffic.
So the presumption is that you're proposing
two-way traffic throughout the garage.
Is that correct?
```
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THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Could you tell us what
is the stall size, the parking stall size?
THE WITNESS: We're indicating they're 9
by 18 .
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And with respect to a
stall size of 9 by 18 , and I presume these little
purple rectangles are columns or support beams?
THE WITNESS: Columns.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Columns.
And it's kind of a pet peeve of mine, when you
go into a parking garage and the people on spaces that
are only 9 by 18 do not park properly. The spaces
that are left available are the ones by the columns
which are pretty hard to negotiate, at least for me.
Is this, in your opinion, the best
configuration?
We've been very successful with on-grade parking
with hairpin striping. Would not a hairpin striping
give you a greater opportunity to protect the columns
and provide better parking because, as has been
explained to us many times, is worth a hairpin
striping you tend to line up our vehicles better
within that open space in between the hairpin.
I, I would like to have that looked at. I'm
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## THE WITNESS: Correct.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Could you tell us what
is the stall size, the parking stall size?
THE WITNESS: We're indicating they're 9
by 18 .
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And with respect to a
stall size of 9 by 18, and I presume these little
purple rectangles are columns or support beams?
THE WITNESS: Columns.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Columns.
And it's kind of a pet peeve of mine, when you go into a parking garage and the people on spaces that are only 9 by 18 do not park properly. The spaces that are left available are the ones by the columns which are pretty hard to negotiate, at least for me.

Is this, in your opinion, the best
configuration?
We've been very successful with on-grade parking
with hairpin striping. Would not a hairpin striping give you a greater opportunity to protect the columns and provide better parking because, as has been explained to us many times, is worth a hairpin striping you tend to line up our vehicles better within that open space in between the hairpin.

I, I would like to have that looked at. I'm
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> concerned about what you have here.
> THE WITNESS: Okay.
> CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And the parking,
> obviously -- the garage parking is very important to this overall site. And in a mixed use plan, how are you encouraging drivers to utilize this space rather than just park on-grade? Which is easier?
> To me the on-grade parking should be utilized for the retail because if I were going to one of the retail stores, I don't know if I want to go through the hassle of first finding the entrance to the garage and then finding a parking space within the garage. I'm going to be looking on-grade.
> THE WITNESS: Well, if I may.
> CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Sure.
> THE WITNESS: The underground parking is strictly for residents only. All the retail is on the surface.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Then how are we going to restrict it to residents only?

How are we going to keep the residents from parking on-grade?

Where is the encouragement for the residents to park in the building?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: We're actually -- what
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you'll hear in a little bit or perhaps at the next meeting from Ms. Dolan, we did a shared parking analysis. Fortunately enough we have the experience of a project that was built very similar of a mixed use nature here in Bergen County by this developer who has real life experience with that mixed use and controlling it. And it's done through some signage, parking stickers and enforcement.

And we found a way that works. We're going to share that with you.

The outside spaces or the on-grade will be restricted against residential parking during certain hours and office and retail will have their use. And in the office and retail hours the, or in the non office and retail hours, that overflow parking for the residential to the extent it's needed will be available for them to use.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think that testimony is going to be critical.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Do you hear that, Ms.
Dolan? It's critical.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think there's a very
delicate balance in a mixed use development to, to
make it easy for the intended drivers to park
consistent with the plan.

If I were a retailer, and the apartment residents are taking up those precious parking spaces, close to my retail store, I'm going to get pretty upset over it.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Sure. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And so we're going to
hear some testimony relative to that.
The, the placement of the affordable housing
units within the building, I see you have them designated.

Could you share with us the logic behind that placement?

THE WITNESS: They're basically even throughout. I tried to distribute them evenly throughout both, both buildings and each floor plan.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: It's kind of
interesting. I'm looking at the floor plans and I see the affordable units seem to be frequently near, either near trash areas, the stairways.

Are, are we treating the affordable tenants differently than we are market rate by placing those units in, in those specific locations?

THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't believe so, not really.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: So that was just --
Jerry Simon - The Board
THE WITNESS: -- market rate units near
mechanical and elevators as well.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yeah. I think I'd like
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have a problem with you determining on a site plan where you propose to put signage but to go into any great detail of what that signage will look like at this stage I think it's premature.

THE WITNESS: All right.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Until we finalize the
architecture, the materials, the renderings, the, the roof lines, things of that nature, I don't know how one could determine whether the box for the main sign, which has been described as either a mausoleum or a dog house, where is the connection between the sign and the structures? To me that's particularly important.

And I would suggest that, certainly not tonight, it's kind of late, I think one of the nicest collections of signage in the Borough of Montvale that is state of the art, esthetically pleasing and probably among the newest construction in the Borough is Sloan Kettering. I think it's extremely creative. It catches the eye. It directs vehicular traffic the way it should. I think it's well done.

And I'd ask that you do take a look at it. THE WITNESS: I'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I'm not saying you have to emulate it.
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But I think the signage that was put on that property is probably the finest in the Borough of Montvale. And I'm kind of a, a signage nut.

They did a good job on that one.
Okay. With that said, I want to go to the professionals and hear their pearls of wisdom starting with Mr. Hipolit.

MR. HIPOLIT: So for the Board, first, I mean, Andy, you could jump in, I'm very disheartened by this.

They are, Andy said that the engineering plans are going to be significantly changed based on our review letters. The architect is testifying on plans that are old so they don't tie together. So a lot of the testimony has to be redone.

The architecture plans are in concept in nature with no detail so we don't have size of the units.

Me, personally, I thought we wasted a night. You dragged all these Board Members out for, for a special meeting on plans that are going to change, had an architect testified to them, they said they were going to change and we don't have the detail. It's upsetting at best. They haven't really dealt with the issues.

I mean my notes from last meeting said we were
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going to talk about landscaping and kind of get an overview of landscaping. And we dove right into the details.

And I see Betsy Dolan and to go into traffic and they're not -- you guys are not done yet. It's like they're rushing us along for a court settlement, whatever they're rushing us for. They're not ready. And yet they're dragging us out to special meetings.

At best this is, this is a conceptual view of this matter. This is not in detail yet. So I don't know how -- I'll make comments but I don't know what I'm commenting on because I don't have the final site plans yet.

I don't know what the Board wants to do with it.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Del Vecchio, do you
want to respond to that?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: I do. I need to choose my words carefully.

I think, I think the comment is very unfair.
The plans were deemed complete. So all of the information that the plans need to show were deemed complete by the very professional who is now complaining about it.

MR. HIPOLIT: But complete means you met the submission requirements. That's all it means.
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MR. DEL VECCHIO: That's all I am required to do under the ordinance.

In terms of unit sizes, Sheet G02 shows the unit size for every one of the units of the residential units that are provided by bedroom size, by affordable versus market rate.

Those -- that information is there if you choose to pick it from the drawings.

The plans are going to change but, as you know from writing the review letter, most of the changes, as I said, are significant in quantity. They are not significant in terms of impact to the project.

MR. HIPOLIT: And we, and we, I'm going to
say it right now, I disagree with that. There is
significant changes to off-site improvements,
boulevard entrance, access aisle layouts.
Your architect testified his garage access
doesn't even meet code.
You have problems on this site. Your site plans are not good.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: The architecture will change to deal with the garage entrance. That is not a significant change. It's a matter of cutting another entrance.

It will effect the parking count a little bit,
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yes.
But that's normal as we find in a lot of plans.
And, yes, you haven't heard landscaping from our architectural witness. Mr. Dipple was unavailable for this evening. He was away on vacation. So we chose, as I announced at the last meeting to proceed with the architect.

Knowing Mr. Dipple needed to return and also knowing it would not be prudent for him to finish his testimony on plans that you rightfully point out needed to change.

At the time he comes back we will have the revised plans. You will have them in sufficient time to perform a review and hopefully we are much closer to the bull's eye of what the engineering needs to show so that we aren't having issues with changes.

No plan is perfect when it enters the door and no plan gets approved the way it enters the door. It always changes by the time the final gavel is called on a vote.

We're in that process now. We are no different than any other applicant that comes in the door.

This Board is very diligent, very detailed. Let's face it, very particular about what they like, don't like or what they want to see or don't want to
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see.
I've been doing this for 30 years. I can't
predict what you as a Board wants from the day an application comes in to the day it leaves.

It's a process. We are in that process and I don't think it's fair to say that we have submitted concept plans.

MR. HIPOLIT: Well, so you have a set of site plans that came in here. We made a lot of comments. I know Darlene made a lot of comments and the Board made a lot of comments.

In my opinion, there is enough comment to make you back down, look at it, redesign it and come back and you produced a set of architectural plans dated July 16th which are after the date of the last meeting and you know they're not going to coordinate with the next set of engineering plans. If not, there could be significant changes.

So it just, again, you're dragging the Board out for a special meeting and I know you're trying to keep this moving because there's a settlement agreement. I don't know how that works, Bob. There's all this stuff going on.

But we could start writing, I could start
writing 40 page letters for every meeting to, just to
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try to tie these knots together and have one 40 letter after another, after another trying to tie it together. We just said, why write a letter on this. I looked at it as more conceptual.

But you guys are, in my opinion, based on the testimony, this is what you're doing. And I don't think -- I'm just one person. The Board could tell me to stop.

I don't think you're there yet. You don't even have -- you have a site plan that's going to change. You have architectural plans that are going to change.

Your architect says he has some issues with his garage access and grade is a problem.

I don't know what that's going to do with your site plan but it could be a big change.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: There will be a change.
Based on the garage entrance, yes, there will be a change.

MR. HIPOLIT: I think the Board should get
some consideration on a special meeting where you're not ready.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: That's where we disagree. I think we are ready.

And the architectural plans are in their second edition as you rightfully point out.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I, I think what's important and there was a settlement agreement that was entered into between the property owner and the Borough.

The settlement agreement requires certain things be done on the part of the municipality.

Mr. Regan, could you give advice to the Board, what is that responsibility so that, A., we remain in compliance with the agreement and, B., we could move this file in, in an orderly manner without violating anyone's rights.

Mr. Regan.
MR. REGAN: I can refer to the settlement agreement and it begins with Paragraph 4, it would go to Page 9, Subparagraph H and I'll read it, the Planning Board shall expedite the processing of applications for development of the Mercedes and Glenview sites including without limitation taking the action set forth on Exhibit C attached hereto.

Now Exhibit C is an exhibit, it talks about time, time lines and fast track.

Basically the bottom line is, there is, since there is a variance application it would require the Board to act within 120 days following submission of a complete application which is the statutory time
period under the MLUL.
There is also a provision, I believe, regarding, back to Paragraph H , at the request of the developer the Board shall schedule special meetings to facilitate expedited processing of such application provided the developer pays the cost incurred in conjunction with such meetings.

The Board is complying by having this special meeting tonight.

I think what we really have to look at, we can't just look at this settlement agreement alone. You're inundated with applications. I've been here since 1993. I have never seen so many big applications. I'm not talking about, you know, C variance applications involving single family homes.

You have major development applications pending before you now that have been filed that are in one stage or another that I have never seen in 24, 25 years that I've been here.

And you're volunteers. You don't get paid to come out three nights a month for four or five hours each time.

I get paid, Andy and Darlene get paid but the Board Members who vote on this application are volunteers. And I don't think you can compel a Board
to come out six nights a month to hear all these applications regardless of what this says.

So I, I think it, the Board, to date is acting reasonably. You started the hearing on July 3rd, the night before a holiday and you had a, a very good turnout of Board Members at that time and you scheduled a special meeting in July. This is your third meeting this month.

How much can you get out of volunteers?
MR. HIPOLIT: Right. And the other problem we have is, again this has happened here before where we have an applicant who wants preliminary and final for one part and preliminary and final for another part. So these, these plans may be good for the preliminary part.

MR. REGAN: It might have been better --
MR. HIPOLIT: You don't have to be.
MR. REGAN: -- preliminary application for
Phases I and II and consider the variances, too.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think, I think I would like to hear from Mr. Del Vecchio.

Mr. Del Vecchio, on behalf of your client, you have requested this meeting and we did put you or we set up this special meeting.

And as, and as Bob indicated it was last heard,
I believe, on July 3rd which some members of this
Board had expressed concern because of holiday plans
and so forth and so on.
I don't think you have taken the position that
this Board is not attempting to process this
application as expeditiously as possible.
Is that correct?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: It's not a position that
I have taken, no.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And taking into
consideration the concerns expressed by Mr. Hipolit,
what would you propose to do?
Because you are the quarter back of your team,
what would you propose to do to make this a little bit
more orderly?
We have a number of witnesses, I'm sure, yet to
be heard from and we are under certain time
constraints and I know those time constraints could be
extended.
But everybody is trying to be reasonable with
it.
With respect to proceeding, what, what's your
target, what's your goal?
What do you want to achieve?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Unfortunately, the
summer months are always a challenge as they are for
the Board, they are for applicants who -- let's face
it, we all have summer vacation plans, our
professionals, our teams, each of you. I'm juggling
through some vacation schedules. That's going to be
over as we hit the end of August.
I think by the time we come back for the next
meeting which is likely going to be the 21st or some
date thereafter, that those vacation schedules will be
behind us.
My goal is to finish Mr. Simon and Mr. Dipple
before proceeding with my other witnesses.
And by that point, I think the major issues,
some of which were discussed here which is, you know,
what the buildings might look like and finalizing
access and some of the more substantive issues in the
review letter, those are all going to be down on paper
so to speak on a plan for us to look at to either
agree or disagree that they satisfy the Code
requirements.
So that's my plan is to get those, that part of
the application done and then we can fill in, and I
don't mean to belittle or make light of the rest of
it, with traffic, the amenity space through the
landscape and hardscape designer and then ultimately
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the signage.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And, and with that said, and you know the schedules of your professionals and your witnesses, when would you like this meeting carried to?

And also bear in mind you do have knowledge of other applications that are pending before this Board where we have to fit this altogether.

So with respect to this particular application, when would you believe that the most information could be presented to the Board in the most orderly fashion taking into consideration comments that you have heard tonight from Board Members and Board professionals.

Give me a date that you want.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: As I indicated when we
opened our, our part of the hearing tonight, I
anticipate filing drawings, revised drawings with the
Board's offices by Monday. Monday is the 4th, 5th, the 6th.

I was doing that a little bit early to make sure it's definitely in advance in time for the 21st.

I know the Board's schedule, a lot of matters are carried to the 21st and it may be very difficult to allot time on that night.

But the soonest that this applicant would be
ready, allowing a reasonable period of time for your own professionals to do a review of what we anticipate submitting would be that week of the 20th.

From there I have to turn it back to the Board as to when you can accommodate a hearing.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: What we're going to do, as we have done in the past, when we're dealing with simultaneously with a number of larger applications, we're going to establish time limits, time limits, I'm sorry, for each of the applicants in an effort to be as fair as possible to everybody.

It's more challenging for the Board Members because they have to prepare for a lot before a meeting. It's not our preference but we recognize our responsibilities and recognize everyone is entitled to their day in court. So we'll have to work together on this.

I do want to hear, before we get a wrap on this, I do want to hear from Ms. Green who has sat patiently through this, who is probably, you know, with respect to architecture and design and things of that nature.

Darlene, what do you have to say? What can you share with us?

MS. GREEN: Just based on the testimony
tonight not delving into my Review Letter, I know just
on testimony, a few comments. I know it was noted that the balcony shown on the various elevations are false but there is no note anywhere on the plans stating that. If that note is not added then it's going to trigger a variance because we were very specific in the ordinance and said there would be know outward facing balconies.

The cafe pavilion in the plaza area I believe you said that you have to go outside to get to the restrooms?

THE WITNESS: Well, that's, it's an employee restroom only.

MS. GREEN: Right. So I guess two concerns with that.

Is this a seasonal building?
THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.
MS. GREEN: Well, I guess I'm just
thinking it's wintertime, it's snowing, I'm an employee, I need to go outside to use the restroom.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's all covered.
That space is covered.
You're just going outside still in the covered space and into the restroom. It's not enclosed but it's covered.

MS. GREEN: Right. I guess I have some
concern about how that works in the winter months especially since this is New Jersey.

And if I'm a patron at said cafe and I need to use the restroom where do I go?

Something to consider when you're revising the plans.

I know you mentioned in your testimony that the landscape plans are going to detail the amenity plaza. I looked at my landscape plans. They do not detail the amenity plaza so hopefully that's something that will come in a resubmission.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: We are working for that
Monday deadline with that.
MS. GREEN: Okay. Perfect.
And then to expand on the Chairman's concern about the parking garage, in, I believe it was the site plans, it notes pools in the amenity plazas. And depending on that pool depth, I'm wondering, is there an impact to emergency circulation in that garage?

I know they are 12 feet tall.
THE WITNESS: Well, the pools are typically imbedded and above, partially above right on the plaza. I can't --

I don't know what the landscape architect has done yet. I have not seen it.

MS. GREEN: So Building Number 1, it says that the garage is 16 feet stall. So I think that there's got to be, kind of going to Andy's point, this coordination between the various plan sets because there's also a pool proposed in the hotel.

And I think, I think the plans have, need to be woven together so that if there's a pool proposed we assure there's not any sort of height restriction in the garage area that would impede emergency access.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: I believe there was a comment in your written review letter.

MS. GREEN: It might be but we're not discussing my review letter.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: I think the team is looking at it and planning on addressing it in the resubmission.

MS. GREEN: Perfect.
And then just overall architecture, I, I know that we've been talking about this project for over a year. It's something that has been expressed in many of the subcommittee meetings, is the desire for this to go suburban. Montvale is suburban. And I'm not sure that you're quite there yet.

I think that there's definitely an attempt being made with the various materials but I'm, I think every
iteration is getting closer but I'm not sure that you're there currently.

I would also agree with the Chairman, I think the signage should be removed. I know we noted a number of variances that were not listed on the plans just related to signage. And, I think if we focus on the bigger picture issues first, that will be helpful as opposed to, to getting lost in the minutia of signage.

Those are my overarching comments.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good.
Thank you.
Any other comments or questions?
MR. REGAN: I just have a question for Mr.
Hipolit. I think we need to get clarity in terms of what our time line is.

Andy, in your completeness review letter of May 25 th, which has marked in as \(\mathrm{B}-1\), is that the date you determined the application to be complete?

\section*{MR. HIPOLIT: Yes.}

MR. REGAN: May 25th.
So if you use the 120 day period in the settlement agreement, Mr. Chairman, that means a Board decision would have to come by September 25th or thereabouts, 120 days.

I don't see there's any way you're going to meet that.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: First let's turn to
counsel for the applicant.
Are you in agreement with those dates, Mr. Del
Vecchio?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: The May 25th date I do
agree with. I can't calculate 120 days in my head. I
have to use the calculator on the computer to do it.
But Mr. Regan is usually right on target.
MR. REGAN: Ballpark.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Just so the record is
clear on that, SO if in fact we do reach that date and we haven't completed then, obviously, the Board is going to have to ask for an extension of time if in fact we just don't get done by then.

MR. REGAN: I mean you could get this done
if you had no other applications.
MR. HIPOLIT: What's the date, the 25th?
MR. REGAN: I know on the 21st of August I
think you have a bunch of hearings. I don't know how
many. Doreen and Maureen would.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. O'Neill.
MS. O'NEILL: I just wanted to, on the
record, I felt Andy's comments about the plans, in my
professional setting I run into this situation all the time where the applicant has technically met the requirements for their application to be deemed complete but their plans are not up to par.

I would call these schematic at best. And I,
personally, would never give them to my Board. I have --

That's part of the reason why I asked for the elevations because I'm having a very difficult time seeing this building functioning in reality both architecturally and circulation patterns and things like that.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good.
Any other questions or comments?
MAYOR GHASSALI: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mayor.
MAYOR GHASSALI: This is probably, this is
one of the biggest projects we've, we've done in
Montvale and my signature is on this agreement and we are committed to building this.

Just so we're all clear, we're not delaying
anything. No one is delaying anything. But we want to do it the right way.

If 120 days, September 25th is --
MR. REGAN: Right around the corner.
the right thing and the public trust us on their behalf to do the right thing which is why the room is empty right now.

But this continues with different plans and I still don't, in my head, get why would you submit plans that are not to code and expect us to what, not see it? You know.

So that's kind of annoying is the wrong word but kind of upset that we missed that, that you present the plans that are not to code, not only in Building 1 but Building 2 has the same thing. There's only one access.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Building 3.
MAYOR GHASSALI: Building 3. I'm sorry.
That's only one access so I don't know if that's to code.

But why would you present a plan that's not to code? You're just delaying it for yourself.

So things like this are kind of not very comfortable with but be assured that we are committed to building affordable housing and we are committed to building what we agreed to based on the agreement.

My signature is on it. The Council is all behind it.

No one is delaying anything on purpose. We just want to do the right thing.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: The word delay hasn't
come out of my mouth.
MAYOR GHASSALI: Okay. That's all I have,
Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.
Anyone else?
The Chair will entertain a motion to open the meeting to the public.

MR. CULHANE: So move.
MS. O'NEILL: Second.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Culhane, seconded
Ms. O'Neill.
All in favor?
(Aye)
Anyone from the public wish to be heard? No.
The Chair will entertain a motion to close the meeting to the public.

MS. O'NEILL: So move.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Second.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. O'Neill, second Mr.
Stefanelli.
All in favor?
(Aye)

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: We hit that witching hour that I projected of 10:15. I apologize to your witness who is unable to speak this evening.

But I think it is time well spent on her part listening to some of the comments from Board Members. And, I think we're going to be very interested in hearing Ms. Dolan's testimony with regards to on-site traffic circulation, parking, points of ingress, egress, impact on road traffic, intersections, I think we're going to be in store for a pretty in-depth analysis by Ms. Dolan which I look forward to.

So if that's a challenge, Ms. Dolan, I am happy that you caught the gist of it.

MR. HIPOLIT: Mr. Chairman, just on Ms.
Dolan's testimony, it needs to be based on the site plans that you're working on, that you have in front of you. They can't be based on site plans that they're going to submit. It doesn't work.

MR. REGAN: Otherwise, she'll have to come back.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: She'll have to come back.

So we're going to have to tighten it up a little bit. And I do believe the applicant is moving it in the right direction. I think, because of schedules,
we got to pull it together a little bit faster so that it is more complete and we're not wasting an applicant's time and we're not wasting more Board Members' time.

Okay. Mr. Del Vecchio, do you have anything else?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: We only have two matters
to finish with, one is when is the next hearing date and, two, when do you want your transcript?

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Well --
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: I got a
question for scheduling. Why can't -- we've been doing this a long time. I don't understand why Andy can't put together a schedule on who's the next witness and have the witnesses here.

The 21st meeting we're going to see the traffic engineer and especially if we have other clients. And then let him set it up for the next, till the 21 st of September and see what we could move in or squeeze in to those agendas.

But I would rather have a schedule, at least a preliminary schedule of what the -- you should know how long it's going to take for, for, you know, your experts to testify.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: It's difficult, Frank,
as you do know.
VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: Well, the question is --

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Del Vecchio may
know how much time he needs on direct. He doesn't
know how much time is going to be needed by Board professionals and Board Members.

VICE CHAIRMAN STEFANELLI: I agree to
that. But that's when, I think, I hate to say it, us as a Board should know how much time we need in that respect. We've been doing this a long time, you know.

I could tell right, that we were just going to see him tonight. I could tell we weren't going to see -- and we may need to move our meeting to 7:00, you know, if we don't have --

So, you know, I think we have a lot of cases, we have a lot of things going on. I just think we just need a preliminary schedule.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Let's take it one step at a time.

Mr. Del Vecchio, if you were to return on the 21 st and, again, when we prepare that agenda, we are going to be putting time limits on each of the applications. But in anticipation of you being here for that date, who would you be introducing as your

witnesses that evening subject to time allotment.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: I think Mr. Dipple and
Mr. Simon would be the two witnesses that I would want to have reappear next in terms of sequencing to try to tighten up on some of the open issues and address the technical comments that we were aware of to date.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. And in order for the Board Members to be prepared for that, we indicated that we would let you know when we would want transcripts and if we did that, what, in two weeks, Doreen, that would be sufficient.

And anybody disagree with that? Bob?
MR. REGAN: Yeah. I mean, I think so long as the Board has the transcript, we're meeting on the 21st on this application which we are, the 14th, I think that's fine, a week before.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Why don't we use that as a date?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Donna, can you accommodate the transcript? She's nodding yes. That's fine.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. And it will be your responsibility, Mr. Del Vecchio, to make certain that the Board does have them.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Absolutely.
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72: 16,78: 25,89: 4, \\
\text { 98:9 } \\
\text { looking [12] - 41:24, } \\
\text { 47:22, 54:6, 54:21, } \\
\text { 55:23, 55:25, 74:18, } \\
\text { 75:24, 79:13, 81:17, } \\
\text { 99:15, 111:4 } \\
\text { looks [21] - 14:6, } \\
\text { 18:19, 51:22, 52:3, } \\
\text { 52:6, 52:12, 52:20, } \\
\text { 55:14, 58:6, 58:8, } \\
\text { 62:24, 73:9, 73:24, } \\
75: 22,75: 25,76: 2, \\
76: 5,76: 13 \\
\text { lost }[1]-100: 8 \\
\text { LOT }[3]-1: 3,1: 3 \\
\text { Lot1 [1] - }-4: 4 \\
\text { low }[3]-58: 6,58: 8, \\
68: 8 \\
\text { lower }[8]-18: 16,19: 8, \\
28: 10,33: 4,40: 8, \\
45: 18,70: 15,71: 1 \\
\text { LYNN }[2]-1: 24, \\
\text { 112:17 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
M
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
record [7] - 4:10, 6:10,
    41:5, 43:6, 49:8,
    101:12, 101:25
records [1] - 61:19
rectangles [1] - 78:8
rectangular [3] -
    34:19, 34:25
recycling [3]-22:4,
    66:23, 66:25
redesign [1]-88:13
redone [1]-84:15
reduce [1] - 16:2
redundant [1] - 58:19
refer [1]-90:13
referring [3]-55:2,
    55:5, 67:9
Regan [4] - 38:16,
    90:7, 90:12, 101:10
REGAN [24]-1:16,
    4:11, 6:5, 6:10, 6:13,
    6:15, 8:3, 8:8, 8:12,
    8:15, 10:3, 38:20,
    90:13, 92:16, 92:18,
    100:14, 100:21,
    101:11, 101:17,
    101:20, 102:25,
    105:19, 108:13,
    109:6
regarding [2] - 63:16,
    91:2
regardless [1] - 92:2
regards [1] - 105:7
relate [1] - 33:11
related [1] - 100:6
relative [4]-81:7,
    82:19, 112:7, 112:9
relief [1] - 69:1
remain [1] - 90:8
remember [2] - 67:20,
    69:19
removal [2] - 38:7,
    38:8
removed [2] - 57:18,
    100:4
renderings [4] -
    55:23, 56:7, 57:2,
    83:7
Report [4] - 3:10,
    3:13, 3:14, 3:18
reported [1] - 112:4
represent [4]-10:22,
    21:4, 21:23, 42:21
representations [1] -
    61:10
representative [1] -
    60:15
represented [1] - 21:7
represents [6] - 13:21,
13:22, 14:8, 21:14,
24:19, 39:5
``` & ```
request [1]-91:3
requested [1] - 92:23
require [2]-65:5,
    90:23
required [2] - 5:10,
    86:1
requirements [7] -
    7:24, 7:25, 32:13,
    77:5, 85:25, 94:20,
    102:3
requires [4] - 17:12,
    43:17, 90:5, 110:9
residential [25] - 9:16,
    10:9, 10:25, 11:4,
    11:9, 12:25, 13:9,
    14:5, 14:24, 15:14,
    16:21, 18:17, 19:4,
    20:9, 23:21, 24:11,
    24:15, 24:21, 25:4,
    25:24, 41:22, 55:4,
    80:12, 80:16, 86:4
residents [7]-66:13,
    79:17, 79:20, 79:21,
    79:23, 81:2, 82:4
respect [7] - 77:4,
    78:6, 82:15, 93:22,
    95:9, 96:20, 107:11
respond [1]-85:16
Response [1] - 3:6
responsibilities [1] -
    96:15
responsibility [2] -
    90:8, 108:23
rest [2] - 16:19, 94:23
restrict [1]-79:20
restricted [1]-80:12
restriction [1] - 99:8
restroom [8]-35:14,
    36:2, 36:6, 36:21,
    97:12, 97:19, 97:23,
    98:4
restrooms [1] - 97:10
resubmission [2] -
    98:11, 99:16
resubmitting [1] -
    5:15
resume [2]-50:11,
    50:12
retail [50]-10:10,
    14:21, 15:13, 16:4,
    16:9, 16:12, 16:16,
    16:18, 16:19, 17:1,
    17:18, 17:22, 18:19,
    19:5, 20:4, 20:6,
    22:9, 23:22, 24:21,
    25:4, 25:5, 27:4,
    29:1, 29:23, 29:24,
    30:3, 30:8, 30:11,
    40:23, 41:19, 41:22,
    47:17, 47:21, 47:24,
``` & ```
    49:17, 49:19, 50:1,
    50:3, 61:6, 61:16,
    71:13, 71:14, 79:9,
    79:10, 79:17, 80:13,
    80:14, 80:15, 81:3
retailer [2]-40:22,
    81:1
retaining [1] - 73:19
return [2]-87:8,
    107:21
returning [1]-10:14
revenue [1]-29:19
Review [2]-69:20,
    96:25
review [16] - 5:9, 5:20,
    7:17, 7:24, 53:22,
    73:4, 73:6, 84:13,
    86:10, 87:14, 94:17,
    96:2, 99:11, 99:13,
    100:17, 110:18
reviewed [1] - 40:4
revised [4]-5:16,
    87:13, 95:17, 109:11
Revised [4] - 3:5, 3:8,
    3:9, 3:12
revising [2] - 58:10,
    98:5
revision [1]-7:11
Rich [1] - 39:23
Ridge [1] - 1:21
ridge [2]-54:23,
    55:11
right-hand [2] - 62:5,
    73:21
rightfully [2] - 87:10,
    89:25
rights [1] - 90:11
ROAD [1] - 1:6
road [2] - 63:20, 105:9
Road [2] - 1:21, 4:9
roads [1] - 25:3
ROBERT [1] - 1:16
Rodriguez [1] - 3:7
roof [45]-15:18,
    17:15, 17:16, 17:23,
    17:24, 22:7, 22:13,
    22:23, 23:7, 23:10,
    26:8, 26:9, 26:20,
    26:22, 27:6, 30:14,
    30:16, 30:22, 32:14,
    33:3, 33:25, 35:13,
    37:13, 42:3, 43:23,
    43:24, 44:14, 45:1,
    58:5, 58:8, 67:1,
    67:5, 67:22, 67:25,
    68:1, 70:15, 70:18,
    70:19, 70:20, 71:3,
    71:23, 75:3, 83:8
roofs [8]-15:19,
    15:25, 22:12, 22:14,
``` & ```
    33:18, 33:19, 34:1,
    34:5
rooftop [1] - 22:16
room [5] - 22:2, 22:3,
    32:10, 70:14, 103:3
rooms [3]-21:25,
    22:5, 32:19
ROSE [1] - 1:12
roughly [3] - 45:3,
    45:19, 64:22
row [1]-41:25
ROWLAND [2] - 1:17,
    109:8
RSIS \({ }_{[1]}-6: 1\)
run [4]-4:13, 24:16,
    37:4, 102:1
rural \({ }_{[1]}-22: 15\)
rushing [2]-85:6,
    85:7
Russo [2]-50:23,
    75:10
RUSSO [6] - 1:15,
    75:11, 76:5, 76:8,
    76:11, 76:18
rustic [2]-37:18,
    43:25
```

| S |
| :---: |
| safety $[1]-77: 19$ |
| salt $[4]-37: 8,37: 11$, |
| $38: 7,72: 10$ |
| sample $[4]-56: 25$, |
| $71: 10,71: 11$ |
| samples $[1]-42: 8$ |
| sat $[1]-96: 19$ |
| satisfy $[1]-94: 19$ |
| saw $[1]-73: 8$ |
| scale $[3]-40: 11$, |
| $53: 17,56: 12$ |
| schedule $[6]-91: 4$, |
| $95: 22,106: 14$, |
| $106: 21,106: 22$, |
| $107: 18$ |
| scheduled $[1]-92: 7$ |
| schedules $[4]-94: 5$, |
| $94: 9,95: 3,105: 25$ |
| scheduling $[1]-$ |
| $106: 12$ |
| schematic $[1]-102: 5$ |
| scheme $[1]-75: 1$ |
| schooling $[1]-7: 2$ |
| screen $[8]-30: 16$, |
| $30: 20,30: 23,57: 1$, |
| $57: 7,57: 9$ |
| seasonal $[1]-97: 15$ |
| seating $[1]-69: 10$ |
| Seawolf $[1]-42: 9$ |
| second $[11]-12: 25$, |
| $25: 12,25: 13,29: 14$, | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 39:15, 46:15, 53:21, } \\
& \text { 58:14, 89:24, } \\
& \text { 104:12, 104:22 } \\
& \text { Second }[1]-104: 21 \\
& \text { secondary }[1]-33: 20 \\
& \text { seconded }[1]-104: 13 \\
& \text { SECRETARY }[1]- \\
& \text { 1:17 } \\
& \text { section }[13]-23: 19, \\
& 23: 20,23: 24,25: 21, \\
& 26: 15,26: 16,26: 17, \\
& 26: 19,31: 1,32: 25, \\
& 33: 1,67: 20 \\
& \text { see }[49]-15: 12,18: 16, \\
& 20: 7,20: 22,23: 22, \\
& 34: 18,37: 7,40: 2, \\
& 40: 7,40: 10,44: 9, \\
& 47: 1,51: 25,52: 9, \\
& 52: 14,54: 1,54: 11, \\
& 56: 11,57: 21,58: 21, \\
& 59: 22,63: 18,66: 25, \\
& 67: 2,67: 4,67: 11, \\
& 69: 24,70: 19,71: 9, \\
& 71: 23,72: 3,73: 8, \\
& 73: 21,74: 14,74: 22, \\
& 77: 20,81: 9,81: 17, \\
& 85: 4,87: 25,88: 1, \\
& 101: 1,103: 8, \\
& 106: 16,106: 19, \\
& 107: 13,110: 7 \\
& \text { seeing [3] - 56:5, } \\
& 102: 10,110: 4 \\
& \text { seeking }[1]-31: 10 \\
& \text { seem }[1]-81: 18 \\
& \text { selected }[4]-31: 14, \\
& 42: 12,48: 10,82: 13 \\
& \text { selection }[1]-75: 5 \\
& \text { send }[1]-109: 6 \\
& \text { sense }[3]-17: 6,35: 7, \\
& 75: 15 \\
& \text { sent }[2]-52: 18,52: 23 \\
& \text { separate }[2]-25: 4, \\
& 25: 20 \\
& \text { separately }[1]-82: 23 \\
& \text { September }[3]- \\
& 100: 24,102: 24, \\
& 106: 19 \\
& \text { sequencing }[1]- \\
& 108: 4 \\
& \text { series }[1]-69: 10 \\
& \text { service }[9]-25: 3, \\
& 32: 12,36: 7,36: 16, \\
& 36: 25,37: 2,38: 3, \\
& 67: 25,71: 19 \\
& \text { services }[1]-20: 10 \\
& \text { Services }[1]-1: 24 \\
& \text { set }[12]-9: 1,29: 15, \\
& 40: 17,42: 19,50: 2, \\
& 88: 8,88: 14,88: 17, \\
& 90: 19,92: 24,
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:22, } 72: 18,72: 19, \\ & 74: 16,76: 25,79: 5, \\ & 83: 1,85: 12,86: 15, \\ & 86: 19,88: 9,89: 10, \\ & 89: 15,98: 17,105: 7, \\ & 105: 15,105: 17 \\ & \text { sites }[3]-65: 1,69: 25, \\ & \text { 90:18 } \\ & \text { sits }[1]-7: 21 \\ & \text { sitting }[3]-51: 16, \\ & 63: 11,110: 4 \\ & \text { situated }[1]-22: 24 \\ & \text { situation }[1]-102: 1 \\ & \text { six }[2]-10: 8,92: 1 \\ & \text { size }[11]-14: 17, \\ & 23: 16,53: 16,72: 14, \\ & 77: 6,78: 3,78: 7, \\ & 84: 17,86: 4,86: 5 \\ & \text { sized }[1]-15: 6 \\ & \text { sizes }[3]-23: 1,27: 10, \\ & 86: 3 \\ & \text { skin }[1]-110: 7 \\ & \text { skipped }[1]-59: 13 \\ & \text { Slate }[3]-39: 22,42: 9, \\ & 57: 5 \\ & \text { slid }[1]-69: 6 \\ & \text { slightly }[1]-16: 15 \\ & \text { Sloan }[1]-83: 19 \\ & \text { sloped }[1]-26: 22 \\ & \text { sloping }[1]-26: 9 \\ & \text { slow }[1]-68: 15 \\ & \text { small }[12]-16: 15, \\ & 29: 13,30: 6,31: 24, \\ & 34: 18,34: 24,37: 9, \\ & 37: 23,46: 9,72: 20, \\ & 72: 23 \\ & \text { snow }[3]-38: 7,38: 8, \\ & 72: 13 \\ & \text { snowing }[1]-97: 18 \\ & \text { sO }[1]-101: 13 \\ & \text { soccer }[1]-66: 4 \\ & \text { soft }[1]-51: 16 \\ & \text { solar }[1]-71: 25 \\ & \text { someone }[1]-63: 2 \\ & \text { somewhere }[1]- \\ & 56: 19 \\ & \text { soonest }[1]-95: 25 \\ & \text { sorry }[8]-16: 22, \\ & 47: 14,50: 24,63: 10, \\ & 76: 5,76: 8,96: 10, \\ & 103: 15 \\ & \text { sort }[3]-27: 14,47: 7, \\ & 99: 8 \\ & \text { south }[13]-19: 2,21: 6, \\ & 25: 9,29: 22,35: 15, \\ & 37: 21,37: 24,38: 2, \\ & 45: 13,46: 3,46: 21, \\ & 47: 20,69: 9 \\ & \text { southeast }[2]-67: 3, \\ & 67: 16 \end{aligned}$ | ```southern [1] - 45:15 southwest [1] - 46:23 space [17]-18:20, 22:9, 25:24, 30:1, 30:4, 36:7, 47:21, 48:5, 51:2, 51:8, 70:24, 78:24, 79:6, 79:12, 94:24, 97:21, 97:23 spaces [7] - 11:6, 25:2, 31:21, 78:12, 78:13, 80:11, 81:2 spare [1] - 67:7 speaking \([2]-13: 24\), 17:5 special [8]-84:20, 85:8, 88:20, 89:20, 91:4, 91:8, 92:7, 92:24 specific [3]-81:22, 82:13, 97:6 specifically \({ }_{[2]}\) - 55:12, 111:3 speed [1] - 68:14 spell \([1]\) - 6:11 spelled [1]-60:9 spend [1]-39:15 spent [1] - 105:4 spin [1] - 54:12 split [2]-22:22, 61:11 sports [1] - 66:1 spots [1] - 17:19 square [15] - 14:22, 20:4, 30:1, 30:2, 30:11, 30:13, 32:3, 36:2, 36:9, 43:10, 43:23, 44:15, 44:16, 44:24, 45:3 SQUARE [1]-1:3 Square [4]-4:5, 4:22, 44:4, 45:23 squeeze [1] - 106:19 stage \([2]-83: 4,91: 18\) stages [1] - 8:24 stair [2]-26:4, 67:24 staircase [4] - 70:18, 70:20, 70:21, 82:7 stairs [2]-19:12, 25:16 stairways [1] - 81:19 stairwells [1] - 19:22 stall [4] - 78:3, 78:7, 99:2 standards [1]-6:1 standing [2]-6:21, 35:3 standpoint [1] - 9:24 Starbuck's [1] - 35:6 start [10]-5:1, 9:4, 9:6, 9:22, 9:25, 10:5,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | ```styles [4]-27:7, 33:25, 48:21, 53:19 subcommittee [1] - 99:21 subject [2] - 7:18, 108:1 submission [2] - 85:25, 90:24 submit [2]-103:6, 105:18 submitted [1] - 88:6 submitting \({ }_{[1]}-96: 3\) Subparagraph [1] - 90:15 substantive [1] - 94:16 subterranean [4] - 14:20, 16:20, 19:17, 24:3 suburban [2] - 99:22 successful [1]-78:18 sufficient \([4]-61: 14\), 77:22, 87:13, 108:11 suggest [1] - 83:14 Suite [1]-1:21 summer [2]-94:1, 94:3 supervision [3] - 7:15, 8:22, 8:23 supply [1] - 57:9 support [1] - 78:8 supposed [1] - 57:19 surface [3] - 31:22, 38:9, 79:18 Survey [1] - 3:11 Surveying [1] - 3:11 swear [1]-6:7 switch [10] - 14:13, 21:13, 22:6, 25:11, 26:1, 28:13, 33:7, 38:13, 42:18, 45:5 switching [4] - 15:9, 24:13, 31:5, 46:4 sworn [1] - 6:4 swung [1] - 28:1 systems [1]-30:17``` <br> T $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-shape }[1]-32: 17 \\ & \text { tabulation }[1]-10: 23 \\ & \text { takeaway }[1]-11: 2 \\ & \text { talks }[1]-90: 20 \\ & \text { tall }[1]-98: 20 \\ & \text { target }[2]-93: 23, \\ & \text { 101:10 } \\ & \text { TEAGNO }[14]-1: 15, \\ & 60: 13,60: 19,60: 23, \\ & 61: 4,61: 13,61: 19, \\ & 62: 1,62: 14,62: 21, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 89: 3,96: 16,99: 7, \\ \text { 106:1, 106:14 } \\ \text { tone }[1]-29: 2 \\ \text { tonight }[11]-4: 15, \\ 9: 14,13: 24,63: 14, \\ 72: 7,83: 14,91: 9, \\ 95: 13,95: 16,96: 25, \\ 107: 13 \\ \text { top }[10]-27: 3,30: 16, \\ 30: 21,30: 22,31: 20, \\ 32: 14,33: 3,39: 8, \\ 41: 25,43: 22 \\ \text { topography }[1]- \\ 55: 10 \\ \text { total }[11]-11: 3,11: 9, \\ 11: 14,12: 5,12: 20, \\ 12: 21,13: 1,13: 3, \\ 13: 13,13: 14,17: 19 \\ \text { totally }[1]-76: 7 \\ \text { touch }[2]-10: 19, \\ 40: 24 \\ \text { towards }[5]-16: 9, \\ 28: 12,34: 14,47: 18, \\ 47: 20 \\ \text { track }[1]-90: 21 \\ \text { traction }[2]-68: 5, \\ 68: 9 \\ \text { Traffic }[1]-3: 18 \\ \text { traffic }[14]-45: 10, \\ 63: 3,63: 12,63: 13, \\ 63: 14,74: 15,77: 22, \\ 77: 24,83: 20,85: 4, \\ 94: 24,105: 8,105: 9, \\ 106: 16 \\ \text { transcript }[5]-106: 9, \\ 108: 14,108: 20, \\ 109: 5,112: 3 \\ \text { Transcription }[1]- \\ 1: 24 \\ \text { transcripts }[1]- \\ \text { 108:10 } \\ \text { translate }[1]-53: 14 \\ \text { transparent }[2]- \\ 35: 13,35: 23 \\ \text { trash }[13]-22: 2,22: 3, \\ 22: 5,25: 4,25: 18, \\ 66: 11,66: 14,66: 15, \\ 66: 16,66: 17,66: 22, \\ 66: 23,81: 19 \\ \text { treating }[1]-81: 20 \\ \text { treatments }[1]-15: 7 \\ \text { tree }[1]-29: 19 \\ \text { Triboro }[4]-4: 5,4: 22, \\ 44: 4,45: 23 \\ \text { tried }[2]-15: 16,81: 14 \\ \text { trigger }[1]-97: 5 \\ \text { trouble }[1]-68: 13 \\ \text { true }[1]-112: 2 \\ \text { trust }[1]-103: 2 \\ \text { truth }[1]-6: 8 \end{array}, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




