

PLANNING BOARD
BOROUGH OF MONTVALE
COUNTY OF BERGEN

-----X
BLOCK 3302, LOT 1 :
HORNROCK PROPERTIES MPR, LLC :
One Sony Drive :
-and- :
Also designated on the Borough of: :
Park Ridge tax map as Block 301, :
Lot 1, preliminary site plan :
-----X

Tuesday, April 16, 2019
Council Chambers
12 Mercedes Drive
Montvale, New Jersey
Commencing 7:45 p.m.

B E F O R E:

JOHN DePINTO, CHAIRMAN
FRANK STEFANELLI, VICE CHAIRMAN
JOHN CULHANE
ROSE CURRY, COUNCIL MEMBER
JIMMY D'AGOSTINO
MICHAEL N. GHASSALI, MAYOR
WILLIAM LINTNER
MAGGIE O'NEILL, absent
DANTE TEAGNO
ROBERT ZITELLI

ROBERT REGAN, BOARD ATTORNEY
ANDREW HIPOLIT, BOROUGH ENGINEER
JEFFREY FETTE, CONSTRUCTION CODE OFFICIAL
DARLENE GREEN, PLANNER

A P P E A R A N C E S:

DAY PITNEY, LLP
BY: PETER J. WOLFSON, ESQ.
One Jefferson Road
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054
(973) 966-6300
Attorneys for the Applicant
ALAMPI & DeMARRAIS, LLC
BY: CARMINE R. ALAMPI, ESQ.
One University Plaza, Suite 404
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
(201) 343-4600
Attorneys for Park Ridge

HUDSON REPORTING & VIDEO

1-800-310-1769

1	W I T N E S S E S	
2	SWORN	PAGE
3	NICHOLAS VERDERESE	
	Redirect by Mr. Wolfson	13, 84
4	Recross-examination by Mr. Alampi	18
	Examination by the Public	
5		
	David O'Sullivan	34
6	252 Capri Terrace, Park Ridge	
7	BRETT SKAPINETZ	
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Wolfson	44
8	Examination by Ms. Green	48
	Examination by Mr. Hipolit	54
9	Recross-examination by Mr. Alampi	58
	Examination by the Board	60
10	Examination by the Public	
11	David O'Sullivan	65
12	Brian Larose	72
	64 Clairmont Drive, Woodcliff Lake	
13		
	BRIAN INTINDOLA	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Alampi	75
	Cross Examination by Mr. Wolfson	83
15		
	MR. WOLFSON - Summation	86
16		
	MR. ALAMPI - Summation	87
17		
	THE PUBLIC	
18		
	David O'Sullivan	90
19		
	Ron Vradenburg	97
20	26 Lakeview Avenue, Park Ridge	
21	Brian Larose	104
22		
	THE BOARD	109
23		
24		
25		

1	E X H I B I T S		
2			PAGE
3	A-26 Evd.	Revised Site Plans, 4/4	5
4	A-27 Evd.	Architectural Plans, 4/4	5
5	A-28 Evd.	Tree Survey	5
6	A-29 Evd.	Phase 1, Preliminary Assessment Report, 2/25/2015	5
7			
8	A-30 Evd.	Pesticide Screening Report, 4/5	5
9			
10	A-31 Evd.	Storm Water Summary, Revised 4/2019	5
11			
12	A-32 Evd.	Storm Water Operation and Maintenance Manual Last revised 4/2019	5
13			
14	A-33 Evd.	Site Plan Rendering, 4/4/19	19
15			
16	B-13 Evd.	Letter, A. Hipolit, 4 /12/19	6
17	B-14 Evd.	Letter, D. Green, 4/15/19	6
18	B-15 Evd.	Report, Fire Department, 4/14/19	6
19	B-16 Evd.	Report, Police Department, 4/9/19	6
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Continued public
2 hearing on Block 3302, Lot 1, Hornrock Properties NPR,
3 LLC is the applicant relating to the premises
4 designated as Block 3302, Lot 1 as depicted on the
5 Borough of Montvale tax map being more commonly known
6 as One Sony Drive, the property. And also designated
7 on the Borough of Park Ridge tax map as Block 301, Lot
8 1. It's an application for preliminary site plan
9 approval.

10 MR. WOLFSON: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
11 Board members, Board professionals. Peter Wolfson of
12 Day Pitney on behalf of the continuation of this
13 preliminary major site plan.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good.

15 MR. WOLFSON: Pursuant to the custom of
16 the Board, I assume it's okay if I take a seat?

17 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yes, you may.

18 And, Mr. Wolfson, do you have any new exhibits
19 you want to mark into evidence?

20 Mr. Alampi.

21 MR. ALAMPI: May I enter my appearance?

22 Carmine Alampi on behalf of the Borough of Park
23 Ridge.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Very good. Thank you.

25 MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Chairman, since we were

1 last here on March 19th we have submitted certain
2 materials I would like to produce and hand them into
3 the record.

4 MR. REGAN: A-26.

5 MR. WOLFSON: That's what I have. The
6 first, although I don't know that the site plan is
7 deemed to be marked but we did a set of revised site
8 plans last dated April 4th. Similarly we did
9 architectural plans April 4th.

10 MR. REGAN: They should both be marked.

11 MR. WOLFSON: Okay. So the site plans can
12 be 26. The architecturals can be 27.

13 We submitted a tree survey dated April 4, 2019.
14 That could be 28.

15 We submitted environmental materials first Phase
16 1 preliminary assessment report dated February 25,
17 2015 which will be A-29.

18 And pesticide screening report dated April 5,
19 2019. That would be 30.

20 Storm water summary revised aim 2019. That will
21 be A-31.

22 And storm water operation and maintenance manual
23 revised last April 2019. That can be A-32.

24 We have additionally one exhibit tonight that
25 another witness, Brett Skapinetz is going to use. Do

1 you want us to mark that now or when he comes up?

2 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: We'll wait.

3 MR. WOLFSON: When he comes up.

4 Additionally I would like to acknowledge receipt
5 of certain board materials, review materials. We are
6 in receipt of a Maser Engineering Review No. 3 dated
7 April 12, 2019, Maser planning review No. 3 dated
8 April 15, 2019, Montvale Fire Department review letter
9 dated April 14, 2019, a Montvale Police Department
10 review letter dated April 9, 2019.

11 I assume those would be marked.

12 MR. REGAN: Yeah. Do you want them marked
13 now, Mr. Chairman?

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: You can mark them now.

15 MR. REGAN: I'll start with Mr. Hipolit's
16 letter of April 12th, B-13 as the next one.

17 We have Ms. Green's letter of April 15th. That
18 would be B-14.

19 We have the Fire Department report of 4/14, that
20 would be B-15.

21 And, finally, the Police Department report of
22 April 9th, will be B-16.

23 MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Chairman, I'd also like
24 to confirm that copies of resubmission materials were
25 provided directly to Mr. Alampi and Mr. Schettino who

1 had made an appearance on behalf of Woodcliff Lake in
2 the past.

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

4 MR. WOLFSON: And I have some preliminary
5 comments before I turn to the first witness.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Before you do that, I'm
7 going to ask Mr. Regan, Mr. Regan we do have some
8 members of the public that are here this evening and
9 it is my understanding that this meeting is being
10 video recorded by a member of the public that is here.

11 And, I would like for you to repeat what was
12 said when we commenced this hearing back a few months
13 ago as to what the Board's obligation is and the
14 consistency of what we're doing with the settlement
15 agreements. Why don't you remind us.

16 MR. REGAN: As the Board is aware, there
17 was a settlement entered into with the developer,
18 Hornrock, for a development of 185 units with an
19 affordable set aside of 37. The settlement agreement
20 was negotiated over quite a period of time by Borough
21 representatives. It was incorporated into the
22 Borough's housing element Fair Share Plan. There was
23 also a fairness hearing and a compliance hearing
24 conducted in Superior Court with the court approving
25 the settlement agreement as well as the Borough's

1 housing element and Fair Share Plan.

2 So essentially we have a court approval of the
3 settlement agreement for the 185 unit development.

4 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: So, Mr. Regan, the
5 Board's role, more specifically relates to review of
6 the site plan, review of what is proposed in
7 connection with the site plan, parking spaces,
8 placement of spaces, landscaping.

9 MR. REGAN: Drainage.

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Lighting.

11 MR. REGAN: Site plan.

12 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Drainage, things of
13 that nature. But not with respect to the use or
14 density of the residential use.

15 Is that correct?

16 MR. REGAN: That's part of the Borough's
17 -- first of all, the 185 units are permitted by
18 ordinance, the zone. AH 26 is the zoning district,
19 essentially equates with the approved density for this
20 site.

21 The 185 units has in fact been incorporated in
22 the ordinance and the ordinance has been approved by
23 the court.

24 Now the Board is still obligated to consider the
25 site plan issues that the Chairman referred to, the

1 lighting, landscaping, drainage, so on, the usual site
2 plan issues.

3 But the 185 units in and of itself are part of
4 the ordinance and part of the Borough's housing
5 element and Fair Share Plan.

6 I think there would have to be some very, very
7 serious site plan issues to preclude, to allow a
8 reduction of the 185 units because it's essentially
9 part of your housing plan. The housing plan has been
10 approved by the Court.

11 You're still obligated to address the site plan
12 issues in the usual course and make recommendations in
13 terms of the usual site plan issues.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you. I think
15 that's what's most important to the Board Members to
16 fully comprehend and that is that we're not here in
17 judgment of that density. It is permitted by
18 ordinance. It's included in the Borough settlement
19 agreement.

20 Our challenge is to get the plan to work as well
21 as we can and apply the standards that we normally do
22 apply to major developments within the Borough.

23 With that said, thank you, Mr. Wolfson.

24 MR. WOLFSON: Yes. And just as a
25 follow-up to those comments, first just a reminder

1 that the only application at this time is for
2 preliminary approval which means we will be back for
3 final approval on this plan.

4 Secondly, that this plan is essentially a fully
5 compliant plan with the zoning ordinance that was
6 adopted and in furtherance with the settlement,
7 accorded with your settlement.

8 There is one minor variance you heard about from
9 Mr. Skapinetz relating to the lighting levels along
10 the municipal boundary which is also the lot line and
11 that can be eliminated if the Board has a problem with
12 it. But for the reasons Mr. Skapinetz talked about in
13 the past in terms of the safety issues and having a
14 complete lighting plan even though their happens to be
15 a lot line there, we think it makes sense to do that.
16 But if the Board has a problem with that for some
17 reason then they can tell us that.

18 At the previous hearing you heard engineering
19 testimony from Mr. Skapinetz and architectural
20 testimony from Mr. Minno. Mr. Minno completed his
21 direct testimony and the cross-examination of Mr.
22 Minno is also completed.

23 Mr. Skapinetz, the applicant's engineer and Mr.
24 Verderese sitting next to me, the applicant's traffic
25 expert, are here this evening to address a few

1 comments made at the previous meeting and to address a
2 few remaining Board professional review letter
3 comments that have not yet been addressed.

4 Another preliminary matter, a question was
5 raised at the March hearing regarding the MLUL
6 requirement that a building must be located on a lot
7 abutting a street giving access to such proposed
8 building. And the site on that requirement is NJSA
9 40:55(d)-35.

10 The access leading into the Montvale lot could
11 be improved to standards such that it can be dedicated
12 as a public street. But it is unclear whether Park
13 Ridge would want the road to be designed in such a
14 way. We have an application, as you heard, pending
15 before Park Ridge which is on hold until we complete
16 this process, out of an abundance of caution because
17 we don't know whether Park Ridge has an interest in
18 accepting that road if it were improved to that level.

19 We will request relief from NJSA 40:55(d)-35
20 pursuant to NJSA 40:55(d)-36 to permit the Montvale
21 lot to be developed even though it may not abut a
22 public street on which it can be accessed.

23 The policy concerns behind those statutes for
24 safety and access for emergency vehicles are met with
25 the proposed design. And you heard some discussion by

1 Mr. Skapinetz about that in the past that gives some
2 brief confirmatory discussion tonight.

3 MR. REGAN: Mr. Wolfson, will you address
4 the criteria in Section 36?

5 MR. WOLFSON: Right now.

6 MR. REGAN: Okay.

7 MR. WOLFSON: NJSA 40:55(d)-36 permits the
8 Planning Board to waive the requirement where
9 enforcement of it would entail practical difficulty or
10 unnecessary hardship where the circumstances of the
11 case do not require the structure to be related to the
12 street. It can direct the issuance of a permit
13 subject to conditions that will provide adequate
14 access for fire fighting equipment, ambulances and
15 other emergency vehicles necessary for the protection
16 of the health and safety and that will protect any
17 future street layout shown on the official map or a
18 general circulation plan.

19 The statute is designed to protect against the
20 construction of new buildings on lots abutting streets
21 to which emergency vehicles cannot gain entrance.

22 And, as I said earlier, Mr. Skapinetz has and
23 will again reinforce tonight that the property can
24 only be accessed through the Park Ridge portion of the
25 overall Sony tract. Such access is adequate for

1 emergency vehicles.

2 In fact, this plan has been further refined
3 since we started with you in response to Fire
4 Department and Police Department comments to include a
5 secondary access to the site as well for emergency
6 vehicles.

7 In sum, there is no public health or safety
8 concern to site access and Mr. Skapinetz will address
9 that.

10 MR. REGAN: I think it's important that
11 you have your engineer or an expert address that
12 criteria just so the record is protected.

13 MR. WOLFSON: Will do.

14 Okay. With that, I would like to turn to Nick
15 Verderese who is our traffic engineer.

16 MR. REGAN: Mr. Verderese was previously
17 sworn February 19th and remains under oath.

18 MR. WOLFSON: He was. Thank you, Mr.
19 Regan.

20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WOLFSON:

21 Q Nick, I know you wanted to first talk
22 about a couple comments that were made at prior
23 sessions of this hearing?

24 A Yes. The first one relates to Saturday
25 peak hour traffic data that was suggested so we went

1 back to some historical traffic data, traffic study
2 prepared by your engineer for West Grand Avenue and
3 Mercedes Drive. We utilized that data, through that
4 data similar to what we did in our traffic study
5 including other adjacent developments in the area. We
6 added all of that up, the data for the traffic, the
7 a.m. and p.m. that we did the analysis.

8 It showed that the Saturday peak hour volumes
9 were lower than both the a.m. and the p.m.. They were
10 significantly lower than the p.m. volume for 700
11 vehicles so the Saturday analysis would not control.

12 So the feeling was that we needed to provide
13 additional analysis to the Board for Saturday.

14 Q So the Saturday was lower?

15 A Lower than the weekday, yes.

16 Q Then there was a question raised by Maser
17 as well regarding the traffic signal warrant study.

18 A Yes. So we, we went ahead and using some
19 of the data that we had we looked at the warrant. We
20 did a very conservative analysis.

21 There are three different traffic volume
22 warrants that are published by the manual of Uniform
23 Traffic Control Devices. It's called the 1 hour, 4
24 hour and 8 hour and there's some criteria as to the
25 level of volume.

1 So what we did was conservatively we took our
2 peak hour volume and said, okay, let's spread that
3 over four hours, spread that over eight hours which we
4 know it doesn't really happen. And it still doesn't
5 meet the warrant for either the 1 hour, 4 hour, or 8
6 hour.

7 We are here for preliminary at this time. Your
8 engineer in the latest review letter, he asked for a
9 warrant for that. We can provide that warrant for
10 that intersection, you know, prior, if we're
11 successful here prior to coming back for final.

12 Q Great. I know there are some of the
13 comments in some of the review letters that you wanted
14 to respond to.

15 A There was, I guess, one comment on just
16 the circulation of the fire truck but I think Brett
17 hit on that one already. So otherwise --

18 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Excuse me. You're
19 referencing Board exhibit?

20 THE WITNESS: It was the March 18th
21 engineering review. So I don't know the exhibit
22 number.

23 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: March 18th.

24 THE WITNESS: It's the actually in the
25 traffic engineering review, Comment 7. I think that

1 talks about truck circulation.

2 MR. REGAN: B-10, I believe.

3 MR. WOLFSON: B-10.

4 THE WITNESS: And just talk about the fire
5 truck traveling across the front of the building and
6 intended to circulate, an exhibit was presented to the
7 Board. Actually, it was part of the site plan set.
8 That showed the fire truck adequately circulating into
9 the site, across the front of the building as well as
10 exiting the site in that area.

11 MR. HIPOLIT: And we're okay with that
12 design.

13 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: You're okay with that?

14 MR. HIPOLIT: Yes.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Please continue.

16 THE WITNESS: I think that's all I have.

17 MR. WOLFSON: That's all we have.

18 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

19 Before I open it up to Board Members, Mr.
20 Alampi, I understand you do have a witness here this
21 evening, a traffic engineer.

22 Correct?

23 MR. ALAMPI: That's correct.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Are you introducing him
25 at this point or do you want to wait?

1 MR. ALAMPI: No because I think it will be
2 clumsy. We'll do a cross-examination --

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay.

4 MR. ALAMPI: -- briefly. And, I'll keep
5 it brief because I do intend to call, on Park Ridge's
6 behalf, our traffic engineer's, his testimony.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay.

8 MR. ALAMPI: I just want to raise that
9 under the Municipal Land Use statute, 40:55(d)-36, I
10 didn't hear any testimony or any criteria set forth.

11 Mr. Regan astutely indicated --

12 MR. REGAN: He only made a statement. I
13 suggested that he provide testimony from a witness.

14 MR. ALAMPI: Did you get any?

15 MR. REGAN: Not yet.

16 MR. WOLFSON: Not from this witness.

17 Brett Skapinetz, the site engineer.

18 MR. ALAMPI: Not the traffic engineer? I
19 misunderstood.

20 MR. WOLFSON: Well, why don't I respond to
21 that since you asked the question.

22 CONTINUED REDIRECT BY MR. WOLFSON:

23 Q Mr. Verderese, in your professional
24 opinion, does the site improvements related to the
25 access to the Montvale development, are they adequate

1 for purposes set forth in the statute?

2 A Yes.

3 MR. ALAMPI: Well, again, I'll object.

4 These are net opinions. You don't just set forth that
5 this is my opinion. There's no basis, no criteria.

6 MR. REGAN: You can cross-examine him on
7 that issue.

8 MR. ALAMPI: I'm trying to keep it brief.

9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:

10 Q But, what do you base your opinion on, Mr.
11 Verderese, with regard to Section 36 of the statute?

12 A Yes. So based on RSIS the roadway meets
13 the minimum width required for two-way circulation.
14 It meets the town's requirements for aisle widths as
15 well. So I feel confident that it can adequately
16 service this property.

17 Q You said the roadway meets the standard?
18 Is that what you just said?

19 A Yeah.

20 Q What roadway are you talking about?

21 A The access driveway.

22 Q Could you show me on the site plan where
23 you're referring?

24 I don't know if you have a pointer.

25 A The driveway that goes out to Sony Drive

1 so there's one driveway that runs along.

2 Q Nick, the plan, you could do it there.
3 Show it on the monitor.

4 A Okay. Mark this one. I'm going to mark
5 this.

6 Brett will give you a little more --

7 MR. WOLFSON: A-33.

8 THE WITNESS: Site plan rendering, 4/4/19.

9 MR. ALAMPI: A-33?

10 MR. WOLFSON: Yep.

11 MR. REGAN: What are we describing it?

12 THE WITNESS: It's entitled site plan
13 rendering. It has a date of 4/4/2019. It's a
14 colorized version of the site plan with the
15 landscaping plan overlaid, similar to the same
16 exhibits we've been presenting previously.

17 So on this exhibit, in the top left corner we
18 see Sony Drive coming down to the property. Then they
19 ordered the access, the subject residential
20 development. Someone would make a right turn and
21 traverse along our northerly access aisle which,
22 again, meets all standards of the municipality as well
23 as the Residential Site Improvement Standards for
24 aisle width.

25 CONTINUED RE CROSS BY MR. ALAMPI:

1 Q So the Sony Drive ends abruptly at the
2 property line, doesn't it?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And wouldn't you consider Sony Drive,
5 using the RSIS standards, the way it ends there
6 wouldn't you consider that to be a cul-de-sac as
7 defined under the RSIS standards?

8 A No. It wouldn't be a cul-de-sac because
9 it doesn't have a bulb. Well, it would just be a dead
10 end street that accesses into a driveway at the end.

11 Q So if you don't have a circular or a
12 semicircular ending, you don't consider that a
13 cul-de-sac?

14 A No.

15 Q Under the RSIS standards, aren't streets
16 that just end abruptly also treated as cul-de-sacs?

17 A No. The cul-de-sac is a specific design
18 element that goes at the end of a stub street. So
19 you're just mincing words with calling it a
20 cul-de-sac.

21 Q I'm mincing words?

22 A Yes.

23 Q That standard and the RSIS regulations
24 that effects the volume of traffic on the cul-de-sac,
25 what's the purpose of that?

1 A Well, you're, you're -- well, Sony Drive
2 is not a residential street first of all. It's just a
3 street in town. So that wouldn't be classified under
4 RSIS in any way because it accesses both the
5 residential development as well as a commercial
6 development so it would not be classified under RSIS.

7 Q So then you have no street.

8 A It's a public street but it wouldn't be
9 controlled by RSIS. The only thing controlled by RSIS
10 would be access into the residential development.

11 Q But it does not access to the residential
12 development.

13 Doesn't it have access to an office development?

14 A It has access to two, if approved will
15 have access to a mixed use development essentially.

16 Q Let me see. Sony Drive ends abruptly at
17 the property line and goes into the commercial parking
18 lot of the office building, doesn't it?

19 A From my standpoint from a traffic
20 standpoint, it's a mixed use development that both
21 feed to Sony Drive.

22 Q So you're contending that the residential
23 application in Montvale is the structure that's being
24 proposed and the remaining office building, constitute
25 a mixed use.

1 Is that your position?

2 A Yeah. The driveway is functioning to
3 serve a mix of uses, yes.

4 Q Do you have any authority for that
5 position?

6 A It's two different uses that use a shared
7 driveway to access a public street so it's a mixed use
8 driveway.

9 Q It's not a shared driveway. Sony Drive is
10 a street.

11 A But after Sony Drive ends it becomes a
12 private driveway that is shared by two uses.

13 Q Where, exactly, does it become a private
14 driveway?

15 Sony Drive ends, it goes right into the
16 commercial parking lot of the office building tract?

17 A A private driveway.

18 Q You consider that to be a private driveway
19 where it ends?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Into a --

22 A Absolutely.

23 Q -- a reservoir of 600 or 700 parking
24 spaces?

25 A Absolutely.

1 Q That's your opinion it's a driveway?

2 A It's a driveway to a development that
3 serves office and residential.

4 Q Does any part of Sony Drive come into any
5 contact with Montvale at that point?

6 A No.

7 Q And so it's your position that constitutes
8 a driveway and that it's a mixed use shared driveway?
9 That's your position?

10 A Yes.

11 MR. WOLFSON: Respectfully, he asked the
12 question about five or six times now.

13 MR. ALAMPI: I will continue.

14 Q With regard to the --

15 MR. WOLFSON: Is that a question?

16 MR. ALAMPI: Is what a question?

17 MR. WOLFSON: Your comment at the end, was
18 that a question for him?

19 MR. ALAMPI: If I --

20 MR. WOLFSON: Yeah. Is that a question?

21 MR. ALAMPI: It's a comment.

22 MR. WOLFSON: It's time for -- this is
23 time for questions.

24 MR. ALAMPI: Peter, I didn't interrupt
25 you. Please.

1 Q With regard to the RSIS standard, you take
2 the position that the configuration of Sony Drive does
3 not render the approach to the residential building to
4 be a cul-de-sac and function as a cul-de-sac?

5 A No.

6 Q Okay. Also, Nick, you had been requested
7 to analyze the effect of other build-out, specifically
8 a commercial shopping center. I think you briefly
9 testified that you used the report of another engineer
10 from years earlier?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Can you identify that report that you
13 relied upon?

14 A We used a number of different ones so if
15 you could be specific.

16 Q Subsequent to your revision of your
17 traffic report which was revised back in October of
18 2018, some time in either January or February this
19 Board asked you to revisit the studies relating to the
20 additional development of the build-out to Grand
21 Avenue.

22 Correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q What did you study?

25 What did you do to bring that information

1 forward?

2 A So -- well, there's a couple different
3 things. So we updated our traffic study at one point
4 to include some additional developments in town, those
5 specifically as I testified to were --

6 Q Just tell me where it's in the report so I
7 can follow you.

8 A On Page 8.

9 Q Go ahead, Mr. Verderese.

10 A So we included development of DePiero
11 Farms. We included the development of 3 Mercedes
12 Drive, Triboro Square, and 80 town house units for
13 Toll Brothers.

14 Q You included them by actually doing
15 traffic counts at those sites?

16 A No. No we used the traffic studies from
17 those to project future traffic volumes.

18 None of these are built that I included.

19 Q Well, on Page 8 when you're referring to a
20 retail development on Parcel 8, what project are you
21 talking about?

22 A That's the remaining portion of the
23 DePiero Farm site.

24 Q The one that's across the street from
25 what's --

1 A No, it's next door. It's -- right now
2 it's just a piece of property.

3 MR. STEFANELLI: Stockpile.

4 Q Fenced off?

5 A Yes.

6 Q What about the DePiero Farm property
7 itself, did you do an actual traffic study of the
8 volumes estimating from that project since it was
9 developed a few years back?

10 A No. Because our traffic counts are recent
11 so it was occupied when we did our counts.

12 Q You'll have to go over that again.

13 You didn't do a traffic, an independent traffic
14 count on the DePiero project itself?

15 A No because when we did our counts, as I
16 said, that, all those uses were open for business so
17 it's included in the background traffic volume.

18 Q And then for the other three properties
19 that you just enumerated you took the studies that
20 were done by other engineers?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And how did you filter it into your
23 report?

24 A I added it to the volumes that we have for
25 the base to project future traffic volumes.

1 Q If I understand your report correctly you
2 also did an analysis of the levels of service at
3 various intersections that are in the immediate impact
4 of this project?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Is it fair to say, in many instances, the
7 Level of Service is an E., approaching F. Level of
8 Service?

9 I'll direct you to Page 12 of your report?

10 A Yes, sir. Page 12. So we did two sets of
11 analysis; Page 12 that Mr. Alampi is speaking of,
12 that's an analysis that includes what we're looking to
13 get approved, 185 units here in Montvale as well as
14 the potential additional 972 units on the neighboring
15 piece of property. So that would really be relevant
16 to, specifically to this 185 units.

17 So if you go to Page 10 our analysis shows and I
18 testified to it, nominal change in levels of service
19 at the intersections not if you have anything worse
20 than a D. Level of Service through all the four
21 intersections.

22 Then, when you go to Page 12 and you look at
23 that additional development, potential development,
24 again, other than the immediate intersection at Sony
25 Drive in gray everything still is that same D. Level

1 of Service. We do have a couple E. Levels of Service
2 at that intersection.

3 Q What intersection is that?

4 A The Sony Drive and Brae.

5 Q Which is right at the trunk of these
6 properties?

7 A E. Level of Service which is an acceptable
8 Level of Service.

9 Q And the E. Level of Service is that, if I
10 read it correctly, 47 and 45 you have in parentheses.

11 At what point, what criteria does it trigger
12 into an F. Level of Service?

13 A 50.

14 Q 50. So it's very close to performing as
15 an F.?

16 A Yes.

17 Just so you're aware, I spoke about the warrant
18 report. We did the warrant based on these higher
19 volumes and it still didn't meet the traffic signal
20 warrant. But, as I mentioned earlier, we will
21 formally prepare that and submit it to the Board, the
22 traffic signal warrant.

23 MR. WOLFSON: As part of final?

24 THE WITNESS: As part of final.

25 Q Is there a written warrant report or you

1 just did the analysis?

2 A We did the analysis just to feel
3 comfortable. And as I mentioned, we will give
4 something formal prior to final.

5 Q With regard to the exhibits, the comment
6 letters just recently produced by the Montvale police
7 traffic study, I believe we just marked that as B-16,
8 dated April 9th. The police reiterate, once again,
9 the need for a traffic signal at that very specific
10 point where you're approaching the service Level of F?

11 A Yeah but we don't just willy-nilly install
12 traffic signals at intersections. We have to do
13 studies and make sure it's warranted. If it's not
14 meeting the volume warrants then it wouldn't be able
15 to be signed off by an engineer that it meets the
16 warrant.

17 Q I don't think the police will just
18 willy-nilly recommend one.

19 A They don't do the analysis of the warrant.
20 There are other things to look at as well at the
21 intersection but it's volume warrants are the key to
22 sign off as an engineer. It would need to be one of
23 those warrants I spoke of.

24 Q Are you saying if there is a build-out
25 beyond this building and the potential in the Park

1 Ridge tract of land mall in front of the Life exercise
2 property, on Sony Drive, that you disagree that a
3 traffic signal should be installed there?

4 A Yes.

5 Q What do you suppose will happen when you
6 reach Service Level F at a three-way intersection,
7 what we call a T-intersection?

8 A Well, it doesn't reach Level of Service F
9 in our analysis. It's Level of Service E.

10 Q Approaching F?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Wouldn't you say it's fair --

13 A But it's an E.

14 Q Okay. So you disagree with the
15 installation of a traffic signal there?

16 A I do.

17 MR. ALAMPI: Okay. I have nothing
18 further, John.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

20 Questions --

21 MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. One I did forget.

22 Q Mr. Verderese, were you here for the
23 testimony of your colleague that indicated that you
24 can anticipate with today's times, with the internet
25 shopping and Amazon deliveries and such, the

1 testimony, I believe, was between 150 and 200 such
2 deliveries to service this 185 unit building per day?

3 Do you recall that testimony?

4 A I do not.

5 Q Would you be surprised or would you
6 disagree with that statement that there could be 150
7 to 200 deliveries?

8 This is besides the residents coming in and out.

9 A Are you saying, are you saying 150 trucks
10 or 150 boxes?

11 Q No. No. 150 deliveries was the
12 testimony.

13 MR. WOLFSON: Can I just ask a question?

14 Are you posing a hypothetical because our team
15 is not recalling that testimony?

16 MR. ALAMPI: I guess I'll have to research
17 the transcripts because I think --

18 MR. WOLFSON: We have the transcripts.

19 MR. ALAMPI: I don't. So I do have notes,
20 though.

21 MR. WOLFSON: Well, if you have notes, who
22 asked the question.

23 MR. ALAMPI: I thought it was Mr.
24 Skapinetz. I believe he answered that because Nick
25 Verderese hasn't testified yet. I think he was your

1 only witness at that point.

2 My recollection, Mr. Chairman, you can check the
3 record.

4 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: We can check the
5 record.

6 MR. ALAMPI: I do recall a statement,
7 given the nature of the building as a residential
8 complex, that you can anticipate between 150 and 200.
9 When I say Amazon, it could be UPS, Amazon, the like.
10 They're very preliminary.

11 I live on a cul-de-sac with only 12 houses and I
12 see four, five, six delivery trucks every afternoon.
13 Luckily they only stop at my house because my wife
14 doesn't shop.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

16 Any questions from Board Members starting with
17 Mayor Ghassali.

18 MAYOR GHASSALI: No questions.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

20 Mr. D'Agostino.

21 MR. D'AGOSTINO: No questions.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

23 Mr. Zitelli.

24 MR. ZITELLI: No questions.

25 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

1 Mr. Teagno.

2 MR. TEAGNO: No questions.

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

4 Mr. Culhane.

5 MR. CULHANE: No questions, Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

7 Mr. Fette.

8 MR. FETTE: Nothing at this time.

9 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

10 Mr. Stefanelli.

11 MR. STEFANELLI: Nothing from me.

12 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

13 Councilwoman Curry.

14 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Not at this time.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

16 Mr. Lintner.

17 MR. LINTNER: No questions.

18 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. With that said,
19 I'm going to open up for a motion to open the meeting
20 to the public.

21 MR. CULHANE: So move.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: For questions.

23 MR. TEAGNO: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Culhane, seconded

25 Mr. Teagno.

1 All in favor?

2 (Aye)

3 Members of the public, if you have questions of
4 this witness.

5 MR. O'SULLIVAN: David O'Sullivan, 252
6 Capri Terrace, Park Ridge, New Jersey.

7 I guess my question has to do with, when you did
8 the study, I was just curious, the current Hertz
9 facility is vacant.

10 Is that accounted for as far as traffic volume
11 because you're saying the daily traffic now is going
12 to be more substantial than weekend traffic?

13 Because I was just curious because the Hertz is
14 a corporate entity, I was wondering did you
15 incorporate that into your study?

16 A No.

17 Q I believe that that building can
18 accommodate close to 600 or 700 folks. I think that
19 the Board --

20 MR. REGAN: Why don't you ask him a
21 question rather than make a statement.

22 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I'm asking a question.

23 I'm asking the Board to consider that moving
24 forward that they should ask the applicant to include
25 Hertz Corporation as part of their study. I think

1 it's a sizable building that should be incorporated.

2 With respect to the A & P property, that is also
3 vacant. Obviously a new development --

4 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Let me interrupt you
5 for one second. I think it is a valid question.

6 I ask you, as a professional, do you believe
7 that that should be taken into consideration that if
8 and when that building is occupied -- historically, it
9 has generated 600 or 700 vehicles.

10 Will that have an impact on the proposed plan?

11 THE WITNESS: Even if we include other
12 developments -- so we included a number of approved
13 developments and that's generally the nature of how
14 you go about doing a traffic study that has to be
15 approved.

16 There is always in towns and counties unoccupied
17 space. It's a, the nature of any town that has
18 unoccupied space. We do our best to, you know,
19 project traffic volumes of what we see as a reasonable
20 projection of traffic volumes. So we have included a
21 number of large developments that are, some being
22 constructed right now.

23 But in the end, the amount of trips that 185
24 units generates doesn't rise to the level -- we
25 analyze intersections well outside of what I would

1 ever analyze with that type of volume. We're
2 generating 80 peak hour trips. And once they get out
3 of the site they disburse in multiple different
4 directions so you're not -- other than that one
5 intersection, we don't generate even 80.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Hipolit, do you
7 agree with that statement?

8 MR. HIPOLIT: So we have asked for warrant
9 notices. They have agreed to give one as far as a
10 final approval which we're okay with because they're
11 not building anything.

12 We always take the conservative approach, the
13 more developments we know that can be added in the
14 better. So if Hertz is vacant and we know a
15 development is coming in, potentially add it or take
16 the existing building and use it saying that traffic
17 counts -- and that's an existing building with no
18 proposed development.

19 You could have it escalated. It gets more
20 complicated when the every day person doesn't
21 necessarily understand it. When you look at places
22 like DePiero's and Triboro, A & P, those sites, they
23 use projections significantly higher than what
24 actually comes out.

25 You remember the testimony of the Triboro

1 hearings, the numbers they projected to DePiero's are
2 significantly less than what you're actually seeing
3 on-site, if not half of what they're projecting.
4 They're significantly lower.

5 So traffic engineers, in some cases, project the
6 numbers higher when you ask them for analysis, backup
7 and signals -- we like signals. The traffic engineers
8 don't necessarily like them. So it's different. It's
9 like a different thing. I don't know how much
10 difference it makes. 600 cars is going to make a
11 difference. There's no doubt.

12 But in the overall traffic in the developments
13 might not count because you're going to have buildings
14 that are vacant and buildings that are full.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. O'Sullivan, any
16 more questions?

17 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Gotcha.

18 Q As far as the Hertz facility now is it not
19 an approved development already?

20 It's just a vacant office but another tenant
21 could easily move into that building?

22 A Yes. As I mentioned, there's always
23 vacant buildings in town so we don't reoccupy for
24 every vacant building.

25 Q Do you know what the recent trend in

1 Montvale has been as far as office buildings as far as
2 vacancy rates?

3 A I do not.

4 Q Did you guys include the former A & P
5 property as part of your traffic analysis and the
6 residential that is being proposed there?

7 A I don't know about the property.

8 MR. HIPOLIT: You did. That's the 80 town
9 houses.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. Yes.

11 Q With respect to one of the items that Mr.
12 Wolfson brought up at the beginning of the hearing
13 that I believe I had brought up concerning MLUL
14 40:55(d)-35 building lot to abut a street.

15 Is it my understanding that the applicant will
16 be looking for Park Ridge to provide that variance?

17 Are you going to look for the variance here in
18 Montvale's Planning Board for that, for that
19 particular statute?

20 MR. WOLFSON: You're asking what we're
21 going to do at our hearing in Park Ridge?

22 MR. O'SULLIVAN: No. I'm just curious.
23 Are you going to go to Park Ridge to ask for the
24 variance with respect to that statute?

25 MR. WOLFSON: You are asking me what we're

1 going to do in Park Ridge?

2 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Or is it Park Ridge or
3 Montvale is the question?

4 MR. WOLFSON: Out of an abundance of
5 caution, because we don't know what's going to happen
6 in Park Ridge, vis-à-vis possible acceptance of the --

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think the question is
8 better asked of Mr. Regan.

9 Mr. Regan, what is your opinion rather than to,
10 to the fact relevant to the fact that this property is
11 not necessarily on a Montvale municipal street?

12 MR. REGAN: What the applicant can do and
13 I think Mr. Wolfson indicated, out of an abundance of
14 caution, they're going for relief under -- Section 35
15 is the regulation and the relief provision is 36.

16 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I guess they're going to
17 look for -- are they looking for relief here?

18 MR. REGAN: Yes.

19 MR. O'SULLIVAN: That was my question. I
20 didn't get a clear answer.

21 Thank you. That's all I have.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

23 Okay. Anyone else from the public -- one
24 second.

25 Anyone else from the public have any questions

1 of this witness? None.

2 The Chair will entertain a motion to close the
3 meeting to the public.

4 MR. D'AGOSTINO: So move.

5 MR. CULHANE: Second.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. D'Agostino,
7 seconded Mr. Culhane.

8 All in favor?

9 (Aye)

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Fette.

11 MR. FETTE: I have one question.

12 Why are we talking about the A & P property?

13 MR. HIPOLIT: I can answer the question.

14 MR. FETTE: What impact does the traffic
15 at No. 2 Paragon have?

16 MR. HIPOLIT: I can answer that.

17 What we try to do is, again, we always try to be
18 conservative with traffic. We know it's an approved
19 development. We know its going to be built. But we
20 wanted to see the impact because we had just redone
21 all those intersections. So we wanted to see what
22 impact, if any. It's more conservative.

23 MR. FETTE: Okay. Fair enough.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

25 Board Members, before we continue, any other

1 questions?

2 Hearing none --

3 MR. STEFANELLI: Wait. I have one.

4 How long has Hertz been vacant? I'm curious.

5 Park Ridge should know. I'm asking the question
6 to the lawyer. Yes.

7 MR. ALAMPI: I have no knowledge of how
8 long it's been vacant.

9 MR. FETTE: I was called out there last
10 year when the building, when the outside roof
11 collapsed and the building was predominantly vacant at
12 that time so it's been at least a year. It might be
13 more than that.

14 But I can say that it's been at least a year.

15 MR. STEFANELLI: At least a year?

16 MR. FETTE: Yes.

17 MR. STEFANELLI: Are there any other
18 vacant buildings on Brae? I know there's an NUS
19 building there.

20 Maybe we could find out what the -- I would be
21 interested in the occupancy.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Lorraine, could you ask
23 the Land Use Administrator in Park Ridge to see if you
24 could get any, any information relative to the
25 vacancies of any of the buildings on Brae Boulevard?

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Councilwoman.

2 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: My question is, I
3 guess, to Montvale here.

4 When we're talking about access on the Sony
5 Drive, can we use Brae Boulevard to get out of this
6 complex?

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Hipolit, do you
8 want to answer that question?

9 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Because they're
10 not -- they're going to be using right up around
11 through here.

12 MR. HIPOLIT: So there has to be -- you
13 know, I could try to -- it's probably better for their
14 professional but they're going to take the proposed
15 traffic on their site and give some direction to both
16 directions because some people will make a right turn
17 out. Most people will go straight.

18 You guys should answer what was your projection
19 in each direction.

20 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: And I know you
21 probably asked this already so I do apologize. My
22 mind must have wandered at that point.

23 MR. HIPOLIT: It looks like Hertz left
24 around 2013. Probably traffic counts from DePiero
25 Farm probably included them when it was full. So that

1 probably --

2 MR. REGAN: The DePiero application, site
3 plan application started in 2013.

4 MR. HIPOLIT: So they counted for that.
5 But... I'll try to check on it, the answer. If
6 there's a problem.

7 Percentage, what percent do you have making a
8 right versus straight?

9 MR. VERDERESE: Maybe 30 percent or so
10 goes in that direction.

11 MR. HIPOLIT: That's all right.

12 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Which direction?

13 MR. HIPOLIT: Making a right, 70 percent
14 go forward to Montvale.

15 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Going out to Grand?

16 MR. HIPOLIT: Is probably pretty fair.

17 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: All right. Thank
18 you.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Any other questions
20 from Board members?

21 Hearing none, Mr. Wolfson please.

22 MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Chairman, I would like
23 to recall Brett Skapinetz, our engineer and also
24 professional planner.

25 MR. REGAN: Mr. Skapinetz has previously

1 been sworn initially on January 2nd.

2 MR. SKAPINETZ: Yes. Good evening.

3 MR. REGAN: Good evening.

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WOLFSON:

5 Q Brett, first I would like you to comment
6 on the discussion you heard tonight regarding the MLUL
7 Section 35 and 36 issue.

8 A Yes. So let's look at, it's under 36,
9 let's start.

10 First and foremost, this is two parts. It's
11 understanding whether there's a practical difficulty
12 providing the access to a right-of-way, an unnecessary
13 hardship. I look at it as a practical difficulty. If
14 anything else, it goes back to the location of this
15 municipal boundary line as it goes through the
16 property and that we really only have essentially a
17 frontage to the Garden State Parkway not a municipal
18 right-of-way.

19 The only way to get to the municipal
20 right-of-way, in this case Sony Drive, is to go
21 through another property. And if the Board has had
22 other applications, has had something in a similar
23 light as this, the Board normally goes to the
24 applicant and says, hey, we'll look at another
25 property, can you talk to the adjacent property owner

1 to get frontage.

2 Well, we happen to be that property owner
3 immediately next door. So we own the property that
4 has the frontage of Sony Drive.

5 So now it's a matter of whether or not we meet
6 the next part and that is the safety aspect of the
7 vehicles.

8 Mr. Alampi has gone forth and made a note about
9 a cul-de-sac and I'll touch on that in moment. But
10 let's go to RSIS which is the driver here for this
11 residential development being proposed in Montvale and
12 that's the main part here and so under RSIS there is
13 different levels of development, density, based on
14 density. And under, I believe it's Table 4.3 under
15 NJAC 5:29, that's the RSIS, it breaks down based on
16 intensity of use what your cartway width should be,
17 cartway and right-of-way.

18 Well, cartway in this case is the key. So once
19 we come off Sony Drive what is the width of our
20 driveway? 24 feet is the requirement. We have 24
21 feet going in. So in my opinion we meet the design
22 requirement for the width.

23 Now, as a matter of law, the vehicles, can they
24 safely get in and out. We provided the templates,
25 emergency vehicles can get in and out, fire trucks can

1 get in and out from Sony Drive, making the right hand
2 turn, heading to the west towards the development for
3 the 225 foot section. Okay. Approximately from Sony
4 Drive to the Montvale boundary is about 225 feet.

5 That's the distance. That's not the
6 right-of-way. Okay. But that is 24 feet in width.
7 Safe maneuvers could be made to get on to the Montvale
8 property.

9 So now to go to Mr. Alampi and he was talking
10 cul-de-sac, the end of Sony Drive is not a cul-de-sac.
11 Okay.

12 What RSIS says is that you have a situation for
13 multi-family development where entering into the
14 development, you have a length of roadway up to 300
15 feet, you can provide your multi-family uses to either
16 side of that roadway. But once you hit 300 feet you
17 need to provide that bulb or a means of turnaround,
18 turning around a vehicle or larger vehicle in this
19 case the fire truck, to be able to turnaround in an
20 event it has to go to the end of the development and
21 get out.

22 Well, I mentioned 225 feet. We haven't hit that
23 threshold, that length. That's where Mr. Alampi is
24 going. But beyond all that, we don't have a
25 cul-de-sac, we don't need a cul-de-sac being added in

1 because we have free flow of vehicle coming into our
2 development, being able to turnaround once it enters
3 in through one of the other drive aisles we have
4 within the site plus, as a result of our, one of our
5 initial meetings, we add in that secondary access
6 point for emergency vehicles to be able to get in and
7 out of the southeastern end of the site.

8 So for all intents and purposes, I believe we
9 meet the second part which is the safe access of
10 emergency vehicles in and out. So I believe that
11 Section 36i can be applied here by this Board to allow
12 for this deviation.

13 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Hipolit.

14 MR. HIPOLIT: In general, I agree.

15 I will say that because the site is a, really
16 one site but in two towns, the Borough of Montvale
17 wouldn't want a roadway so we wouldn't want a
18 cul-de-sac into this site that we would have to take
19 care of. That would go one town to another town.
20 There is no reason for it.

21 This is one big property. Unfortunately, it's
22 split. The other side is going to be developed with a
23 main aisle.

24 As long as there's access to get in and out
25 safely, again, to have access. So we wouldn't want a

1 road. I think, I think from what you expect we're
2 saying it's valid from a planning perspective. I
3 don't know.

4 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. Green.

5 MS. GREEN: I have this issue often but
6 it's usually in the other side of the State where
7 there's farmland and there's access agreements instead
8 of flag lots. So I'm used to dealing with Section 35
9 and 36.

10 I have no issue with the testimony they
11 provided. They've been very responsive to our Fire
12 Department and their comments and concerns. I think
13 they've done a lot with the plans to improve them to
14 better address the Fire Department's concerns over the
15 course of all of our hearings.

16 And I agree, we can't do anything about the
17 property line. It is where it is and the street is
18 where it is. So the Municipal Land Use Law recognizes
19 that you're going to have these unique situations.
20 That's why they created Section 35 and Section 36 for
21 a board to evaluate each specific situation to assure
22 public safety is addressed.

23 MR. HIPOLIT: I'll say this is not a
24 cul-de-sac. That would wrongly be applied.

25 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Why don't we continue,

1 Mr. Wolfson.

2 Q Brett, I think you wanted to next turn to
3 a few of the remaining comments from the review
4 letters.

5 A I do. So I will go stand up and go to the
6 exhibit and start by saying that last meeting we
7 referred to A-33. So last meeting I stood here and I
8 went through each of the review letters and we
9 addressed 90 percent of the comments.

10 At the end of that meeting I conferred with my
11 client. We felt it would be best to try to put that
12 into the plans, changes that were asked of the Board
13 and by professionals in those letters and from that
14 meeting which we did on 4/4. So we then received your
15 updated professionals' letters to which there's just a
16 few that I want to touch on from each of those to wrap
17 this up.

18 So starting with A-33, the biggest physical
19 change in this exhibit that you can see is that we,
20 two things. One is that we added in and modified the
21 emergency discharge point from the basin. That was a
22 valid question where it was discharging previously.
23 That was a bit further to the northwest and where it
24 would have discharged storm water still making it to
25 the channel but it was going to be going over a

1 portion of the adjacent neighbor's land that wasn't
2 channeled.

3 So we have turned the emergency spillway
4 modifying the grading there to allow it to then drop
5 in the portion of the channel that extends to, into
6 our property a bit further to the east of its previous
7 location and then brought up by your Fire Department
8 was, we had shown previously green space on the north
9 end of the building and they asked for a 16 foot wide
10 drive aisle. 16 feet was based on their outrigger
11 width if you recall the testimony from the, I believe
12 it was the Fire Chief. So we showed that on the plan.
13 That's in, that is in this exhibit as well and the
14 revised plan set.

15 But, I'll jump ahead to the Fire Department
16 letter. They had two remaining comments, one to make
17 that driveway 20 feet versus 16 feet. We have no
18 objection and it wouldn't cause any detriment to the
19 plan. We can accommodate that additional 4 feet in
20 width; and to move a fire hydrant which we have no
21 objection to as well.

22 The added width of that impervious coverage
23 doesn't trigger a variance, 56 percent impervious
24 coverage to 58 percent where 60 percent is allowed.
25 So we're still under the impervious coverage number.

1 So now moving to the specific reports then I'll
2 start with Ms. Green's letter of April 15th. And to
3 that I'll start with Item B(1) which is on Page 5.
4 And, I'll talk to B(2) which is on Page 6.

5 I'm indicating that we'll comply with the
6 modifications to plantings, there would be no
7 objection to and that will remove all the variances
8 noted there.

9 E(5) --

10 MS. GREEN: You'll comply with B(1) as
11 well?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 MR. REGAN: That was going to be my
14 question.

15 A E(5) which is on Page 7, that I just
16 talked to which was about the impervious coverage
17 number as we go to 58 percent, we're still under 60
18 percent allowed.

19 MS. GREEN: So there's a typo on the
20 front?

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 A E(11) is the next comment which is
23 regarding signage. And there's a question of whether
24 or not the illumination of that sign, how they were
25 going to b e illuminated. There will be a back lit

1 sign, not a channel letter type.

2 MS. GREEN: Okay.

3 A E(17) and E(20) are the next two which are
4 on Pages 9 and 10. We can clarify this but
5 essentially there was some discrepancies but, about
6 whether or not it was scheduled on the plan. We'll
7 clarify those with you but we did end up counting
8 that, our plan numbers were right. So we double
9 checked them.

10 So we can go through them with you and show that
11 to you.

12 MS. GREEN: Sure.

13 A The same thing with E(23). We do have two
14 separate details and we can clarify which one is for
15 the regular sidewalk and one for the crossings which
16 we could, we touched on the last meeting as well.

17 Then E(25) is the last comment which would be on
18 the last page, Page 11.

19 Our proposal to put around the basins was to put
20 retaining walls similar to what's over at Wegmans.
21 We're looking to put a post and rail fence with wire
22 on it to kind of keep, kind of work similar to what's
23 in the area, approved by the Board and we would, if
24 that's no objection to the Board.

25 MS. GREEN: If the Board doesn't object to

1 that, I would ask that that detail be added.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 MS. GREEN: Go backwards. Item No. 24.

4 So the chain link fence detail says that the --

5 THE WITNESS: I don't have a 24.

6 MS. GREEN: Page 10, Item No. 24.

7 THE WITNESS: I only go to 23.

8 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Read us your
9 fictitious 24.

10 MR. FETTE: Do you have a 24?

11 MS. GREEN: Yes. At the March hearing Mr.
12 Skapinetz indicated the chain link fence would be
13 installed along the property line adjacent to the
14 Garden State Parkway and added to the site plan to
15 identify the chain link fence location. The label
16 indicates that the fence is 4 feet tall but the detail
17 on Sheet 21 states the fence height varies.

18 Testimony shall be provided on fence height and
19 color.

20 THE WITNESS: 4 feet tall chain link,
21 black vinyl.

22 MS. GREEN: Done.

23 MR. HIPOLIT: Does it meet Parkway
24 approval?

25 THE WITNESS: We have Parkway okay on the

1 fence, 4 feet, yep.

2 MR. HIPOLIT: Submit that so we have it.

3 THE WITNESS: Okay.

4 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Darlene, with respect
5 to your technical review, any other comments?

6 MS. GREEN: No. He answered everything.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Everything is covered.

8 Let's move on to Mr. Hipolit's technical review
9 of April 12.

10 MR. HIPOLIT: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Labeled engineering
12 review No. 3.

13 MR. REGAN: B-13.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: We have marked it as
15 B-13.

16 MR. HIPOLIT: I just have --

17 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: What do you have on
18 this report?

19 MR. HIPOLIT: I only have a few questions.
20 They have answered most of our questions.

21 You just answered my fence question on the
22 Parkway. Just provide that letter.

23 THE WITNESS: Yep.

24 MR. HIPOLIT: The next question I have,
25 Item No. 27. It's really a question for the Board so

1 they provided a tree location plan sample area. They
2 only gave us an area that said this is how many trees
3 are in an area. They didn't really cover all the
4 numbers. That's not normal what we see at this Board.

5 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: However, this is
6 preliminary.

7 MR. HIPOLIT: Correct.

8 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Presume that and
9 correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Regan, that when an
10 application is submitted for final we can go into full
11 detail of the landscape plan and not just an example.

12 MR. REGAN: Absolutely.

13 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Beg your pardon?

14 MR. HIPOLIT: I would be fine with that.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Why don't we keep it at
16 that.

17 Please continue, Mr. Hipolit.

18 MR. HIPOLIT: Okay. Water report, I just
19 want to highlight for the Board the Fire Department
20 has highlighted, the Fire Department has highlighted,
21 in my comment No. 60 under K, the Fire Department flow
22 calculations for Fire Department on the final site
23 plan approval we'll need that. It's going to be
24 difficult to provide a loop on that main.

25 We do have a tall building. We need fire and

1 flow counts.

2 MR. REGAN: That's final.

3 MR. HIPOLIT: Under final.

4 THE WITNESS: No objection.

5 MR. HIPOLIT: And then reiterate traffic
6 warrant analysis should be done on the final.

7 Comment 102, compact parking spaces. Montvale
8 doesn't have compact parking spaces.

9 MS. GREEN: Yes, we do.

10 MR. HIPOLIT: In this?

11 MS. GREEN: In the H(26) zone.

12 THE WITNESS: We'll max it to 35.

13 MR. HIPOLIT: I'll take that comment as no
14 longer valid.

15 And then we did do a preliminary look at their
16 environmental report, if you want to comment on it. I
17 could give it to you. I didn't write it up yet but I
18 could give it to you.

19 Generally the Park Ridge environmental review of
20 the site, this site is generally okay. When I say
21 generally okay there is areas on the site that had
22 some hits on it, environmental hits on it. The site
23 has the discussion of environmental fill. So if they
24 do get the final approval there, there may be some
25 conditions put on them, removing soil from site that

1 is stockpiled and tested to make sure it's not
2 contaminated historic type fills that come with living
3 in this area which is old farming areas and all kinds
4 from apple orchards and we have seen that. So we need
5 to have some specific language to that when we get to
6 final approval, nothing emergent right now.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Coming back to the
8 application, it's for a preliminary site plan
9 approval.

10 MR. HIPOLIT: Preliminary.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: In your opinion has the
12 applicant complied with the requirements for a
13 submission and ultimately approval of a preliminary?

14 MR. HIPOLIT: For preliminary, yes.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Is there anything, to
16 your knowledge, missing?

17 MR. HIPOLIT: Nothing other than the
18 conditions.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Miss Green, is there
20 anything missing from your point of view?

21 MS. GREEN: No.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Regan, for this
23 Board to take action on this application, for
24 preliminary site plan approval, is there anything more
25 that the Board requires from your point of view?

1 MR. REGAN: I don't believe so.

2 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

3 Mr. Wolfson.

4 MR. WOLFSON: That concludes the
5 testimony.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

7 I am going to go to Mr. Alampi.

8 Mr. Alampi, I'm going to open to the public but
9 before I do, do you have any questions?

10 MR. ALAMPI: Just one question.

11 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:

12 Q Brett, we were discussing the details of
13 the Administrative Code and the RSIS?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And the length of the 24 foot wide drive
16 aisle, cul-de-sac, the length is 225 feet?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Don't the regulations really confine the
19 size to volume of traffic?

20 Isn't that what the standards are?

21 A Not, not when it comes to that section of
22 design of a roadway to a multi-family residential
23 development. There is a density requirement to the --
24 it's defined to the width of the roadway.

25 If it was a lower density, you could go as low

1 as 20 feet in width for the width of the drive and a
2 maximum or, sorry, the minimum width for a higher
3 density development which is the category this project
4 falls into is 24 feet and we meet that for that
5 section of roadway as well as the rest of the roadway
6 within the proposed development.

7 Q And there's no provision of the RSIS in
8 the Administrative Code that also has criteria, for
9 volume of traffic at such a configuration?

10 A As I mentioned, there's a density, not a
11 volume of traffic. It's a density per acre
12 requirement that says that which triggers the width of
13 the roadway. And, also, there are specifications
14 within Table 4.3 specifically which lists the various
15 uses and the widths that are required, there are notes
16 in there that talks to a cul-de-sac. But this, as Mr.
17 Hipolit noted, I agree it doesn't apply here.

18 We don't have a situation of needing a
19 cul-de-sac nor would this be defined as a cul-de-sac.

20 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. No further
21 questions.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

23 Questions from Board Members and then I'll open
24 to the public.

25 Mr. D'Agostino.

1 MR. D'AGOSTINO: I don't have any
2 questions at this time.

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
4 Mr. Zitelli.

5 MR. ZITELLI: No questions.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
7 Mr. Teagno.

8 MR. TEAGNO: No questions.

9 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
10 Mr. Culhane.

11 MR. CULHANE: One question I have is in
12 the police report. They're looking for some
13 demarcation between the two towns. I was curious if
14 the applicant some way provides some sort of a marking
15 that indicates this is the boundary line between the
16 two towns.

17 MR. HIPOLIT: So I actually spoke to the
18 Police Department about this issue today. The issue
19 should go to the Fire Department also.

20 The police and fire, it comes down to the
21 cartway. If the car is in Park Ridge and there's an
22 accident and the police person writes a report, now
23 they're tied to a Park Ridge case because it's Park
24 Ridge vice versa is Park Ridge and Montvale.

25 So what the Police Department said, if it could

1 be done as a condition of approval, it would be great
2 if we had a marker at the end of the property that
3 would tie-in once we know where it is.

4 It's very similar where Mill Street meets Grand
5 Avenue. We know where our boundary is.

6 And Park Ridge, we don't want to go to their
7 court system and they don't want to come to ours
8 whether it be shared or not shared. They have to
9 actually come here. Different towns it becomes an
10 issue easy to do.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I would tend to think
12 the applicant would comply with whatever request from
13 the Police Department.

14 MR. WOLFSON: Yes.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think the record
16 should indicate that.

17 MR. CULHANE: No other comments, Mr.
18 Chairman.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
20 Mr. Fette.

21 MR. FETTE: Nothing.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Stefanelli.

23 MR. STEFANELLI: I got a question. So, so
24 a lot has been talked about, about the roadway access
25 into the development. Sony owns the property. They

1 have a roadway into it.

2 So based on, based on the development that is
3 being approved or proposed development here and then
4 what you're contemplating with Park Ridge, the rest of
5 the property, is there, is there going to be an issue
6 at the driveway about the width of the driveway,
7 access point at that point if it's fully developed as
8 proposed?

9 THE WITNESS: You're saying in the future
10 down the road?

11 MR. STEFANELLI: Correct.

12 THE WITNESS: Certainly we will have to
13 take a look at that whether any future modifications
14 may need to be done whether it be to determine radii
15 so forth and depending on what's proposed there,
16 obviously the same questions will come up when it
17 comes to density, Level of Service, traffic.

18 Mr. Verderese will have his work cut out for him
19 again.

20 MR. STEFANELLI: The question is, do you
21 see any issue with, and I'm not saying that it can't
22 -- I'm thinking of physical constraints of the
23 property that we could put in a wider driveway or
24 access.

25 THE WITNESS: No. I don't think so. And

1 in this plan we made some modifications to, to adjust
2 radii accordingly. So we have room to do more.

3 MR. STEFANELLI: I guess my next question,
4 I guess, does the Borough's court system, is Park
5 Ridge part of that?

6 MR. REGAN: No.

7 MAYOR GHASSALI: No.

8 MR. STEFANELLI: Yes. So we have a shared
9 court?

10 MAYOR GHASSALI: Yes.

11 MR. STEFANELLI: So I just don't --

12 MR. HIPOLIT: Our officers don't want to
13 come to court for Park Ridge. Believe me. It doesn't
14 -- it should be marked. We should know where it ends.

15 MR. STEFANELLI: No other questions.

16 MR. REGAN: As a condition on preliminary.

17 MR. HIPOLIT: That's it.

18 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Councilwoman.

19 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: No questions.

20 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

21 Mr. Lintner.

22 MR. LINTNER: No questions.

23 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

24 Mayor Ghassali.

25 MAYOR GHASSALI: No questions.

1 But I just heard that the Hertz property may be
2 leased at some point.

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Really?

4 MAYOR GHASSALI: Yeah. I don't know if
5 the traffic there will effect the traffic counts but
6 it sounds like it's been -- the numbers they have is
7 conservative enough but they might be -- I don't know,
8 I don't know if the numbers will change any time soon.
9 Just FYI.

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good.
11 Thank you.

12 Mr. D'Agostino.

13 MR. D'AGOSTINO: An observation, more of a
14 question really to that point about occupancy.

15 The roadway function, before this proposed
16 development which is fine when Hertz was fully
17 occupied. Right. So really the question, the
18 question really becomes is the 80 generated trips
19 really an impact?

20 MR. HIPOLIT: I think the applicant
21 testified, I agree with him that when you add 80 trips
22 to the exiting roadway network over the whole day or
23 hour, the peak hour, it doesn't make that much
24 difference. I think it will have some impact with all
25 the background built in. I think it would be small.

1 A lot of the intersections have been improved
2 already. So, again, I think it would be similar.

3 So if there's a problem, it would be on their
4 site but I don't think it has any impact.

5 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good.
6 Thank you.

7 The Chair will entertain a motion to open the
8 meeting to the public.

9 MR. STEFANELLI: So move.

10 MR. CULHANE: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Seconded Mr. Culhane.
12 All in favor?

13 (Aye) .

14 Anyone from the public have any questions of
15 this witness?

16 MR. O'SULLIVAN: David O'Sullivan, 252
17 Capri Terrace.

18 With respect to Andy Hipolit referenced an
19 environmental report, I just noticed it for the first,
20 I'm in the back of the auditorium this evening, a
21 preliminary assessment report, February 25th, 2015.

22 Was this part of the applicant's application to
23 the Borough for this, for this particular application?

24 MR. WOLFSON: It was submitted at the
25 request of the Board.

1 MR. HIPOLIT: We asked for it.

2 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Did you recently ask for
3 it because I did file an OPRA request. I haven't seen
4 this report.

5 MR. WOLFSON: It was submitted with our
6 latest resubmission which is more than 10 days.

7 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I'll take it up with Ms.
8 Iarossi (phonetic) as to the failure to receive that
9 report.

10 With respect to the environmental, it makes me
11 think a little bit about the wetlands application.

12 Would the applicant also submit the application
13 because I did receive the DEP response letters? I
14 believe there was three in total.

15 Did the applicant, Hornrock, submit also the
16 applications submitted to the DEP along with the
17 narratives and the wetlands exhibits, things of that
18 nature?

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Wolfson.

20 MR. SKAPINETZ: It was submitted. It was
21 a Letter of Interpretation in hand which basically
22 came back and verified the isolated wetlands closest
23 to this project which is kind of borders between
24 Woodcliff Lake, mostly in Park Ridge and maybe a
25 snippet of the buffer is in Montvale, not impacted by

1 the proposed development and also it gave
2 classification of the man-made channel that we're
3 discharging. I mentioned earlier that's where the
4 basin overflow is discharging into, on the border or
5 boundary.

6 But no other application was made, was made
7 other than to get that Letter of Interpretation and no
8 other permitting is required of the DEP from a
9 wetlands perspective for this project.

10 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Was an application made
11 though, with the narratives, the wetland exhibits,
12 things of that nature?

13 THE WITNESS: There was information
14 provided as part of an application to get the Letter
15 of Interpretation which is one of the letters that you
16 referenced.

17 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Would it be possible to
18 make that available to the Board so I could actually
19 get a copy of it as well?

20 MR. WOLFSON: If the Board wants us to
21 supply it, we will. You can get it from the DEP.

22 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Absolutely. I was just
23 asking -- the reason why I bring that up, Chairman
24 DePinto, is, doing some research --

25 MR. WOLFSON: Is this a question?

1 MR. O'SULLIVAN: It is a new question,
2 yes.

3 You know, I guess you were relying on another
4 engineer that did the wetlands delineation back in
5 2015 and there's no question of you relying on his
6 expertise as a PE.

7 I did review the U. S. DEP website. I came
8 across the U. S. Fish and Wildlife. I noted that they
9 have a wetlands almost going down the spine of the
10 property in Montvale.

11 What, I guess it was noted -- bear with me a
12 second here.

13 It was noted as a PF01(b) wetlands as identified
14 by U. S. Fish and Wildlife. And I was curious because
15 DEP, I understand DEP would come out and there's tons
16 of wetlands all over this property, on the other side
17 of this property.

18 I was concerned because looking at some of these
19 web sites I have seen that there's wetlands being
20 mapped, sophisticated technology. I was just curious
21 as to whether or not the inspector, when he was out
22 there or anything in the narrative that included the
23 investigation associated with the wetlands that U. S.
24 Fish and Wildlife along with DEP showed on their map.

25 THE WITNESS: Those maps that you're

1 referring to are, they're guides. I live it everyday.
2 So I use what's on the DEP's website as a guideline.

3 What's final is the walk by the DEP.

4 So the submission materials that you will, you
5 will see or me, essentially was narrative, was a
6 survey and report from the wetlands expert on what his
7 findings were and then the DEP physically comes out,
8 walks the site and says, yes or no, we agree or
9 disagree. And in this case, you know, primarily
10 agreed with what our experts findings were and,
11 therefore, issued a letter of interpretation which is
12 the binding document as to what wetlands
13 classifications were.

14 MR. O'SULLIVAN: And that's why, Chairman
15 DePinto, I would like to see some of those documents
16 just to see if it was properly vetted or not.

17 With respect to the issue I brought up at the
18 last meeting concerning the front yard definition
19 thereof, the definition starts off as an open and
20 unoccupied space within the existing full width of the
21 lot between the front property line and main front
22 wall of the building or projection thereof.

23 Again, my question is, it seems that in this
24 application we actually have a portion of the
25 detention basin along with the parking lot in the

1 front lot and I was just curious as to whether or not,
2 does that comport with this definition or not.

3 And I guess Brett or Mrs. Green, you can respond
4 to that.

5 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I would rather hear
6 from the engineer, what was your opinion?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes. We have our, we have
8 our, the front yard setback, yes, we have shown that
9 towards the Parkway and we have the basin in that
10 area. So --

11 MR. WOLFSON: So this is -- we're looking
12 at AH 26 district regulations now and we're looking at
13 Section 128-5.17(e)2.

14 THE WITNESS: And under that section it
15 notes that retaining wall, sidewalks, several other
16 free-standing signs and storm water basins, storm
17 water detention basins are permitted within the
18 setbacks.

19 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Does it also indicate
20 parking lots?

21 THE WITNESS: No. Parking lots are,
22 typically aren't, in my experience, not normally
23 considered a structure.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. Green, what is your
25 opinion relevant to parking lots?

1 MS. GREEN: We, depending on what zone
2 you're in in the Borough of Montvale, we allow parking
3 lots usually with certain limitations in the front
4 yard.

5 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I guess there's no
6 further comments, I guess, regarding that.

7 I see Mrs. Green is over there reading away.

8 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. Green, do you have
9 any further comments on that?

10 MS. GREEN: Well, I can state, because I
11 was involved in the negotiations and the writing of
12 this ordinance, that the front yard was purposely
13 selected as the Garden State Parkway and where, if
14 there's no prohibition of parking in the front yard
15 along the Parkway, then it's permitted.

16 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: So I believe that
17 answers the questions, Mr. O'Sullivan.

18 MR. O'SULLIVAN: It appears, with respect
19 to another item I brought up last time which is MLUL
20 40:55(d)-95(1) detention basins. There was a Section
21 D that talked about providing proclivity of a storm
22 water detention basin be as gradual as possible but
23 within the limits of existing water quality
24 regulations.

25 I believe at the last meeting you testified that

1 there was going to be a vertical drop. I'm curious as
2 to -- do you believe that you're comporting with that
3 section of the regulation?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes. This is not an
5 uncommon design for storm water management basins.
6 There's many in this situation with a sheer wall.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Hipolit, what is
8 your opinion?

9 MR. HIPOLIT: Yeah. It's a pretty
10 standard design. I don't have an issue with it.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

12 MR. O'SULLIVAN: All right. That's it.
13 Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

15 Anyone else from the public?

16 MR. LAROSE: Brian Larose, 64 Clairmont
17 Drive. A couple of questions.

18 My first -- in terms of the structure there, the
19 green space in the middle, is that going to be the
20 pool area?

21 Is that the intention of that?

22 THE WITNESS: In the center of the
23 building.

24 MR. LAROSE: Center of the building?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes. The amenities will be

1 situated there.

2 MR. LAROSE: Did that count as impervious
3 or pervious?

4 THE WITNESS: Impervious. And I clarified
5 that in the very first meeting what was in the center
6 of that building.

7 MR. LAROSE: Okay. Got it.

8 And I don't know if this is an appropriate
9 question for you or for somebody else but at present
10 Montvale Landscaping uses the back end of the parking
11 lot for parking equipment and what have you.

12 Is that going to remain a future of this
13 development or is that, does that belong to another
14 area of the Park Ridge side of things or how is that
15 going to work?

16 Again, I don't know if that's something you can
17 answer or not.

18 MR. HIPOLIT: Yeah. I'll answer it. They
19 can't use it to do that. They shouldn't be doing it
20 now. So we'll be stopping that.

21 MR. LAROSE: Excellent. Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

23 Anyone else from the public?

24 Okay. The Chair will entertain a motion to
25 close the meeting to the public.

1 MR. TEAGNO: So move.

2 MR. D'AGOSTINO: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Teagno, seconded
4 Mr. D'Agostino.

5 All in favor?

6 (Aye)

7 Okay. Mr. Wolfson.

8 MR. WOLFSON: No further testimony. I
9 have a closing statement.

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Mr. Alampì.

11 MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, I only have one
12 witness, Mr. Brian Intindola and he will be brief. I
13 recognize it's already 10:30.

14 Could we swear the witness in?

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Sure.

16 MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm that
17 the testimony you will give in this proceeding will be
18 the truth so help you God.

19 MR. INTINDOLA: I so swear.

20 MR. REGAN: For the record, state your
21 full name.

22 MR. INTINDOLA: Brian Intindola, I N T I N
23 D O L A.

24 MR. REGAN: Do that one more time.

25 MR. INTINDOLA: I N T I N D O L A.

1 MR. REGAN: Thank you.

2 MR. INTINDOLA: No problem.

3 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:

5 Q Mr. Intindola, very briefly give us the
6 benefit of your educational background, any
7 certifications and licensing.

8 A I'm a graduate of NJIT, graduate of
9 masters --

10 Q Use the mike.

11 A Does it work?

12 Okay. And then I'm a licensed professional
13 engineer in the State of New Jersey. I practice on
14 the municipal scale of traffic engineering for most of
15 my career since 1999, representing before boards and
16 also representing boards as a traffic engineer having
17 testified 300 such occasions, mostly North Jersey.

18 MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, he can be
19 qualified in traffic engineering.

20 MR. ALAMPI: Yes. Thank you.

21 Q Brian, you attended several of these
22 public hearings?

23 A Yes, I have.

24 Q Since January through February and March?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And with regard to the specific testimony
2 of Mr. Verderese, did you have the opportunity to
3 review his report from July of 2018 as revised in
4 October of 2018?

5 A Yes, I have.

6 Q And did you review, from his testimony,
7 the build-out of other projects in the immediate
8 vicinity?

9 A Yes, I have.

10 Q And have you formed an opinion with regard
11 to the levels of service at the various intersections
12 that he specified in his report?

13 A Generally the methodology is correct.
14 They did use an amalgam of traffic volumes, the counts
15 to project future conditions at the request of the
16 Board. Some of those assumptions may have changed as,
17 because there's, there's a change in the Trip
18 Generation Manual from 9 to 10 and in some instances.

19 Q When you say from 9 to 10 you mean from
20 the 9th edition to the 10th edition?

21 A Edition, correct.

22 And in some instances in favor of the applicant,
23 because, in some instances, the trip generation has
24 gone down for retail. So -- but that maybe should be
25 revisited just to see if those original referred to

1 traffic reports in the context of the later
2 information that we know the square footage of the
3 proposed build outs, perhaps to revisit that, you
4 either have more recent data if it's, because it's
5 readily available to do those re-projections based on
6 all the traffic projections.

7 Q When you say revisit, are you saying they
8 should have actually done traffic counts at those
9 properties?

10 A That's another question. I think the trip
11 generation could be revisited maybe to the benefit of
12 the applicant. Because, in some instances, the trip
13 generation went down and there was, there was also an
14 amalgam of future traffic conditions. And they
15 could -- they were included in the recent counts they
16 did in October of 2018.

17 A lot of developments that came on-line are
18 projected to come on-line did come on-line.

19 I think that what they presented, that that was,
20 there wouldn't be a need for that because they were
21 included in more recent counts.

22 Q Now with regard to the recommendations of
23 the Montvale Police Department and the discussion
24 regarding the installation of a traffic signal, have
25 you reviewed the traffic pattern and visited the

1 subject property of the intersections in question?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And do you agree that a traffic signal
4 would be important to be installed there?

5 A In the scenario of a full build-out
6 including the development of both towns, yes, because
7 if you look at the unsignalized levels of service you
8 are at the upper threshold of E. going into F.

9 And I believe, because it's a residential in
10 nature, you don't want to have driver frustration or a
11 residential area that's not exactly on the beaten
12 path. So I think for a number of reasons it would be
13 advisable to take a hard look and start to commit
14 resources and design right-of-way acquisitions for a
15 potential traffic signal because I think, ultimately,
16 it will need to be built.

17 Q With the potential for reoccupation of the
18 Hertz building on Brae Boulevard, does that have an
19 impact on the need for a traffic signal to be
20 installed at Brae and Sony Drive?

21 A Yes. What we're noticing, specific office
22 uses that, where you had occupation rates were a
23 little bit lower, new build-outs seem to have higher
24 employee counts than what they were a couple years ago
25 because building space is more efficient.

1 So we're seeing, on the office side, there has
2 been slight more intensification or parking demand and
3 trip generation and on the retail, it's gone down a
4 little bit so specific to an office use, yes.

5 Q So you're saying that in the typical
6 office space the efficiencies and technologies have
7 brought in more people to work in smaller spaces?

8 A More people in the same space, yes. That
9 would have, that would be --

10 Q Translated into more cars and more
11 traffic?

12 A Correct.

13 Q Lastly, Brian, we had the discussion with
14 both witnesses with regard to the RSIS standards.
15 You're familiar with the Residential Site Improvement
16 Standards promulgated under the New Jersey
17 Administrative Code?

18 A Yes. It's the design we all labor under,
19 the design guidelines and what we have to apply.

20 Q These design guidelines for new
21 residential construction?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And at the discussion with regard to the
24 terminus of Sony Drive, dead ending into the parking
25 lot, what is your opinion of the application?

1 A This is an interesting situation is that
2 you have a dead end and if you have a dead end, if you
3 continue into a residential use but it's shared with
4 an office use but then is in one town, then it goes to
5 another, at one point does that meet the criteria for
6 an RSIS cul-de-sac. And the way that RSIS applies it
7 or the application of it is subtle.

8 So what I would recommend is that the applicant
9 or the Board or some agency that's reviewing it so
10 they're not exposed by the liability of having what
11 could be interpreted as a cul-de-sac for the
12 residential part or some segments of the residential
13 part that is interpreted by the Department of
14 Community Affairs and they could weigh in on whether
15 this run from the dead end of whether it's in Park
16 Ridge then switches over to Montvale, whether any of
17 that would qualify as an RSIS definition of a
18 cul-de-sac and it may not but it has to be looked at.

19 And I would suggest that the interpretation made
20 by the DCA, because I've been in a similar situation
21 and sometimes it needs that, the subtle interpretation
22 needs to be reviewed by the DCIA (sic). It's a good
23 combination and it protects the Board.

24 And because if it is declared a cul-de-sac you
25 have trip generation thresholds which kind of limits

1 you to, I think it's 25 houses or 250 vehicle trips
2 per day and that that should be vetted to make sure
3 that you're not running afoul on that.

4 Q So you disagree with Mr. Skapinetz with
5 regard to traffic volume and the number of dwelling
6 units being a criteria?

7 A I mean the point I'm trying to make, Mr.
8 Alampi mis that I think it needs to be looked at by
9 the DCA to make sure that the Board is not exposing
10 itself to a cul-de-sac definition because it's subtle
11 and there is a trip generation threshold on a
12 cul-de-sac, whether there's a bulb or not which I
13 believe is 200 vehicle trips per day and that should
14 be looked at.

15 Q So you're not impressed with whether
16 there's a bulb at the end of the roadway or not?

17 A No.

18 Q As a criterion to determine it as a
19 cul-de-sac?

20 A No because it's -- what governs the way
21 RSIS is constructed, it is that they wanted to limit
22 traffic volumes at dead ends into residential sites.
23 So you have to look at both the geometrics which Mr.
24 Skapinetz had testified to in terms of the high
25 intensity use and the 24 foot cartway but you also

1 have to look at the trip generation on a daily basis.

2 And I just wanted to make sure that that is also
3 vetted by the DCA because this is a unique situation
4 when you come into a dead end on one town and you go
5 through another town, some segment may be declared or
6 interpreted as a cul-de-sac.

7 Q And this would be a question of
8 interpretation by the Department of Community Affairs?

9 A Yeah. For me it could be approached by a
10 simple phone call, then a memorialization of that
11 phone call and then that is then provided to the Board
12 because sometimes it, it takes too long to get the
13 interpretation written back to you. So we do a
14 one-way letter and let this letter serve as the
15 memorialization of the phone call. Then it's provided
16 to a board for their review or file.

17 Q In your practice, how much of your
18 practice is devoted to municipal engineering
19 representing community, a municipality?

20 A Mostly -- 70 percent.

21 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.

22 I have nothing further of this witness.

23 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

24 Mr. Wolfson.

25 MR. WOLFSON: Just briefly.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOLFSON:

2 Q Did I hear you just say that you consider
3 the intersection of Brae and Sony to be residential in
4 nature?

5 A I didn't say that.

6 Q What intersection were you referring to?
7 You called some intersection residential in nature.

8 A I don't recall saying that so I don't know
9 how to answer your question.

10 Q You also indicated a concern about the
11 potential build-out on the Park Ridge tract.

12 Right?

13 A The ultimate build-out, yes.

14 Q You heard our expert say that his traffic
15 projections included 972 residential units?

16 A Yeah, I heard it.

17 Q And you heard Mr. Hipolit, who is the
18 Board engineer, and our two experts confirm that this
19 does not constitute a cul-de-sac?

20 A Yes. Their interpretation but the DCA
21 also wrote in deference to the RSIS. I believe this
22 is a situation which could be or should be vetted by
23 interpretation. It's a simple phone call.

24 MR. WOLFSON: I just have a couple
25 redirect to my traffic expert.

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Sure.

2 RE-REDIRECT BY MR. WOLFSON:

3 Q Nick, this expert recommends that 250
4 trips standard is somehow relevant to this
5 consideration.

6 Can you just talk about that?

7 A Yes. The 250 is related to, if you're
8 developing a single family residential cul-de-sac
9 which this is not. There are two different
10 classifications of multifamily. There's a
11 multi-family cul-de-sac and a multi-family court.

12 The court has no limit on it and the cul-de-sac
13 has a 1,000 trip.

14 Q Okay. And then most, Nick, just to
15 confirm, if this applicant, if and when this applicant
16 gets something approved in Park Ridge, at that point
17 that applicant as part of that approval will have to
18 do a new traffic analysis including warrants?

19 A Yes.

20 Q The warrants justify a light or if
21 warrants justify a light on final here then a light
22 would be installed?

23 A Yes. I would assume we would pay a fair
24 share.

25 MR. WOLFSON: Right. No more questions.

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

2 Mr. Hipolit, do you have any comments or
3 questions with respect to this additional testimony
4 that you have heard?

5 MR. HIPOLIT: I believe my comments from
6 the Board are the same. I don't believe the
7 cul-de-sac are single family homes. I think the
8 applicant applied it properly.

9 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Do you see any value to
10 communicating with DCA to get an opinion from them
11 relative to this question?

12 MR. HIPOLIT: I don't. The DCA in the
13 RSIS was set up to protect the developer from the
14 boards not boards from developers. So even though the
15 idea is that a board comes in, makes a requirement for
16 a really big, really wide road for fire trucks,
17 whatever you can envision as a town and DCA would set
18 up with RSIS to protect the developer from having to
19 put a 65 foot wide road. I don't place any value on
20 that determination.

21 If it was the reverse where, you know, they were
22 trying to put something bigger maybe but in this case
23 it's one site in town.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

25 Ms. Green, do you have anything else?

1 MS. GREEN: No, I don't.

2 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. I think, I think
3 in summation, Mr. Wolfson.

4 MR. WOLFSON: Very briefly.

5 First, the applicant as well as the professional
6 team would like to thank the Board and its
7 professionals for all your hard work and attention
8 throughout this process. It's been a positive process
9 from the original plan having been improved through
10 the collaboration, many improvements to the plan
11 suggested by both the Board and its professionals
12 being incorporated.

13 The plan before you, there was a reference to
14 the rezoning ordinance process, is the plan that
15 Montvale anticipated when the rezoning was adopted so
16 that Montvale could have it as part of its affordable
17 housing compliance.

18 It is essentially a fully conforming plan.
19 There is one minor variance that we talked about at
20 length relative to the light that straddles the
21 municipal boundary, the lot line. We have had, out of
22 abundance of caution, amended our application
23 regarding the 35 and 36 relief that's been talked
24 about.

25 And we believe that there's ample testimony

1 supporting the accuracy of the access for emergency
2 vehicles. The plan also provides Montvale with 20
3 percent affordable set-aside whereas, in many
4 instances, 15 percent for rental projects is, is the
5 norm. So, to that extent, I think it's a benefit to
6 Montvale in meeting its obligation.

7 With that and the layout, I would like to thank
8 you for your time and ask for a positive vote on the
9 application.

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

11 Mr. Alampi, closing?

12 MR. ALAMPI: Yes, very briefly, Chairman
13 and Board Members.

14 I don't think that this applicant adequately
15 addressed the dichotomy of the Municipal Land Use
16 Statute with regard to the property and building
17 abutting a public street.

18 Section 35 and 36 notwithstanding, I think that
19 the testimony just had a net opinion without any basis
20 or analysis or criteria. And the net opinion being
21 that it was adequate for its purposes.

22 This is a self-created situation. The property
23 owner actually owns all of the property both within
24 and without Montvale and Park Ridge and a roadway
25 could have been configured so that it would align up

1 with Sony Drive. So it's really a false to say that
2 they have met the burden.

3 That's why the statute exists. The statute is
4 there for a purpose. I believe the applicant's been
5 dismissive of the statute and indicate, since we
6 addressed the Fire Department's concerns with adequacy
7 of the turnaround radius for fire trucks and
8 circulation of the building, taking advantage of the
9 very wide and large parking reservoir that exists
10 there.

11 But I think that the, spare the statute, that's
12 our position and I don't think it's been adequately
13 addressed.

14 The second, very brief point, RSIS standards do
15 have a lot of subtleties within the construct of the
16 Administrative Code.

17 I think Mr. Intindola has expressed those, that
18 it has the effect of being a cul-de-sac because it has
19 a limitation on traffic flow.

20 With that, of course, we object to the
21 application. We do recognize that this is an
22 application being filed in the affordable housing zone
23 that was tailored for this particular building. We
24 really don't take any issue with the height of the
25 building and in the context of the position of the

1 building.

2 Although the front yard setback has been
3 violated by a parking area, I don't agree with Ms.
4 Green. I think that this ordinance was very specific,
5 very detailed, very tailored and it was even an
6 oversight or such that the parking in the front yard
7 setback is not permitted. It's not prohibited but
8 it's not provided for and yet, very weirdly, the front
9 yard setback is in the back of the building, facing a
10 wooded area and the Garden State Parkway. I'm not
11 going to beat that to death. I do believe that that's
12 a deviation of the setback.

13 Now we do have front yard parking throughout the
14 region, sometimes in a commercial zone. You have
15 strip malls, whenever you set the building back, you
16 can park in the front because patrons don't want to
17 drive around the back of the building and walk back so
18 they want to be in front of the stores, not unusual to
19 have parking in the front setback in some commercial
20 areas. Generally it's prohibited in residential
21 areas.

22 Typically, if you have a free-standing office
23 building, you might have parking around all four sides
24 of the building. But here we're talking about the
25 rear of the property being front yard and then we have

1 parking reservoir. I think that's a violation.

2 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Very good. Thank you.

3 The Chair, I will entertain a motion to open the
4 meeting to the public.

5 MR. TEAGNO: So move.

6 MR. CULHANE: Second.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Teagno, seconded
8 Mr. Culhane.

9 All in favor?

10 (Aye)

11 Members of the public, it's now your opportunity
12 and last opportunity to offer comments with respect to
13 the application.

14 Mr. O'Sullivan, I presume you would like to
15 voice your opinion.

16 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Will there be an
17 opportunity to address the Board afterwards as well,
18 Chairman DePinto, if you open it to the public
19 irrelevant to this application or no?

20 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: No. It's my intention,
21 based upon all that has been submitted and the advice
22 that the Board professionals have given that we can
23 conclude this matter this evening. So this shall be
24 your last opportunity because I will be closing the
25 public hearing following comments from members of the

1 public.

2 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Gotcha.

3 Well, I guess, in general, I guess what I would
4 like to say is, if we go back to ground zero here. I
5 think you should look at the Montvale realistic
6 development potential.

7 In reviewing that report, I believe your number
8 was approximately 181 units that you guys were
9 obligated to provide. I think, based on the final
10 count of your housing element and Fair Share Plan, I
11 think you guys are somewhere north of 220 units. I
12 think you guys have now exceeded your RDP.
13 Congratulations to you to a certain extent. But I
14 think the thing that bothered me as a whole with
15 respect to this application is the fact that you have
16 26 units per acre that was provided for by this
17 applicant and I think it's, it's a quintessential
18 example of overdevelopment.

19 Here I think you guys could have provided a
20 normal front yard with normal setbacks. I didn't
21 think you needed to provide and I think the Borough
22 boundary with zero setback, I think that's unheard of.

23 I think that you guys basically bent over
24 backwards at the will of Hornrock here to the
25 detriment of everybody in the triboro. I think it's

1 completely unfortunate. I think these guys could have
2 done a residential development with maybe 60, 70 town
3 homes, similar to A & P that has about 11 or 12 acres.

4 These guys have 7 acres and they ended up
5 getting 185 apartments. I just think that the
6 manipulation that has occurred here to facilitate this
7 is just a travesty.

8 MR. REGAN: I'm going to have to interpose
9 an objection by using the term manipulation.

10 MR. O'SULLIVAN: You can object.

11 MR. REGAN: What do you mean by
12 manipulation?

13 MR. O'SULLIVAN: By putting a zero setback
14 on inter boundary Borough. Where else in the Borough
15 have you ever put in zero setback between two side lot
16 property owners or two side lines? Where else in the
17 Borough do you have that?

18 MR. REGAN: Well, I'd like to know what
19 you meant by manipulation, some type of corruption or
20 illegal conduct?

21 MR. O'SULLIVAN: It sounds like they had
22 185 in mind. You guys worked backwards to see how to
23 make that work.

24 MR. HIPOLIT: We did it for seasons, we
25 did it between and Montvale. We did. I want that for

1 the record.

2 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I'm saying the number was
3 185. I don't want to argue about it. I'm stating my
4 opinions.

5 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: It's not an argument.
6 I believe, as a member of the public, Mr. O'Sullivan
7 has a right to offer his opinions. We may disagree
8 with it or agree with it.

9 What's important is that he has the right. We
10 give him that opportunity. It will be on the record.
11 So why don't you conclude your comments?

12 MR. O'SULLIVAN: I will. And I appreciate
13 that, Chairman DePinto.

14 I guess, you know what, with respect to
15 finalizing things, it's really no, just a thing that
16 happened at the last meeting, at the last Planning
17 Board meeting, the Planning Board attorney, Mr. Regan,
18 made some comments concerning the irrelevance of a
19 shadow analysis involving the adjacent Lifetime
20 Fitness facility which I believe is completely
21 uncalled for.

22 At an earlier Planning Board meeting I asked a
23 question of Hornrock's architect if, whether or not he
24 conducted any type of shadow analysis that might pass
25 on to the Lifetime property due to the proposed

1 five-story structure that sits approximately 60 feet
2 south of the recreational area and umbrella area. At
3 that meeting, Chairman DePinto, you obviously agreed
4 with me that an analysis should be done to make sure
5 there was no impact to the adjacent property. You
6 instructed the applicant to do so. The applicant's
7 architect indicated that the analysis revealed, during
8 the winter months, the shadow would cast over a part
9 of the Lifetime facility, that during the spring,
10 summer and fall months of the year when outdoor
11 activities would be occurring there would be no shadow
12 over their facility.

13 I believe Mr. Regan insulted not only Chairman
14 DePinto but myself with his off base comments on the
15 analysis being irrelevant and a waste of time and then
16 asking whom requested this analysis, which a member of
17 the Hornrock team shouted out O'Sullivan. His
18 position here as a Planning Board attorney, he should
19 not try to intimidate or silence the public or
20 indicate, indicating that their questions are
21 irrelevant for the record. I believe this issue is
22 anything but irrelevant.

23 It's the Planning Board's responsibility to
24 ensure a proposed development such as the Hornrock 185
25 apartment unit complex doesn't have adverse effects on

1 the adjacent tenant subject to Hornrock's facility is
2 assessed at 38 million dollars and pay approximately
3 887,000 a year in real estate taxes to the Borough,
4 not so irrelevant.

5 So, Mr. Regan, I believe your comment was
6 uncalled for. You owe Chairman DePinto, myself and
7 the public an apology.

8 At the end of the day we can all disagree, as
9 Chairman DePinto said, as to the merits of this
10 application by Hornrock but we should be able to do so
11 in a civil environment.

12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

14 Mr. Regan, I'll give you the opportunity to
15 respond.

16 MR. REGAN: Yeah. I'm going to ask Mr.
17 O'Sullivan, did he attend the fairness hearing,
18 compliance hearing.

19 MR. O'SULLIVAN: Excuse me.

20 MR. REGAN: Did you attend the fairness
21 hearing and compliance hearing?

22 MR. O'SULLIVAN: For Montvale?

23 MR. REGAN: Yea.

24 MR. O'SULLIVAN: No, I did not.

25 MR. REGAN: But you come in here and

1 objecting to what the court said?

2 MR. O'SULLIVAN: No. I'm just
3 objecting -- I'm just pointing out the obvious when,
4 your real development intention here. You were short
5 on your realistic development potential. I could see
6 the idea of trying to making something more dense to
7 try and get there to be compliant with your obligation
8 but you exceeded your obligation. So why the
9 intensity?

10 MR. REGAN: The fact of the matter is that
11 the court approved the settlement and the settlement
12 is what it is.

13 MR. O'SULLIVAN: There's negotiations,
14 too, Mr. Regan. You negotiated with A & P. They came
15 in with hundreds of units. What Mr. DePinto and Mayor
16 Ghassali indicated. They got them down to 80 units
17 but there was no, there was no --

18 MR. REGAN: We get it and you don't like
19 the settlement.

20 MR. O'SULLIVAN: -- negotiation with
21 Hornrock.

22 MR. REGAN: And, Mr. Chairman, with
23 respect to the issue of the shadow study, there's
24 nothing in the ordinance that requires that. It's
25 totally irrelevant in my opinion.

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

2 Anyone else from the public wish to be heard in
3 closing?

4 Yes, sir.

5 MR. VRADENBURG: Ron Vradenburg, 26
6 Lakeview Avenue, Park Ridge, New Jersey.

7 A couple meetings ago, I listened to
8 Metropolitan Homes present probably their fourth or
9 fifth revision of their plan. They started out with a
10 number of town homes I guess on Spring Valley. I
11 wasn't at those meetings earlier on. They
12 subsequently asked to knock it down because it was too
13 dense so they did. Then they came back, then you
14 asked them to knock it down because it was too dense,
15 not keeping with the character.

16 But here we're looking at something that's
17 totally out of character of the area, 22 or 26 units
18 per acre and you guys have already said great, we're
19 done and I think that's ridiculous. And it's not,
20 it's unfair because you made one developer basically
21 lose a lot of income on the property that they own
22 because it was too dense but here you're more than
23 willing to do something for Hornrock and it's way too
24 dense for the area and we all know it.

25 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think the difference

1 is the fact that the subject application is the result
2 of a settlement agreement that was reached between the
3 property owner and the Borough. And it was reached
4 with the sanction of the courts. That density was
5 negotiated between Borough representatives and the
6 applicant and what was presented over these past few
7 months, was to show that in fact they can produce a
8 plan in compliance with the agreement and that the
9 Borough maintains its right to review the plan as I
10 indicated earlier with an eye towards traffic
11 circulation and lighting and landscaping and things of
12 that nature but not with an eye towards density. That
13 has been determined through the settlement hearing
14 that occurred a year, year and a half ago.

15 MR. VRADENBURG: I'm sorry.

16 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: The difference with
17 Metropolitan is they were not part of our housing
18 plan. They opted to purchase lands, do an assemblage
19 with an eye towards getting a higher density than was
20 ultimately approved. They took a gamble. It didn't
21 work out for them quite the way they had anticipated.
22 But it worked out in a manner with a lesser density.
23 They have, they received the unanimous support of this
24 Board.

25 So we're not really comparing apples to apples.

1 It's an apple to orange kind of comparison.

2 MR. VRADENBURG: What would happen if you
3 were to deny the applicant's project as it stands,
4 what would happen then?

5 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I'll defer to Mr.
6 Regan.

7 MR. REGAN: My opinion would be that the
8 applicant would go to superior court and get an
9 approval for 185 units and might even seek a higher
10 density.

11 MR. VRADENBURG: Okay. A builder's
12 remedy.

13 MR. REGAN: It wouldn't be a builder's
14 remedy. It would be seeking to enforce the settlement
15 that the municipality had agreed on, that was approved
16 by the Court.

17 MR. VRADENBURG: It's unfortunate that
18 we're in this situation that the settlement was even
19 reached because, frankly, we, in Park Ridge, are
20 trying very hard to prevent that from, for us to fall
21 into the same fate because I don't think it's going to
22 happen, unfortunately. I think we're going to be
23 okay.

24 But, unfortunately, you guys are put in that
25 position because of a really bad settlement. I

1 understand that. I accept your explanation because I
2 do recall also you were talking about it isn't a
3 proper buffer, I think, for Metropolitan Homes.

4 So I appreciate that explanation and I accept
5 it.

6 But I do think that, you know, this whole
7 situation is out of control and we really don't know
8 what your obligation is and you guys settled on an
9 obligation that's really yet to be determined. But I
10 accept you're there.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I do believe we do know
12 what our obligation is. And I'm going to ask Ms.
13 Green.

14 Ms. Green, could you please explain what our
15 obligation is, what the Borough has settled upon and
16 what is our outstanding obligation moving forward
17 after the settlement agreements are enacted.

18 MR. REGAN: Do you --

19 MS. GREEN: I don't remember all of the
20 numbers but we do have indeed a third round
21 obligation. It is etched in stone by the Court. And
22 we have an RDP that is also etched in stone and we
23 have unmet need.

24 We are required, under the affordable housing
25 statute. So to addresses all of our -- no, to satisfy

1 all of our unmet need and address -- excuse me, we
2 address our RDP and satisfy our RDP, we only have to
3 address not satisfy unmet need. So that is why there
4 are excess credits above and beyond the RDP. It was a
5 requirement of the settlement agreement and it was a
6 requirement that was upheld and found to be --

7 MR. REGAN: Fair expression of law.

8 MS. GREEN: Yes. Thank you.

9 This is what happens at 11:00 at night. Yes.

10 And that's the test for determining whether or
11 not our settlement agreement was able to be upheld and
12 approved by the judgment.

13 MR. VRADENBURG: No. I accept that.
14 That's the settlement. But what I'm talking about --
15 and I'll just stop at that. I'll just stop there
16 because we could go on all night about the settlements
17 and the useless, the useless formulas and all that.

18 I guess all I'm trying to say is it sounds to
19 me, listening to all the compromises that are being
20 made, in terms of fire and police and lighting and
21 parking, I have never heard of a parking lot that -- I
22 guess there are parking lots that border two towns.

23 But the way this whole thing has been
24 structured, you're trying, to use your term, put five
25 pounds of stuff into a two pound bag.

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: You know, I'm going to
2 share with you -- go back a few years.

3 When this property came forward to both Park
4 Ridge and Montvale and indicated to the Borough that
5 they were desirous of developing their property and
6 their willingness to work with the Boroughs relative
7 to meeting affordable housing obligations. And I know
8 it was Montvale's position back then that we should
9 deal jointly with Park Ridge. Because if in fact we
10 had dealt jointly with Park Ridge, I believe we would
11 have wound up with a better project. I think the
12 project that would meet the obligations that we both
13 have but we could have done it in a more efficient,
14 comprehensive manner because though we have a town
15 line that separates us, we are the same people. We
16 use the same roads. We use the same public services.
17 It would have been a better plan.

18 And it started under Mayor Fyfe and it carried
19 through with Mayor Ghassali, that interest in doing it
20 jointly and, unfortunately, we could never reach that
21 agreement with Park Ridge. We were ready. For
22 whatever reason, and we don't get involved with Park
23 Ridge politics, it just wasn't happening. That is the
24 most unfortunate aspect of this entire thing.

25 We could have done it better together.

1 MR. VRADENBURG: I agree. But you know
2 what's also said, too, I know a realtor has brought a
3 potential buyer for the Sony Building to the present
4 owners and they made it so difficult to get anywhere
5 they walked with the client.

6 And to -- so they're saying that the building is
7 totally useless as presently zoned but yet that was
8 the case and it was recently. And they filed to
9 rezone on our property right after they signed on it
10 when they still had a two year lease signed by Sony.

11 MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate
12 you want to have the public heard. I would
13 respectfully request that it be specific to this
14 application.

15 MR. VRADENBURG: And I agree with you and
16 I apologize.

17 MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.

18 MR. VRADENBURG: In short, I'm sorry you
19 guys have to do this because if you do this you're
20 making a big mistake.

21 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.
22 Anyone else?

23 Yes, sir in the back.

24 MR. REGAN: You were previously sworn and
25 you remain under oath.

1 MR. LAROSE: What's that?

2 MR. REGAN: You were previously sworn and
3 you remain under oath.

4 MR. LAROSE: All right. Thank you.

5 MR. LAROSE: Brian Larose, 64 Clairmont
6 Drive.

7 I believe, Mr. Chairman, you said it best at the
8 last meeting. You're here to do what's best for the
9 Borough without the negative impact to surrounding
10 property. That was what you said last week.

11 So I'm curious how you can make that statement
12 and move forward with this property in light of the
13 residential neighborhood directly south of this
14 property.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And, once again, this
16 is the result of a settlement agreement that was
17 entered into between --

18 MR. LAROSE: I'm well-aware of that. I
19 understand --

20 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: The function, the
21 function of the Planning Board is the implementation
22 of that agreement.

23 MR. LAROSE: I'm well aware of that.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And herein we sit.

25 And I'll go back to what the other gentleman

1 said, that single family home or multi-family home
2 development on Spring Valley Road. It was not part of
3 any agreement. And Montvale negotiated with that
4 developer bearing in mind exactly what I said, trying
5 not to negatively impact surrounding properties.

6 This is a little bit different. This is --

7 MR. LAROSE: It's a lot different.

8 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: This is forced upon us
9 through the settlement agreement.

10 MR. LAROSE: I appreciate the position
11 you're in with the fair share housing obligation that
12 you are set forth to abide by. But this goes beyond
13 that.

14 In your last amendment for the Master Plan that
15 you guys have on your website, sound planning involves
16 the conservation of neighborhood character and
17 objective achieved in part by maintaining existing
18 scale, density and character of the core single family
19 detached neighborhoods. This could be accomplished in
20 part through gradation of density, maintaining higher
21 densities and greater building heights further away
22 from the core single family detached residential zones
23 and requiring a lower densities and lower permitted
24 building heights as the properties approach
25 established core single family detached zoning

1 districts.

2 You did not do that in this case. You don't
3 even abide by your own amendment.

4 MR. REGAN: Because the settlement
5 agreement trumps everything.

6 MR. LAROSE: I understand that. But that
7 should have been taken into account during the
8 settlement agreement.

9 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Let me -- the property
10 came up before. It's called A & P --

11 MR. LAROSE: You can be smug and rub me
12 off as whatever you want but I'm here to speak. I
13 listened to you. Why can't you listen to me?

14 MR. REGAN: No one is being smug.

15 MR. LAROSE: I can see you rolling your
16 eyes.

17 MR. REGAN: I'm not rolling my eyes. I
18 think you're imagining it.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: We are listening.

20 The A & P property is across the street from a
21 residential zone in the Borough of Montvale. We
22 reached an agreement with that developer for a very
23 low density, consistent with the goals set forth in
24 the Master Plan.

25 MR. LAROSE: I understand that.

1 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: This property, with
2 respect to its location and our office, in our office
3 and research zone, Montvale does not have a
4 residential zone within the immediate vicinity of the
5 subject property.

6 Yes, there are single family homes in Woodcliff
7 Lake.

8 MR. LAROSE: So what consideration was
9 given to them during the settlement process?

10 Were they just ignored because they're outside
11 the borough lines?

12 You just said we're all neighbors in this and by
13 just ignoring your neighbors just creates future
14 headaches and future problems.

15 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I don't agree with that
16 and here's my position on that.

17 To the south of the subject property we felt
18 that by positioning the proposed building where it is
19 located, where it may be located, we created a buffer
20 area separating the proposed development from
21 Woodcliff Lake. There's not much more that I could do
22 other than deny the project. But I can't deny the
23 project.

24 MR. LAROSE: Because your hands are tied.

25 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Because the settlement

1 agreement that we're forced to implement.

2 MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, Woodcliff Lake
3 had counsel here at the initial public hearing on
4 January 2nd. Thereafter they did not appear. So
5 presumably Woodcliff Lake no longer formally objected.

6 MR. LAROSE: One last comment.

7 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Sure.

8 MR. LAROSE: In each and every one of
9 these amendments that you folks create, you are very
10 clear to define the closest single family home. And
11 I'll note again, in this particular amendment, that
12 was not done which shows even more disregard to the
13 residents of Woodcliff Lake and single family home
14 owners that are directly south to that property.

15 And that's how I feel.

16 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Very good. Thank you
17 for your comments.

18 Anyone else from the public?

19 Hearing none, the Chair will entertain a motion
20 to close the meeting to the public.

21 MR. CULHANE: So move.

22 MR. STEFANELLI: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Culhane, seconded
24 Mr. Stefanelli.

25 All in favor?

1 (Aye) .

2 Final deliberation and before -- starting with
3 Mr. Zitelli.

4 Mr. Zitelli, your final comments before I call
5 for an action.

6 MR. ZITELLI: Sure. This is a very
7 difficult site to develop. I understand -- again, I
8 consider this to be a very difficult site to develop.

9 I understand we have the court dictating to us
10 that we do have to act on this. I think this is about
11 as best as we can go.

12 The developer has worked with us in several
13 areas.

14 I see no reason to deny, at this point, Mr.
15 Chairman. So right now I'm in favor of it.

16 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

17 Mr. Teagno.

18 MR. TEAGNO: I agree with what Mr. Zitelli
19 said. We were forced into this with the agreements
20 over the last 30 years and they really left very
21 little to any town in New Jersey. Everybody has
22 objectives like we do.

23 I think we have made the best of it. I think we
24 made no mistake at all in coming to an agreement that
25 the courts awarded and now we're fulfilling that

1 agreement the best way we can.

2 And I think that the developer has done some,
3 most of the changes that we have asked them to do.

4 So I think they've been cooperative and I think
5 this is a good decision at this point.

6 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

7 Mr. Culhane.

8 MR. CULHANE: Well, a couple comments I
9 have heard tonight. One with regards to the Woodcliff
10 Lake property.

11 The ordinance that was passed requires a minimum
12 of 20 foot setback and the closest piece of pavement
13 we have to the Clairemont area on the property line is
14 about 116 feet according to the drawings.

15 So I think the plan that's before us has in fact
16 taken recognition of the adjacent property. And based
17 on the overall site constraints and other issues I
18 think it's about as best as you can do especially when
19 you recognize that the action of the 185 units is
20 something that's mandated by the courts as opposed to
21 something we can determine.

22 The other thing that strikes me as some of the
23 arguments made about the front yard, I happened to be
24 on the Planning Board of Montvale when the Sony site
25 was approved and some of the arguments raised by Park

1 Ridge today in theory probably puts in doubt the
2 legality of the original approval of Montvale at the
3 parking lot.

4 But that issue we say sailed away.

5 But, yeah, we can't get into the issue of why
6 it's got to be 185 units. That was negotiated in good
7 faith and I'll leave it at that.

8 I think the plan has evolved, is a good plan
9 based on all the other constraints of the site with
10 its unusual shape it presents.

11 And no other comments.

12 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

13 Mr. Fette.

14 MR. FETTE: I just, having sat on this
15 Board for a number of years now and watching how it
16 operates dealing with courts and things like that,
17 certainly a municipality doesn't want to lose home
18 rule. They want to be able to control the number of
19 units. They want to control their driveways,
20 landscape. They want to control everything. And
21 that's the name of the game in any town.

22 But I think Montvale has gotten smart enough
23 that they understand that nobody wins in court. And
24 any kind of, any kind of argument with a developer
25 that goes to court, the town is going to lose.

1 They've been losing.

2 I think they have lost 100 percent, haven't
3 they, Bob.

4 MR. REGAN: The two cases that have been
5 litigated at the trial level, South Brunswick and
6 Mercer County, Princeton, West Windsor so both
7 municipalities got hammered and plus any appeal was
8 taken, whether it was the GAP decision or any court
9 decision since Mount Laurel IV in March of 2015, every
10 case has gone against the municipality.

11 Municipalities have to honor it.

12 MR. FETTE: So municipalities don't have a
13 very good winning record is the bottom line.

14 MR. REGAN: They're batting zero.

15 MR. FETTE: And I think Montvale to
16 realize that, recognize that and be able to sit down
17 with a developer and keep some of that home rule in
18 our control, even though it's not always density which
19 we would like to be involved in but we're not because
20 of the court ruling, I'm very satisfied with the plan.

21 And I believe, with Mr. Zitelli, I think it's
22 the best we can get for what we have to work with.

23 And, lastly, I think the residents of Montvale
24 should be happy that we are not spending tax dollars
25 fighting an unwinnable case in court.

1 That's my comment.

2 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

3 Mr. Stefanelli.

4 MR. STEFANELLI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

5 I concur with everybody else. We didn't ask for
6 COAH. It kind of got forced on us. And we've been
7 through a lot with COAH.

8 And, I think most of the people on this Board,
9 we worked hard to, to, I would have to say be in
10 compliance.

11 It's a difficult situation especially when
12 you're talking about obligations. And, I think we had
13 a huge obligation but we were a successful town. We
14 had a corporate park. I was part of that corporate
15 park at one time. And, guess what, we moved out. A
16 lot of other people moved out.

17 We have a lot of empty buildings.

18 Although you could say some of it is coming
19 back, Hertz isn't coming back. Sony is not coming
20 back. I don't think you're going to fill those
21 buildings to what they were back in the heyday.

22 I, I wish we were in partnership with Park Ridge
23 when we did this and that didn't happen. And I think,
24 I think that's, that just shows that we can't
25 get-together on some of these difficult issues. And

1 they are difficult issues.

2 But I am for this project. I wasn't for it in
3 the beginning. I was one of the ones that really
4 objected to the density. And, they have done a good
5 job.

6 I think Hornrock has addressed, you know, most
7 of our concerns. I hope they could work something out
8 with Park Ridge in the future.

9 That's the end of my comments.

10 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.
11 Councilwoman.

12 COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Yes. Thank you,
13 Chairman.

14 So I'm pretty sick of this whole thing. Even
15 from the point of view of being on council when all of
16 this was decided. I'm not -- I'm not sick of the
17 Planning Board. I am just -- I'm sick of the whole
18 way that housing has been forced on past
19 administrations and this current administration where
20 we, where we, we can't go to court. We'll lose in
21 court. There is no -- yeah. But there's no more yeah
22 but.

23 So we agreed to this settlement which you really
24 had no other choice but to agree to the settlement.

25 And I have a -- I'm, I'm enthusiastic that the

1 developer has worked with us. I look forward to them
2 working with us even more so in terms of landscaping
3 and colors and things like that that we will be able
4 to suggest to them.

5 I have a concern not knowing what's going on
6 with the Park Ridge part of the property. What's
7 going on over there? You can, you guys work together
8 and get it together here and help us out a little bit?

9 Within terms of Woodcliff Lake, we did keep the
10 building over to one side so it's as far away as
11 possible to the community on the south side of the
12 property.

13 Park Ridge's concern about development and
14 density, they didn't seem to have that concern when
15 they put up that huge parking garage and I don't know
16 how many, five-stories is going to be coming up on
17 Kinderkamack Road.

18 Park Ridge had to do what they had to do for the
19 benefit of Park Ridge. And Montvale is doing what
20 Montvale has to do to benefit the citizens, the
21 residents of Montvale.

22 And so that's where I am.

23 Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

25 Mr. Lintner.

1 MR. LINTNER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2 I was involved with this right from the
3 beginning of the additional site plan review. And
4 certainly a lot of effort has been put into this
5 application by the applicant and also by the Board.

6 The applicant responded to suggestions and
7 requirements from both the Police and Fire
8 Departments. They considered the location of the
9 building. It is about as far away from Woodcliff Lake
10 as it can be. I think the Woodcliff Lake buffer is
11 there for a reason, to protect residential.

12 This, this whole procedure has gone through with
13 just a single variance and it's a nominal variance for
14 light extending past the property line which could be
15 eliminated if we wanted to be in the dark. Let's put
16 it that way.

17 But I think, as has been said, our hands have
18 been tied due to density. It's not our decision.

19 But in terms of the site plan and what is
20 presented, I am in favor of the approval for
21 preliminary site plan.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

23 Mayor Ghassali.

24 MAYOR GHASSALI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 So first let me start by saying no one likes

1 overdevelopment. We didn't come here and say let's
2 start building stuff. We like our towns the way they
3 are, the tree lined streets.

4 When I moved to my house, there were four acres
5 behind me, this will always be green. And look now,
6 there's 80 town houses behind my house, behind my
7 fence, overlooking my backyard.

8 No one likes overdevelopment.

9 In 2017 and 2018, I wish I could put the -- you
10 know, go back and take those two years out of my life
11 being the Mayor then and going through the affordable
12 housing issues. The ones that went to court, three
13 people. Darlene, you were at the last court hearing
14 where we went in front of the judge.

15 MS. GREEN: And Councilwoman Curry.

16 MAYOR GHASSALI: And Councilwoman Curry
17 and about 9, 10 lawyers from different developers.

18 And for the benefit of the Board here, the judge
19 then point blank, with his finger in our face, if you
20 don't settle, I will settle it for you.

21 So I agree that we had zero chance of winning.
22 We would have lost our control of how this building
23 would look, the density.

24 And I take offense, I take issue with members of
25 the public saying that we are manipulation and all

1 things appearing here.

2 The fact is the developer was asking for lesser
3 density than what we're voting on tonight. But
4 because of the obligation that we have and because of
5 the comprehensive obligation that we put together with
6 our planner, with our lawyers, for the, for the
7 benefit of the Borough of Montvale, this was the best
8 plan, with 20 percent set-side and 185 units.

9 We could have had maybe 160 or 165 with 15
10 percent but we chose, and I'm sorry to say it, the
11 previous administration of Park Ridge did not want to
12 work with us. The current administration but it's too
13 late now, they want to work with us. But we passed
14 that line already where we're, we are committed.

15 And in 2025, when that comes, we will have more
16 obligations. I don't think this is going away from
17 us.

18 That's why we try to go fill up as many office
19 buildings as we can now so when 2025 comes in, the
20 wisdom of our planners, attorneys and professionals
21 and consultants that we hire, we have a surplus that
22 we can be proud of.

23 We have, I think 45 or so surplus that when
24 we're gone and in 2025 the next people who sit here
25 are in these positions, they can thank us that we

1 actually have a surplus that we can use rather than
2 have a negative plan.

3 So I'm proud of the work we did. I can stand
4 here all day long and say the settlement was in the
5 best interest of the Board. We saved us money from
6 legal fees and from time spent.

7 Do we like it overall? No. But it's our
8 obligation and this, this is working -- this is the
9 best that they can develop and come up with.

10 I don't see how else, 185 units, that number
11 will not change. We are committed to the 185 there.

12 And this one last comment for the benefit of the
13 Board, after the settlement -- no, let me go back
14 before the settlement. We probably used to get, at
15 the time, calls on a daily basis from developers who
16 want to take one acre and put 15 and 20 units on it
17 because we didn't settle yet and they know they had
18 the upper hand.

19 In the downtown area by the railroad tracks,
20 they wanted to put 50 per acre. And if we didn't take
21 it, they would take us to court. In fact, some of
22 them were at the court hearing.

23 I was asking who are these people. These are
24 developers who want to see what will happen.

25 After the settlement, that same day, it was as

1 quiet. I come to the office and there is no notes
2 saying call so and so. That stopped.

3 And that's why we can go to each of the homes
4 saying we don't like it then we like this plan, they
5 can't sue us.

6 But in 2025, that's coming soon, so we actually
7 have a committee now working to plan for 2025 what if
8 in 2025 we have more obligation, what we are going to
9 do.

10 So I'm trying to say, but as far as this is
11 concerned, I think this is the best plan for us.
12 Woodcliff Lake, I have spoken with their Mayor. Their
13 is enough buffer there with Park Ridge. This is the
14 best that we can. Our hands are tied. And this is
15 what it is.

16 And I can call many names, social media for
17 members of the public sitting here today, I expect
18 more of that later on. But, I signed up for this is
19 so I'm here.

20 That's all.

21 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

22 And Mr. D'Agostino.

23 MR. D'AGOSTINO: Darlene, could you just
24 explain for the public the surplus because we haven't
25 used that word yet. I just want to make sure

1 everybody understands.

2 MS. GREEN: We, in our settlement
3 agreement, because, exactly what the Mayor was saying,
4 we had developers coming out the woodwork on any and
5 every piece of property, willing to help us with our
6 affordable housing obligation.

7 So what we did was build in this 40 plus unit
8 surplus so that if a site became available that was
9 not included in the RDP, a developer would take us to
10 court saying my site was not included in the RDP, was
11 vacant or available for development, now all of a
12 sudden it is so the RDP increases, I believe, from 181
13 or 188, increases by 20 or 30 or 40.

14 And the judge would say, okay, now, Montvale,
15 you have a deficiency because you're only providing
16 181 credits or 181 RDPs, not an RDP of 210 or
17 something higher.

18 So as the Mayor was saying, we purposely built
19 in a 40 plus surplus so if some other developer came
20 in between the settlement agreement and 2025, we could
21 say, no, we've got a surplus. You're not going to
22 take us to court. You're not going to win. We have a
23 plan and we're in control of that plan.

24 MR. D'AGOSTINO: I wanted everybody to
25 understand that.

1 To that end COAH, it's -- we have to live with
2 it. It's a fact of our lives and it's something that
3 you have to address. But I think this Board and this
4 town has been very proactive about it. And the
5 surplus is a clear example of it.

6 This piece is a very difficult piece that's been
7 pensioned, you know, physically, borders on two
8 different towns, a whole bunch of issues. I think
9 this is an appropriate development as it sits,
10 especially from a preliminary application as it is
11 right now. I'm anxious to see how it looks from the
12 final perspective.

13 At this point, I'm in favor of it.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

15 And just very quickly in closing, there are some
16 of us that serve on this Board for three and four
17 decades. And we've dealt with COAH from Round I. We
18 didn't like it then, we don't like it now.

19 But the one thing that we all agree upon is we
20 will comply. It's the law. We really don't have much
21 of a choice in the matter. We do what we can do.

22 When the gentleman that owned the Sony property
23 that came before us, they wanted to develop the
24 property to the maximum extent that they could with
25 the lowest affordable housing contribution that they

1 could get away with. And that didn't fly in Montvale.
2 We demanded 20 percent. And it wasn't that we had to
3 ring their necks to get the 20 percent. They came
4 forward and said, yes, we'll do it and then we started
5 negotiating from that point.

6 There have been developers that approached us
7 who wanted to give us nothing prior to the settlement
8 agreements and prior to us coming up with the housing
9 element. So when you look at one side where a
10 developer said we're not giving you anything, to the
11 Toll Brothers or the Hornrocks who give us 20 percent
12 to help us meet that obligation, it's a broad
13 spectrum. And I'm proud that I had something to do
14 with it so that we could have the least impact on the
15 residents of the Borough of Montvale.

16 You know, everybody thinks of a town like
17 Montvale, Park Ridge or Woodcliff Lake, Upper Saddle
18 or Saddle River as these affluent communities and
19 everybody could afford anything. That's not the case.

20 There are people that are challenged with the
21 cost of living today.

22 And just because, yes, we do have multi million
23 dollar homes in these towns, we do have seniors on
24 fixed incomes. We do have people who live quite
25 modestly. They can't afford the taxation that

1 currently exists yet alone afford drastic increases
2 that could occur if we were to go to court and fight
3 this litigation or fight this proposed development.

4 We do what we believe is the best for Montvale
5 in compliance with the law.

6 And as I said earlier, I only wish that we could
7 have sat down with Park Ridge. And I'm not going to
8 put the blame on the former Mayor. Wherever the
9 governing body of Park Ridge was getting its advice in
10 those days, it was taboo to talk about the combined
11 approach to development of this property. And it was
12 extremely, extremely frustrating.

13 Because, like John Culhane, I sat on this Board
14 when the Sony properties were built. And we didn't
15 quite understand it then why Brae Boulevard was
16 configured the way Brae Boulevard was but later
17 learned that's why 70 percent of the traffic goes into
18 Montvale and not Park Ridge. We kind of caught the
19 short end of that stick.

20 And, okay, we dealt with it for the past 40
21 years. And I'm not looking to reverse things but we
22 should have learned from then that had we done it
23 jointly, there are other properties in these two towns
24 where the property line or the town line goes through
25 it.

1 And I hope that the officials of Park Ridge and
2 the officials of Montvale can learn from this and we
3 don't go through this once again.

4 I'm going to support this application.

5 One thing though that I do want to caution the
6 applicant on, this is preliminary. You've got to
7 cross the T's and dot the I's for final. And that's
8 when this Board shines. That's when this Board will
9 make certain that this is a perfect development. And
10 it will be.

11 I'm not worried about you complying because I
12 think, based upon the experience we've had with you,
13 you will comply. But I just forewarn you, it will be
14 tough but we'll cross that bridge when we get to it.

15 Thank you very much for your patience as well.

16 With that said, I'm going to call for a motion
17 to request the Board Attorney to prepare a resolution
18 setting forth the conditions as placed upon the
19 record, setting forth the requirements of the Borough
20 professionals in the various review letters,
21 incorporating the representations made to the Board
22 and to the community by the applicant's professionals
23 and prepare this resolution with an eye towards the
24 Board adopting such a resolution to the affirmative.

25 That does not mean that this Board is approving

1 the application tonight but merely authorizing the
2 Board Attorney to prepare such a resolution for us to
3 vote upon.

4 Mr. Regan, I know your plate is really full with
5 regard to preparing resolutions and developers
6 agreements.

7 When do you anticipate that you would able to
8 achieve that.

9 MR. REGAN: I'm hoping the second meeting
10 in June.

11 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: The second meeting in
12 June. So that puts us at what, 60 days or so?

13 MR. REGAN: June.

14 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: June 8th.

15 And with that said, anyone care to make that
16 motion?

17 MR. LINTNER: I'll make that motion.

18 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Lintner.

19 MR. CULHANE: Second.

20 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Culhane.

21 Discussion on the motion?

22 MR. REGAN: Do you know who is eligible,
23 Lorraine?

24 MS. HUTTER: One second.

25 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you.

1 Roll call.

2 MS. HUTTER: Mr. Culhane.

3 MR. CULHANE: Yes.

4 MS. HUTTER: Council Member Curry.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER CURRY: Yes.

6 MS. HUTTER: Mr. D'Agostino.

7 MR. D'AGOSTINO: Yes.

8 MS. HUTTER: Mr. Fette.

9 MR. FETTE: Yes.

10 MS. HUTTER: Mayor Ghassali.

11 MAYOR GHASSALI: Yes.

12 MS. HUTTER: Mr. Lintner.

13 MR. LINTNER: Yes.

14 MS. HUTTER: Mr. Stefanelli.

15 MR. STEFANELLI: Yes.

16 MS. HUTTER: Mr. Teagno.

17 MR. TEAGNO: Yes.

18 MS. HUTTER: Chairman DePinto.

19 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yes.

20 Thank you everyone.

21 MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Have a good evening.

23 (The meeting adjourns 11:38 p.m.)

24

25

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2 I CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and
3 accurate transcript of the testimony and proceedings
4 as reported stenographically by me at the time, place
5 and on the date herein before set forth.

6 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
7 relative nor employee nor attorney or counsel of any
8 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a
9 relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
10 that I am not financially interested in this action.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

DONNA LYNN J. ARNOLD, C.C.R.

18 LICENSE NO. XI00991

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 08/04/19

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A	11:18	acres 92:3,4	87:14	121:6
A-26 3:3 5:4	access 11:7	117:4	88:12	122:25
A-27 3:4	11:10,24	act 109:10	adjacent	afoul 81:3
A-28 3:5	12:14,25	action 57:23	14:5 44:25	afternoon
A-29 3:6	13:5,8	109:5	50:1 53:13	32:12
5:17	17:25	110:19	93:19 94:5	agency 80:9
A-30 3:7	18:21	128:8,10	95:1	ago 7:13
A-31 3:8	19:19,21	activities	110:16	78:24 97:7
5:21	21:10,11	94:11	adjourns	98:14
A-32 3:9	21:13,14	actual 26:7	127:23	agree 36:7
5:23	21:15 22:7	add 36:15	adjust 63:1	47:14
A-33 3:11	42:4 44:12	47:5 64:21	administ...	48:16
19:7,9	47:5,9,24	added 14:6	114:19	59:17
49:7,18	47:25 48:7	26:24	118:11,12	64:21 69:8
a.m 14:7,9	61:24 62:7	36:13	administ...	78:3 89:3
abide 105:12	62:24 87:1	46:25	114:19	93:8 103:1
106:3	accessed	49:20	Administ...	103:15
able 29:14	11:22	50:22 53:1	58:13 59:8	107:15
46:19 47:2	12:24	53:14	79:17	109:18
47:6 95:10	accesses	additional	88:16	114:24
101:11	20:10 21:4	14:13	Administ...	117:21
111:18	accident	24:20 25:4	41:23	122:19
112:16	60:22	27:14,23	adopted 10:6	agreed 36:9
115:3	accommodate	50:19 85:3	86:15	69:10 94:3
126:7	34:18	116:3	adopting	99:15
abruptly	50:19	addition...	125:24	114:23
20:1,16	accompli...	5:24 6:4	advantage	agreement
21:16	105:19	address 9:11	88:8	7:19,25
absent 1:13	accorded	10:25 11:1	adverse	8:3 9:19
Absolutely	10:7	12:3 13:8	94:25	98:2,8
22:22,25	account	13:11	advice 90:21	101:5,11
55:12	106:7	48:14	124:9	102:21
67:22	accounted	90:17	advisable	104:16,22
abundance	34:10	101:1,2,3	78:13	105:3,9
11:16 39:4	accuracy	122:3	Affairs	106:5,8,22
39:13	87:1	addressed	80:14 82:8	108:1
86:22	accurate	11:3 48:22	affirm 74:16	109:24
abut 11:21	128:3	49:9 87:15	affirmative	110:1
38:14	achieve	88:6,13	125:24	121:3,20
abutting	126:8	114:6	affluent	agreements
11:7 12:20	achieved	addresses	123:18	7:15 48:7
87:17	105:17	100:25	afford	100:17
accept 100:1	acknowledge	adequacy	123:19,25	109:19
100:4,10	6:4	88:6	124:1	123:8
101:13	acquisit...	adequate	affordable	126:6
acceptable	78:14	12:13,25	7:19 86:16	AH 8:18
28:7	acre 59:11	17:25	87:3 88:22	70:12
acceptance	91:16	87:21	100:24	ahead 14:18
39:6	97:18	adequately	102:7	25:9 50:15
accepting	119:16,20	16:8 18:15	117:11	aim 5:20

aisle 18:14 19:21, 24 47:23 50:10 58:16	106:3 108:11 amendments 108:9 amenities 72:25	103:16 apology 95:7 appeal 112:7 appear 108:4 appearance 4:21 7:1	86:22 87:9 88:21, 22 90:13, 19 91:15 95:10 98:1 103:14 116:5 122:10 125:4 126:1	35:12, 15 37:19 40:18 52:23 62:3 84:16 96:11 98:20 99:15 101:12 110:25
aisles 47:3 Alampi 1:22 1:22 2:4, 9 2:14, 16 4:20, 21, 22 6:25 16:20 16:23 17:1 17:4, 8, 14 17:18 18:3 18:8, 9 19:9, 25 23:13, 16 23:19, 21 23:24 27:11 30:17, 21 31:16, 19 31:23 32:6 41:7 45:8 46:9, 23 58:7, 8, 10 58:11 59:20 74:10, 11 75:3, 4, 20 81:8 82:21 87:11, 12	amount 35:23 ample 86:25 analysis 14:7, 11, 13 14:20 27:2 27:11, 12 27:17 29:1 29:2, 19 30:9 37:6 38:5 56:6 84:18 87:20 93:19, 24 94:4, 7, 15 94:16 analyze 24:7 35:25 36:1 and- 1:4 ANDREW 1:16 Andy 65:18 answer 39:20 40:13, 16 42:8, 18 43:5 73:17 73:18 83:9 answered 31:24 54:6 54:20, 21 answers 71:17 anticipate 30:24 32:8 126:7 anticipated 86:15 98:21 anxious 122:11 apartment 94:25 apartments 92:5 apologize 42:21	appearing 118:1 appears 71:18 apple 57:4 99:1 apples 98:25 98:25 applicant 1:21 4:3 34:24 38:15 39:12 44:24 57:12 60:14 61:12 64:20 66:12, 15 76:22 77:12 80:8 84:15, 15 84:17 85:8 86:5 87:14 91:17 94:6 98:6 99:8 116:5, 6 125:6 applicant's 10:23, 24 65:22 88:4 94:6 99:3 125:22 application 4:8 10:1 11:14 21:23 43:2 43:3 55:10 57:8, 23 65:22, 23 66:11, 12 67:6, 10, 14 69:24 79:25 80:7	applicat... 44:22 66:16 applied 47:11 48:24 85:8 applies 80:6 apply 9:21 9:22 59:17 79:19 appreciate 93:12 100:4 103:11 105:10 approach 24:3 36:12 105:24 124:11 approached 82:9 123:6 approaching 27:7 29:10 30:10 appropriate 73:8 122:9 approval 4:9 8:2 10:2, 3 36:10 53:24 55:23 56:24 57:6 57:9, 13, 24 61:1 84:17 99:9 111:2 116:20 approved 8:19, 22 9:10 21:14 27:13	approving 7:24 125:25 approxim... 46:3 91:8 94:1 95:2 April 1:7 5:8, 9, 13 5:18, 23 6:7, 8, 9, 10 6:16, 17, 22 29:8 51:2 54:9 architect 93:23 94:7 architec... 3:4 5:9 10:19 architec... 5:12 area 14:5 16:10 52:23 55:1 55:2, 3 57:3 70:10 72:20 73:14 78:11 89:3 89:10 94:2 94:2 97:17 97:24 107:20 110:13 119:19 areas 56:21 57:3 89:20 89:21 109:13 argue 93:3 argument

93:5	94:18	65:20	batting	best 35:18
111:24	125:17	66:22 68:4	112:14	49:11
arguments	126:2	73:10	bear 68:11	104:7,8
110:23,25	128:7,9	82:13 89:9	bearing	109:11,23
ARNOLD	attorneys	89:15,17	105:4	110:1,18
128:17	1:21,24	89:17 91:4	beat 89:11	112:22
aside 7:19	118:20	97:13	beaten 78:11	118:7
asked 15:8	auditorium	102:2,8	Beg 55:13	119:5,9
17:21	65:20	103:23	beginning	120:11,14
23:11	authority	104:25	38:12	124:4
24:19	22:4	113:19,19	114:3	better 36:14
31:22 36:8	authorizing	113:20,21	116:3	39:8 42:13
39:8 42:21	126:1	117:10	behalf 4:12	48:14
49:12 50:9	available	119:13	4:22 7:1	102:11,17
66:1 93:22	67:18 77:5	background	17:6	102:25
97:12,14	121:8,11	26:17	believe 16:2	beyond 29:25
110:3	Avenue 2:20	64:25 75:6	29:7 31:1	46:24
asking 34:22	14:2 24:21	backup 37:6	31:24	101:4
34:23	61:5 97:6	backwards	34:17 35:6	105:12
38:20,25	awarded	53:3 91:24	38:13	big 47:21
41:5 67:23	109:25	92:22	45:14 47:8	85:16
94:16	aware 7:16	backyard	47:10	103:20
118:2	28:17	117:7	50:11 58:1	bigger 85:22
119:23	104:23	bad 99:25	63:13	biggest
aspect 45:6	Aye 34:2	bag 101:25	66:14	49:18
102:24	40:9 65:13	base 18:10	71:16,25	binding
assemblage	74:6 90:10	26:25	72:2 78:9	69:12
98:18	109:1	94:14	81:13	bit 49:23
assessed		based 18:12	83:21 85:5	50:6 66:11
95:2	B	28:18	85:6 86:25	78:23 79:4
assessment	b 1:9 3:1	45:13,15	88:4 89:11	105:6
3:6 5:16	51:25	50:10 62:2	91:7 93:6	115:8
65:21	B-10 16:2,3	62:2 77:5	93:20	black 53:21
associated	B-13 3:14	90:21 91:9	94:13,21	blame 124:8
68:23	6:16 54:13	110:16	95:5	blank 117:19
assume 4:16	54:15	111:9	100:11	Block 1:3,5
6:11 84:23	B-14 3:15	125:12	102:10	4:2,4,7
assumptions	6:18	basically	104:7	board 1:1,15
76:16	B-15 3:16	66:21	112:21	2:9,22
assure 48:21	6:20	91:23	121:12	4:11,11,16
astutely	B-16 3:17	97:20	124:4	6:5 7:16
17:11	6:22 29:7	basin 49:21	belong 73:13	8:24 9:15
attend 95:17	B(1) 51:3,10	67:4 69:25	benefit 75:6	10:11,16
95:20	B(2) 51:4	70:9 71:22	77:11 87:5	11:2 12:8
attended	back 7:12	basins 52:19	115:19,20	14:13
75:21	10:2 14:1	70:16,17	117:18	15:19 16:7
attention	15:11	71:20 72:5	118:7	16:19
86:7	24:17 26:9	basis 18:5	119:12	24:19
attorney	44:14	82:1 87:19	bent 91:23	28:21
1:15 93:17	51:25 57:7	119:15	BERGEN 1:2	32:16

38:18	borders	5:25 15:16	99:11,13	26:16
40:25	66:23	17:17 19:6	building	buyer 103:3
43:20	122:7	43:23 44:5	11:6,8	
44:21,23	borough 1:1	49:2 58:12	16:5,9	C
47:11	1:5,16 4:5	70:3	21:18,24	C 1:18 128:1
48:21	4:7,22	Brian 2:12	22:16 24:3	128:1
49:12	7:20 9:18	2:13,21	29:25 31:2	C.C.R 128:17
52:23,24	9:22 47:16	72:16	32:7 34:17	calculat...
52:25	65:23 71:2	74:12,22	35:1,8	55:22
54:25 55:4	91:21	75:21	36:11,16	call 17:5
55:19	92:14,14	79:13	36:17	30:7 82:10
57:23,25	92:17 95:3	104:5	37:21,24	82:11,15
59:23	98:3,5,9	bridge	38:14	83:23
65:25	100:15	125:14	41:10,11	109:4
67:18,20	102:4	brief 12:2	41:19 50:9	120:2,16
76:16 80:9	104:9	17:5 18:8	55:25	125:16
80:23 81:9	106:21	74:12	69:22	127:1
82:11,16	107:11	88:14	72:23,24	called 14:23
83:18 85:6	118:7	briefly 17:4	73:6 78:18	41:9 83:7
85:15 86:6	123:15	24:8 75:5	78:25	106:10
86:11	125:19	82:25 86:4	87:16 88:8	calling
87:13	Borough's	87:12	88:23,25	20:19
90:17,22	7:22,25	bring 24:25	89:1,9,15	calls 119:15
93:17,17	8:16 9:4	67:23	89:17,23	Capri 2:6
93:22	63:4	broad 123:12	89:24	34:6 65:17
94:18	Boroughs	Brothers	103:3,6	car 60:21
98:24	102:6	25:13	105:21,24	care 47:19
104:21	bothered	123:11	107:18	126:15
110:24	91:14	brought	115:10	career 75:15
111:15	bottom	38:12,13	116:9	Carmine 1:22
113:8	112:13	50:7 69:17	117:2,22	4:22
114:17	Boulevard	71:19 79:7	buildings	carried
116:5	41:25 42:5	103:2	12:20	102:18
117:18	78:18	Brunswick	37:13,14	cars 37:10
119:5,13	124:15,16	112:5	37:23 38:1	79:10
122:3,16	boundary	buffer 66:25	41:18,25	cartway
124:13	10:10	100:3	113:17,21	45:16,17
125:8,8,17	44:15 46:4	107:19	118:19	45:18
125:21,24	60:15 61:5	116:10	built 25:18	60:21
125:25	67:5 86:21	120:13	40:19	81:25
126:2	91:22	build 77:3	64:25	case 12:11
Board's 7:13	92:14	121:7	78:16	44:20
8:5 94:23	boxes 31:10	build-out	121:18	45:18
boards 75:15	Brae 28:4	24:7,20	124:14	46:19
75:16	41:18,25	29:24 76:7	bulb 20:9	60:23 69:9
85:14,14	42:5 78:18	78:5 83:11	46:17	85:22
Bob 112:3	78:20 83:3	83:13	81:12,16	103:8
body 124:9	124:15,16	build-outs	bunch 122:8	106:2
border 67:4	breaks 45:15	78:23	burden 88:2	112:10,25
101:22	Brett 2:7	builder's	business	123:19

cases 37:5 112:4	33:3,5,6,9 33:12,15	102:1 103:11,21	43:5 checked 52:9	108:10 110:12
cast 94:8	33:18,22	104:7,15	Chief 50:12	closing 74:9
category 59:3	33:24 35:4	104:20,24	choice 114:24	87:11
caught 124:18	36:6 37:15	105:8	122:21	90:24 97:3
cause 50:18	39:7,22	106:9,19	chose 118:10	122:15
caution 11:16 39:5	40:6,10,24	107:1,15	circular 20:11	clumsy 17:2
39:14	41:22 42:1	107:25	circulate 16:6	COAH 113:6,7
86:22	42:7 43:19	108:2,7,16	circulating 16:8	122:1,17
125:5	43:22	108:23	circulation 12:18	Code 1:16
center 24:8	47:13 48:4	109:15,16	15:16 16:1	58:13 59:8
72:22,24	48:25 53:8	110:6	18:13 88:8	79:17
73:5	54:4,7,11	111:12	98:11	88:16
certain 5:1	54:14,17	113:2,4	circumst... 12:10	collabor... 86:10
6:5 71:3	55:5,8,13	114:10,13	citizens 115:20	collapsed 41:11
91:13	55:15 57:7	115:24	civil 95:11	colleague 30:23
125:9	57:11,15	116:1,22	Clairemont 110:13	color 53:19
certainly 62:12	57:19,22	116:24	Clairmont 2:12 72:16	colorized 19:14
111:17	58:2,6	120:21	104:5	colors 115:3
116:4	59:22 60:3	122:14	clarified 73:4	combination 80:23
certific... 75:7	60:6,9	126:11,14	clarify 52:4	combined 124:10
CERTIFY 128:2,6	61:11,15	126:18,20	52:7,14	come 23:4
chain 53:4	61:18,19	126:25	classifi... 67:2	45:19 57:2
53:12,15	61:22	127:18,19	classifi... 69:13	61:7,9
53:20	63:18,20	127:22	84:10	62:16
Chair 40:2	63:23 64:3	challenge 9:20	classified 21:3,6	63:13
65:7 73:24	64:10 65:5	challenged 123:20	clear 39:20	68:15
90:3	65:11	Chambers 1:7	108:10	77:18,18
108:19	66:19	chance 117:21	122:5	82:4 95:25
Chairman 1:10,10	67:23	change 27:18	client 49:11	117:1
4:1,10,14	69:14 70:5	49:19 64:8	103:5	119:9
4:17,24,25	70:24 71:8	76:17	close 28:14	120:1
6:2,13,14	71:16 72:7	119:11	34:18 40:2	comes 6:1,3
6:23 7:3,6	72:11,14	changed 76:16	73:25	36:24
8:4,10,12	73:22 74:3	changes 49:12	108:20	58:21
8:25 9:14	74:10,11	110:3	closest 66:22	60:20
15:18,23	74:15	channel 49:25 50:5		62:17 69:7
16:13,15	75:18	52:1 67:2		85:15
16:18,24	82:23 84:1	channeled 50:2		118:15,19
17:3,7	85:1,9,24	character 97:15,17		comfortable 29:3
30:19 32:2	86:2 87:10	105:16,18		coming 15:11
32:4,15,19	87:12 90:2	check 32:2,4		19:18 31:8
32:22,25	90:7,18,20			36:15 47:1
	93:5,13			
	94:3,13			
	95:6,9,13			
	96:22 97:1			
	97:25			
	98:16 99:5			
	100:11			

57:7	119:11	comprehend	confine	35:22
109:24	committee	9:16	58:18	81:21
113:18,19	120:7	comprehe...	confirm 6:24	construc...
113:19	commonly 4:5	102:14	83:18	1:16 12:20
115:16	communic...	118:5	84:15	79:21
120:6	85:10	compromises	confirma...	consultants
121:4	communities	101:19	12:2	118:21
123:8	123:18	concern 13:8	conforming	contact 23:5
commenced	community	83:10	86:18	contamin...
7:12	80:14 82:8	115:5,13	Congratu...	57:2
Commencing	82:19	115:14	91:13	contempl...
1:9	115:11	concerned	connection	62:4
comment	125:22	68:18	8:7	contending
15:15,25	compact 56:7	120:11	conserva...	21:22
23:17,21	56:8	concerning	105:16	context 77:1
29:5 44:5	comparing	38:13	conserva...	88:25
51:22	98:25	69:18	14:20	continua...
52:17	comparison	93:18	36:12	4:12
55:21 56:7	99:1	concerns	40:18,22	continue
56:13,16	complete	11:23	64:7	16:15
95:5 108:6	10:14	48:12,14	conserva...	23:13
113:1	11:15	88:6 114:7	15:1	40:25
119:12	completed	conclude	consider	48:25
comments 7:5	10:20,22	90:23	8:24 20:4	55:17 80:3
9:25 11:1	completely	93:11	20:6,12	Continued
11:3 13:4	92:1 93:20	concludes	22:18	4:1 17:22
13:22	complex 32:8	58:4	34:23 83:2	19:25
15:13	42:6 94:25	concur 113:5	109:8	contribu...
48:12 49:3	compliance	condition	consider...	122:25
49:9 50:16	7:23 86:17	61:1 63:16	35:7 84:5	control
54:5 61:17	95:18,21	conditions	107:8	14:11,23
71:6,9	98:8	12:13	considered	100:7
85:2,5	113:10	56:25	70:23	111:18,19
90:12,25	124:5	57:18	116:8	111:20
93:11,18	compliant	76:15	consistency	112:18
94:14	10:5 96:7	77:14	7:14	117:22
108:17	complicated	125:18	consistent	121:23
109:4	36:20	conduct	106:23	controlled
110:8	complied	92:20	constitute	21:9,9
111:11	57:12	conducted	21:24	cooperative
114:9	comply 51:5	7:24 93:24	83:19	110:4
commercial	51:10	conferred	constitutes	copies 6:24
21:5,17	61:12	49:10	23:7	copy 67:19
22:16 24:8	122:20	confident	constraints	core 105:18
89:14,19	125:13	18:15	62:22	105:22,25
COMMISSION	complying	configur...	110:17	corner 19:17
128:18	125:11	24:2 59:9	111:9	corporate
commit 78:13	comport 70:2	configured	construct	34:14
committed	comporting	87:25	88:15	113:14,14
118:14	72:2	124:16	constructed	Corporation

34:25	78:24	criterion	68:14,20	5:16,18
correct 8:15	83:24 97:7	81:18	70:1 72:1	6:6,7,9,10
16:22,23	110:8	cross 2:14	104:11	29:8
24:11,22	course 9:12	125:7,14	current 34:8	David 2:5,11
24:23 55:7	48:15	cross-ex...	114:19	2:18 34:5
55:9 62:11	88:20	10:21 17:2	118:12	65:16
76:13,21	court 7:24	83:1	currently	day 1:18
79:12,22	7:24 8:2	cross-ex...	124:1	4:12 31:2
correctly	8:23 9:10	18:6	Curry 1:11	36:20
27:1 28:10	61:7 63:4	crossings	33:13,14	64:22 81:2
corruption	63:9,13	52:15	42:2,9,20	81:13 95:8
92:19	84:11,12	cul-de-sac	43:12,15	119:4,25
cost 123:21	96:1,11	20:6,8,13	43:17	days 66:6
council 1:7	99:8,16	20:17,20	63:19	124:10
1:11	100:21	20:24 24:4	114:12	126:12
114:15	109:9	24:4 32:11	117:15,16	DCA 80:20
127:4,5	111:23,25	45:9 46:10	127:4,5	81:9 82:3
Councilw...	112:8,20	46:10,25	custom 4:15	83:20
33:13,14	112:25	46:25	cut 62:18	85:10,12
42:1,2,9	114:20,21	47:18		85:17
42:20	117:12,13	48:24	D	DCIA 80:22
43:12,15	119:21,22	58:16	D 3:15 27:20	dead 20:9
43:17	121:10,22	59:16,19	27:25	79:24 80:2
63:18,19	124:2	59:19 80:6	71:21	80:2,15
114:11,12	courts 98:4	80:11,18	74:23,25	81:22 82:4
117:15,16	109:25	80:24	D'Agostino	deal 102:9
counsel	110:20	81:10,12	1:12 32:20	dealing 48:8
108:3	111:16	81:19 82:6	32:21 40:4	111:16
128:7,9	cover 55:3	83:19 84:8	40:6 59:25	dealt 102:10
count 26:14	coverage	84:11,12	60:1 64:12	122:17
37:13 73:2	50:22,24	85:7 88:18	64:13 74:2	124:20
91:10	50:25	cul-de-sacs	74:4	death 89:11
counted 43:4	51:16	20:16	120:22,23	decades
counties	covered 54:7	Culhane 1:11	121:24	122:17
35:16	create 108:9	33:4,5,21	127:6,7	decided
counting	created	33:24 40:5	daily 34:11	114:16
52:7	48:20	40:7 60:10	82:1	decision
counts 25:15	107:19	60:11	119:15	110:5
26:10,11	creates	61:17	DANTE 1:14	112:8,9
26:15	107:13	65:10,11	dark 116:15	116:18
36:17	credits	90:6,8	Darlene 1:17	declared
42:24 56:1	101:4	108:21,23	54:4	80:24 82:5
64:5 76:14	121:16	110:7,8	117:13	dedicated
77:8,15,21	criteria	124:13	120:23	11:11
78:24	12:4 13:12	126:19,20	data 13:25	deemed 5:7
County 1:2	14:24	127:2,3	14:1,3,4,6	defer 99:5
112:6	17:10 18:5	curious 34:8	14:19 77:4	deference
couple 13:22	28:11 59:8	34:13	date 19:13	83:21
25:2 28:1	80:5 81:6	38:22 41:4	128:5	deficiency
72:17	87:20	60:13	dated 5:8,13	121:15

define 108:10	DEP 66:13,16 67:8,21	39:7,22 40:6,10,24	108:23 109:16	determine 62:14
defined 20:7 58:24	68:7,15,15 68:24 69:3	41:22 42:1 42:7 43:19	110:6 111:12	81:18 110:21
59:19	69:7	47:13 48:4 48:25 53:8	113:2 114:10	determined 98:13
definition 69:18,19	DEP's 69:2	54:4,7,11 54:14,17	115:24 116:22	100:9
70:2 80:17	Department 3:16,17	55:5,8,13 55:15 57:7	120:21 122:14	determining 101:10
81:10	6:8,9,19	57:11,15 57:19,22	126:11,14 126:18,20	detriment 50:18
delibera... 109:2	6:21 13:4 13:4 48:12	58:2,6 59:22 60:3	126:25 127:18,19	91:25
delineation 68:4	50:7,15 55:19,20	60:6,9 61:11,15	127:22	develop 109:7,8
deliveries 30:25 31:2	55:21,22 60:18,19	61:19,22 63:18,20	describing 19:11	119:9
31:7,11	60:25 61:13	63:23 64:3 64:10 65:5	design 11:25 16:12	122:23
delivery 32:12	77:23 80:13 82:8	65:11 66:19	20:17 45:21	developed 11:21 26:9
demand 79:2	Departme... 48:14 88:6	67:24 69:15 70:5	58:22 72:5 72:10	47:22 62:7
demanded 123:2	Departments 116:8	70:24 71:8 71:16 72:7	78:14 79:18,19	developer 7:17 85:13
demarcation 60:13	depending 62:15 71:1	72:11,14 73:22 74:3	79:20	85:18
DeMARRAIS 1:22	depicted 4:4 DePiero 25:10,23	74:10,15 82:23 84:1	designated 1:5 4:4,6	97:20
dense 96:6 97:13,14	26:6,14 42:24 43:2	85:1,9,24 86:2 87:10	designed 11:13	105:4
97:22,24	DePiero's 36:22 37:1	90:2,7,18 90:20 93:5	12:19	106:22
densities 105:21,23	DePINTO 1:10 4:1,14,17	93:13 94:3 94:14 95:6	desirous 102:5	109:12
density 8:14 8:19 9:17	4:24 6:2 6:14 7:3,6	95:9,13 96:15 97:1	detached 105:19,22	110:2
45:13,14	8:4,10,12 9:14 15:18	97:25 98:16 99:5	105:25	111:24
58:23,25	15:23 16:13,15	100:11 102:1	detail 53:1 53:4,16	112:17
59:3,10,11	16:18,24 17:3,7	103:21 104:15,20	55:11	115:1
62:17 98:4	30:19 32:4 32:15,19	104:24 105:8	detailed 89:5	118:2
98:12,19	32:22,25 33:3,6,9	106:9,19 107:1,15	details 52:14	121:9,19
98:22	33:12,15 33:18,22	107:25 108:7,16	58:12	123:10
99:10	33:24 35:4 36:6 37:15		detention 69:25	developers 85:14
105:18,20			70:17	117:17
106:23			71:20,22	119:15,24
112:18			determin... 85:20	121:4
114:4				123:6
115:14				126:5
116:18				developing 84:8 102:5
117:23				development 7:18 8:3
118:3				17:25
deny 99:3 107:22,22				19:20 21:5
109:14				21:6,10,12
				21:13,15
				21:20 23:2
				24:20

25:10,11	36:3 37:8	77:23	23:4 24:2	12:22
25:20	37:9 45:13	79:13,23	25:12	24:10
27:23,23	61:9 84:9	126:21	27:25 28:4	28:20 67:3
35:3 36:15	105:6,7	dismissive	30:2 42:5	93:22
36:18	117:17	88:5	44:20 45:4	97:11
37:19	122:8	disregard	45:19 46:1	98:10
40:19	difficult	108:12	46:4,10	124:6
45:11,13	55:24	distance	47:3 50:10	easily 37:21
46:2,13,14	103:4	46:5	58:15 59:1	east 50:6
46:20 47:2	109:7,8	district	72:17	easy 61:10
58:23 59:3	113:11,25	8:18 70:12	78:20	edition
59:6 61:25	114:1	districts	79:24 88:1	76:20,20
62:2,3	122:6	106:1	89:17	76:21
64:16 67:1	difficulty	document	104:6	educational
73:13 78:6	12:9 44:11	69:12	driver 45:10	75:6
91:6 92:2	44:13	documents	78:10	effect 24:7
94:24 96:4	direct 2:14	69:15	driveway	64:5 88:18
96:5 105:2	10:21	doing 7:14	18:21,25	effects
107:20	12:12 27:9	25:14	19:1 20:10	20:24
115:13	75:4	35:14	22:2,7,8,9	94:25
121:11	direction	67:24	22:12,14	efficien...
122:9	42:15,19	73:19	22:17,18	79:6
124:3,11	43:10,12	102:19	23:1,2,8,8	efficient
125:9	directions	115:19	45:20	78:25
developm...	36:4 42:16	dollar	50:17 62:6	102:13
9:22 14:5	directly	123:23	62:6,23	effort 116:4
25:4 35:12	6:25	dollars 95:2	driveways	eight 15:3
35:13,21	104:13	112:24	111:19	either 15:5
36:13	108:14	DONNA 128:17	drop 50:4	24:18
37:12	disagree	door 26:1	72:1	46:15 77:4
77:17	30:2,14	45:3	due 93:25	element 7:22
deviation	31:6 69:9	dot 125:7	116:18	8:1 9:5
47:12	81:4 93:7	double 52:8	dwelling	20:18
89:12	95:8	doubt 37:11	81:5	91:10
Devices	disburse	111:1		123:9
14:23	36:3	downtown	E	eligible
devoted	discharge	119:19	e 1:9,9,18	126:22
82:18	49:21	drainage 8:9	1:18 2:1,1	eliminated
dichotomy	discharged	8:12 9:1	3:1 27:7	10:11
87:15	49:24	drastic	28:1,7,9	116:15
dictating	discharging	124:1	30:9,13	emergency
109:9	49:22 67:3	drawings	51:25 78:8	11:24
difference	67:4	110:14	128:1,1	12:15,21
37:10,11	discrepa...	drive 1:4,8	E(11) 51:22	13:1,5
64:24	52:5	2:12 4:6	E(17) 52:3	45:25 47:6
97:25	discussing	14:3 18:25	E(20) 52:3	47:10
98:16	58:12	19:18 20:1	E(23) 52:13	49:21 50:3
different	discussion	20:4 21:1	E(25) 52:17	87:1
14:21 22:6	11:25 12:2	21:16,21	E(5) 51:9,15	emergent
24:14 25:2	44:6 56:23	22:9,11,15	earlier	57:6

employee	73:24 90:3	3:11,14,15	exhibits	124:12,12
78:24	108:19	3:16,17	4:18 19:16	eye 98:10,12
128:7,9	enthusia...	evening 4:10	29:5 66:17	98:19
empty 113:17	114:25	7:8 10:25	67:11	125:23
enacted	entire	16:21 44:2	existing	eyes 106:16
100:17	102:24	44:3 65:20	36:16,17	106:17
ended 92:4	entitled	90:23	69:20	
ends 20:1,5	19:12	127:22	71:23	F
21:16	entity 34:14	event 46:20	105:17	F 1:9 27:7
22:11,15	entrance	everybody	exists 88:3	28:12,15
22:19	12:21	91:25	88:9 124:1	29:10 30:6
63:14	enumerated	109:21	exiting	30:8,10
81:22	26:19	113:5	16:10	78:8 128:1
enforce	environment	121:1,24	64:22	face 117:19
99:14	95:11	123:16,19	expect 48:1	facilitate
enforcement	environm...	everyday	120:17	92:6
12:9	5:15 56:16	69:1	experience	facility
engineer	56:19,22	evidence	70:22	34:9 37:18
1:16 10:23	56:23	4:19	125:12	93:20 94:9
13:11,15	65:19	evolved	expert 10:25	94:12 95:1
14:2 15:8	66:10	111:8	13:11 69:6	facing 89:9
16:21	envision	exactly	83:14,25	fact 8:21
17:17,18	85:17	22:13	84:3	13:2 39:10
24:9 29:15	equates 8:19	78:11	expertise	39:10
29:22	equipment	105:4	68:6	91:15
43:23 68:4	12:14	121:3	experts	96:10 98:1
70:6 75:13	73:11	Examination	69:10	98:7 102:9
75:16	escalated	2:4,7,8,8	83:18	110:15
83:18	36:19	2:9,10,14	EXPIRES	118:2
engineer's	especially	2:14 13:20	128:18	119:21
17:6	110:18	44:4 75:4	explain	122:2
engineering	113:11	example	100:14	failure 66:8
6:6 10:18	122:10	55:11	120:24	fair 7:22
15:21,25	ESQ 1:19,22	91:18	explanation	8:1 9:5
54:11	essentially	122:5	100:1,4	27:6 30:12
75:14,19	8:2,19 9:8	exceeded	exposed	40:23
82:18	10:4 21:15	91:12 96:8	80:10	43:16
engineers	44:16 52:5	Excellent	exposing	84:23
26:20 37:5	69:5 86:18	73:21	81:9	91:10
37:7	established	excess 101:4	expressed	101:7
ensure 94:24	105:25	excuse 15:18	88:17	105:11
entail 12:9	estate 95:3	95:19	expression	fairness
enter 4:21	estimating	101:1	101:7	7:23 95:17
entered 7:17	26:8	exercise	extending	95:20
104:17	etched	30:1	116:14	faith 111:7
entering	100:21,22	exhibit 5:24	extends 50:5	fall 94:10
46:13	evaluate	15:19,21	extent 87:5	99:20
enters 47:2	48:21	16:6 19:17	91:13	falls 59:4
entertain	Evd 3:3,4,5	49:6,19	122:24	false 88:1
40:2 65:7	3:6,7,8,9	50:13	extremely	familiar

79:15	110:14	93:15	flow 47:1	104:12
family 84:8	felt 49:11	finally 6:21	55:21 56:1	115:1
85:7 105:1	107:17	financially	88:19	123:4
105:18,22	fence 52:21	128:10	fly 123:1	found 101:6
105:25	53:4,12,15	find 41:20	folks 34:18	four 15:3
107:6	53:16,17	findings	108:9	27:20
108:10,13	53:18 54:1	69:7,10	follow 25:7	32:12
far 34:10	54:21	fine 55:14	follow-up	89:23
36:9 37:18	117:7	64:16	9:25	117:4
38:1,1	Fenced 26:4	finger	following	122:16
115:10	Fette 1:16	117:19	90:25	fourth 97:8
116:9	33:7,8	fire 3:16	foot 46:3	FRANK 1:10
120:10	40:10,11	6:8,19	50:9 58:15	frankly
Farm 25:23	40:14,23	12:14 13:3	81:25	99:19
26:6 42:25	41:9,16	15:16 16:4	85:19	free 47:1
farming 57:3	53:10	16:8 45:25	110:12	free-sta...
farmland	61:20,21	46:19	footage 77:2	70:16
48:7	111:13,14	48:11,14	forced 105:8	89:22
Farms 25:11	112:12,15	50:7,12,15	108:1	front 16:5,9
fate 99:21	127:8,9	50:20	109:19	30:1 51:20
favor 34:1	fictitious	55:19,20	113:6	69:18,21
40:8 65:12	53:9	55:21,22	114:18	69:21 70:1
74:5 76:22	fifth 97:9	55:25	foregoing	70:8 71:3
90:9	fight 124:2	60:19,20	128:2	71:12,14
108:25	124:3	85:16 88:6	foremost	89:2,6,8
109:15	fighting	88:7	44:10	89:13,16
116:20	12:14	101:20	forewarn	89:18,19
122:13	112:25	116:7	125:13	89:25
February	file 66:3	first 5:6,15	forget 30:21	91:20
5:16 13:17	82:16	7:5 8:17	formal 29:4	110:23
24:18	filed 88:22	9:25 13:21	formally	117:14
65:21	103:8	13:24 21:2	28:21	frontage
75:24	fill 56:23	44:5,10	108:5	44:17 45:1
feed 21:21	113:20	65:19	formed 76:10	45:4
feel 18:15	118:18	72:18 73:5	former 38:4	frustrating
29:2	fills 57:2	86:5	124:8	124:12
108:15	filter 26:22	116:25	formulas	frustration
feeling	final 10:3	Fish 68:8,14	101:17	78:10
14:12	15:11	68:24	forth 17:10	fulfilling
fees 119:6	28:23,24	Fitness	18:1,4	109:25
feet 45:20	29:4 36:10	93:20	45:8 62:15	full 37:14
45:21 46:4	55:10,22	five 23:12	105:12	42:25
46:6,15,16	56:2,3,6	32:12	106:23	55:10
46:22	56:24 57:6	101:24	125:18,19	69:20
50:10,17	69:3 84:21	five-sto...	128:5	74:21 78:5
50:17,19	91:9 109:2	115:16	forward 25:1	126:4
53:16,20	109:4	five-story	34:24	fully 9:16
54:1 58:16	122:12	94:1	43:14	10:4 62:7
59:1,4	125:7	fixed 123:24	100:16	64:16
94:1	finalizing	flag 48:8	102:3	86:18

24:4 64:15	generates	44:20	51:13,25	great 15:12
104:20,21	35:24	45:10 46:9	55:23 58:7	61:1 97:18
functioning	generating	46:20	58:8 62:5	greater
22:2	36:2	47:19 49:5	68:9 72:1	105:21
further 13:2	generation	49:5 51:17	72:19	green 1:17
30:18	76:18,23	52:10 53:3	73:12,15	2:8 3:15
49:23 50:6	77:11,13	53:7 55:10	78:8 89:11	48:4,5
59:20 71:6	79:3 80:25	58:7,25	92:8 95:16	50:8 51:10
71:9 74:8	81:11 82:1	60:19 61:6	99:21,22	51:19 52:2
82:22	gentleman	82:4 91:4	100:12	52:12,25
105:21	104:25	99:8	102:1	53:3,6,11
128:6	122:22	101:16	111:25	53:22 54:6
furtherance	geometrics	102:2	113:20	56:9,11
10:6	81:23	104:25	115:5,7,16	57:19,21
future 12:17	get-toge...	109:11	117:11	70:3,24
25:17	113:25	114:20	118:16	71:1,7,8
26:25 62:9	getting 92:5	117:10	120:8	71:10
62:13	98:19	118:18	121:21,22	72:19
73:12	124:9	119:13	124:7	85:25 86:1
76:15	Ghassali	120:3	125:4,16	89:4
77:14	1:12 32:17	124:2	good 4:10,14	100:13,14
107:13,14	32:18 63:7	125:3	4:24 44:2	100:19
114:8	63:10,24	goals 106:23	44:3 64:10	101:8
Fyfe 102:18	63:25 64:4	God 74:18	65:5 80:22	117:5,15
FYI 64:9	96:16	goes 18:25	90:2	121:2
	102:19	20:18	108:16	Green's 6:17
G	116:23,24	21:17	110:5	51:2
gain 12:21	117:16	22:15	111:6,8	ground 91:4
gamble 98:20	127:10,11	43:10	112:13	guess 15:15
game 111:21	give 19:6	44:14,15	114:4	31:16 34:7
GAP 112:8	29:3 36:9	44:23 80:4	127:22	39:16 42:3
garage	42:15	105:12	Gotcha 37:17	63:3,4
115:15	56:17,18	111:25	91:2	68:3,11
Garden 44:17	74:17 75:5	124:17,24	gotten	70:3 71:5
53:14	93:10	going 5:25	111:22	71:6 91:3
71:13	95:14	7:7 19:4	governing	91:3 93:14
89:10	123:7,11	33:19	124:9	97:10
general	given 32:7	34:11	governs	101:18,22
12:18	90:22	37:10,13	81:20	113:15
47:14 91:3	107:9	38:17,21	gradation	guideline
generally	gives 12:1	38:23 39:1	105:20	69:2
35:13	giving 11:7	39:5,14,16	grading 50:4	guidelines
56:19,20	123:10	40:19	gradual	79:19,20
56:21	go 25:9	42:10,14	71:22	guides 69:1
76:13	26:12	43:15	graduate	guys 38:4
89:20	27:17,22	45:21	75:8,8	42:18 91:8
generate	35:14	46:24	Grand 14:2	91:11,12
36:5	38:23	47:22	24:20	91:19,23
generated	42:17	48:19	43:15 61:4	92:1,4,22
35:9 64:18	43:14	49:25,25	gray 27:25	97:18

100:8	11:14,25	105:20	108:10,13	117:12
103:19	44:6 64:1	121:17	111:17	121:6
105:15	83:14,16	highlight	112:17	122:25
115:7	83:17 85:4	55:19	homes 85:7	123:8
H	97:2	highlighted	92:3 97:8	HUDSON 1:25
H 3:1	101:21	55:20,20	97:10	huge 113:13
H (26) 56:11	103:12	Hipolit 1:16	100:3	115:15
Hackensack	110:9	2:8 3:14	107:6	hundreds
1:23	hearing 4:2	16:11,14	120:3	96:15
half 37:3	7:12,23,23	36:6,8	123:23	HUTTER
98:14	10:18 11:5	38:8 40:13	honor 112:11	126:24
hammered	13:23	40:16 42:7	hope 114:7	127:2,4,6
112:7	38:12,21	42:12,23	125:1	127:8,10
hand 5:2	41:2 43:21	43:4,11,13	hoping 126:9	127:12,14
46:1 66:21	53:11	43:16	Hornrock 1:3	127:16,18
119:18	90:25	47:13,14	4:2 7:18	hydrant
hands 107:24	95:17,18	48:23	66:15	50:20
116:17	95:21,21	53:23 54:2	91:24	hypothet...
120:14	98:13	54:10,16	94:17,24	31:14
happen 15:4	108:3,19	54:19,24	95:10	I
30:5 39:5	117:13	55:7,14,17	96:21	I's 125:7
45:2 99:2	119:22	55:18 56:3	97:23	Iarossi 66:8
99:4,22	hearings	56:5,10,13	114:6	idea 85:15
113:23	37:1 48:15	57:10,14	Hornrock's	96:6
119:24	75:22	57:17	93:23 95:1	identified
happened	height 53:17	59:17	Hornrocks	68:13
93:16	53:18	60:17	123:11	identify
110:23	88:24	63:12,17	hour 13:25	24:12
happening	heights	64:20	14:8,23,24	53:15
102:23	105:21,24	65:18 66:1	14:24 15:2	ignored
happens	help 74:18	72:7,9	15:5,5,6	107:10
10:14	115:8	73:18	36:2 64:23	ignoring
101:9	121:5	83:17 85:2	64:23	107:13
happy 112:24	123:12	85:5,12	hours 15:3,3	illegal
hard 78:13	Hertz 34:8	92:24	house 25:12	92:20
86:7 99:20	34:13,25	Hipolit's	32:13	illuminated
113:9	36:14	6:15 54:8	117:4,6	51:25
hardship	37:18 41:4	hire 118:21	houses 32:11	illumina...
12:10	42:23 64:1	historic	38:9 81:1	51:24
44:13	64:16	57:2	117:6	imagining
headaches	78:18	historical	housing 7:22	106:18
107:14	113:19	14:1	8:1 9:4,9	immediate
heading 46:2	hey 44:24	historic...	9:9 86:17	27:3,24
health 12:16	heyday	35:8	88:22	76:7 107:4
13:7	113:21	hit 15:17	91:10	immediately
hear 17:10	high 81:24	46:16,22	98:17	45:3
70:5 83:2	higher 28:18	hits 56:22	100:24	impact 27:3
heard 10:8	36:23 37:6	56:22	102:7	35:10
10:18	59:2 78:23	hold 11:15	105:11	40:14,20
	98:19 99:9	home 105:1,1	114:18	

40:22	14:5 78:6	installed	intersec...	69:17
64:19,24	84:18	30:3 53:13	15:10	72:10
65:4 78:19	income 97:21	78:4,20	27:24 28:2	88:24
94:5 104:9	incomes	84:22	28:3 29:21	94:21
105:5	123:24	instances	30:6 36:5	96:23
123:14	incorporate	27:6 76:18	83:3,6,7	111:4,5
impacted	34:15	76:22,23	intersec...	117:24
66:25	incorpor...	77:12 87:4	27:3,19,21	issued 69:11
impervious	7:21 8:21	instructed	29:12	issues 8:25
50:22,23	35:1 86:12	94:6	35:25	9:2,7,12
50:25	incorpor...	insulted	40:21 65:1	9:13 10:13
51:16 73:2	125:21	94:13	76:11 78:1	110:17
73:4	increases	intend 17:5	intimidate	113:25
implement	121:12,13	intended	94:19	114:1
108:1	124:1	16:6	Intindola	117:12
implemen...	independent	intensif...	2:13 74:12	122:8
104:21	26:13	79:2	74:19,22	item 51:3
important	indicate	intensity	74:22,25	53:3,6
9:15 13:10	61:16	45:16	75:2,5	54:25
78:4 93:9	70:19 88:5	81:25 96:9	88:17	71:19
impressed	94:20	intention	introducing	items 38:11
81:15	indicated	72:21	16:24	IV 112:9
improve	17:11	90:20 96:4	investig...	
48:13	30:23	intents 47:8	68:23	J
improved	39:13	inter 92:14	involved	J1 1:9
11:11,18	53:12	interest	71:11	128:17
65:1 86:9	83:10 94:7	11:17	102:22	January
Improvement	96:16	102:19	112:19	24:18 44:1
19:23	98:10	119:5	116:2	75:24
79:15	102:4	interested	involves	108:4
improvem...	indicates	41:21	105:15	Jefferson
17:24	53:16	128:10	involving	1:19
86:10	60:15	interesting	93:19	JEFFREY 1:16
include 13:4	indicating	80:1	irrelevance	Jersey 1:8
25:4 34:24	51:5 94:20	internet	93:18	1:20,23
35:11 38:4	information	30:24	irrelevant	34:6 75:13
included	24:25	interpose	90:19	75:17
9:18 25:10	41:24	92:8	94:15,21	79:16 97:6
25:11,14	67:13 77:2	interpre...	94:22 95:4	109:21
25:18	initial 47:5	66:21 67:7	96:25	JIMMY 1:12
26:17	108:3	67:15	isolated	job 114:5
35:12,20	initially	69:11	66:22	John 1:10,11
42:25	44:1	80:19,21	issuance	30:18
68:22	inspector	82:8,13	12:12	124:13
77:15,21	68:21	83:20,23	issue 18:7	jointly
83:15	install	interpreted	44:7 48:5	102:9,10
121:9,10	29:11	80:11,13	48:10	102:20
includes	installa...	82:6	60:18,18	124:23
27:12	30:15	interrupt	61:10 62:5	judge 117:14
including	77:24	23:24 35:4	62:21	117:18

121:14	64:4,7,8	8:8 9:1	109:20	licensed
judgment	68:3 69:9	19:15	legal 119:6	75:12
9:17	73:8,16	73:10	legality	licensing
101:12	77:2 83:8	98:11	111:2	75:7
July 76:3	85:21	115:2	length 46:14	life 30:1
jump 50:15	92:18	language	46:23	117:10
June 126:10	93:14	57:5	58:15,16	Lifetime
126:12,13	97:24	large 35:21	86:20	93:19,25
126:14	100:6,7,11	88:9	lesser 98:22	94:9
justify	102:1,7	larger 46:18	118:2	light 44:23
84:20,21	103:1,2	Larose 2:12	let's 15:2	84:20,21
<hr/>				
K	114:6	2:21 72:16	44:8,9	84:21
K 55:21	115:15	72:16,24	45:10 54:8	86:20
keep 17:4	117:10	73:2,7,21	116:15	104:12
18:8 52:22	119:17	104:1,4,5	117:1	116:14
55:15	122:7	104:5,18	letter 3:14	lighting
112:17	123:16	104:23	3:15 6:8	8:10 9:1
115:9	126:4,22	105:7,10	6:10,16,17	10:9,14
keeping	knowing	106:6,11	11:2 15:8	98:11
97:15	115:5	106:15,25	50:16 51:2	101:20
key 29:21	knowledge	107:8,24	52:1 54:22	likes 116:25
45:18	41:7 57:16	108:6,8	66:21 67:7	117:8
kind 52:22	known 4:5	lastly 79:13	67:14	limit 81:21
52:22	<hr/>			
66:23	L	112:23	69:11	84:12
80:25 99:1	L 74:23,25	late 118:13	82:14,14	limitation
111:24,24	label 53:15	latest 15:8	letters	88:19
113:6	Labeled	66:6	15:13 29:6	limitations
124:18	54:11	Laurel 112:9	49:4,8,13	71:3
Kinderka...	labor 79:18	law 45:23	49:15	limits 71:23
115:17	Lake 2:12	48:18	66:13	80:25
kinds 57:3	7:1 66:24	101:7	67:15	line 10:10
knock 97:12	107:7,21	122:20	125:20	10:15 20:2
97:14	108:2,5,13	124:5	level 11:18	21:17
know 5:6	110:10	lawyer 41:6	14:25 27:7	44:15
11:17	115:9	lawyers	27:7,20,25	48:17
13:21 15:4	116:9,10	117:17	28:7,8,9	53:13
15:10,12	120:12	118:6	28:12	60:15
15:21	123:17	layout 12:17	29:10 30:6	69:21
18:24	Lakeview	87:7	30:8,9	86:21
35:18	2:20 97:6	leading	35:24	102:15
36:13,14	land 17:9	11:10	62:17	110:13
37:9,25	30:1 41:23	learn 125:2	112:5	112:13
38:7 39:5	48:18 50:1	learned	levels 10:9	116:14
40:18,19	87:15	124:17,22	27:2,18	118:14
41:5,18	lands 98:18	leasat 41:14	28:1 45:13	124:24,24
42:13,20	landscape	lease 103:10	76:11 78:7	lined 117:3
48:3 61:3	55:11	leased 64:2	liability	lines 92:16
61:5 63:14	111:20	leave 111:7	80:10	107:11
	landscaping	left 19:17	LICENSE	link 53:4,12
		42:23	128:18	53:15,20

Lintner 1:13	29:20	86:21	67:2	90:23
33:16,17	36:21	88:15	management	96:10
63:21,22	38:17	92:15	72:5	122:21
115:25	39:17 44:8	97:21	mandated	max 56:12
116:1	44:13,24	101:21	110:20	maximum 59:2
126:17,18	56:15	105:7	maneuvers	122:24
127:12,13	62:13 78:7	111:3	46:7	Mayor 1:12
listen	78:13	113:7,16	manipula...	32:17,18
106:13	81:23 82:1	113:17	92:6,9,12	63:7,10,24
listened	91:5 115:1	116:4	92:19	63:25 64:4
97:7	117:5,23	lots 12:20	117:25	96:15
106:13	123:9	48:8 70:20	manner 98:22	102:18,19
listening	looked 14:19	70:21,25	102:14	116:23,24
101:19	80:18 81:8	71:3	manual 3:10	117:11,16
106:19	81:14	101:22	5:22 14:22	120:12
lists 59:14	looking	low 58:25	76:18	121:3,18
lit 51:25	27:12	106:23	map 1:5 4:5	124:8
litigated	38:16	lower 14:9	4:7 12:17	127:10,11
112:5	39:17	14:10,14	68:24	mean 76:19
litigation	52:21	14:15 37:4	mapped 68:20	81:7 92:11
124:3	60:12	58:25	maps 68:25	125:25
little 19:6	68:18	78:23	March 5:1	means 10:2
66:11	70:11,12	105:23,23	11:5 15:20	46:17
78:23 79:4	97:16	lowest	15:23	meant 92:19
105:6	124:21	122:25	53:11	media 120:16
109:21	looks 42:23	Luckily	75:24	meet 15:5
115:8	122:11	32:13	112:9	28:19 45:5
live 32:11	loop 55:24	LYNN 128:17	mark 4:19	45:21 47:9
69:1 122:1	Lorraine		6:1,14	53:23 59:4
123:24	41:22	<hr/> M <hr/>	19:4,4	80:5
lives 122:2	126:23	MAGGIE 1:13	marked 5:7	102:12
living 57:2	lose 97:21	main 45:12	5:10 6:11	123:12
123:21	111:17,25	47:23	6:12 29:7	meeting 7:9
LLC 1:3,22	114:20	55:24	54:14	11:1 29:14
4:3	losing 112:1	69:21	63:14	33:19 40:3
LLP 1:18	lost 112:2	maintaining	marker 61:2	49:6,7,10
located 11:6	117:22	105:17,20	marking	49:14
107:19,19	lot 1:3,6	maintains	60:14	52:16 65:8
location	4:2,4,7	98:9	Maser 6:6,7	69:18
44:14 50:7	10:10,15	maintenance	14:16	71:25 73:5
53:15 55:1	11:6,10,21	3:10 5:22	Master	73:25 87:6
107:2	21:18	major 4:13	105:14	90:4 93:16
116:8	22:16	9:22	106:24	93:17,22
long 41:4,8	38:14	making 43:7	masters 75:9	94:3 102:7
47:24	48:13	43:13 46:1	materials	104:8
82:12	61:24 65:1	49:24 96:6	5:2,15 6:5	108:20
119:4	69:21,25	103:20	6:5,24	126:9,11
longer 56:14	70:1 73:11	mall 30:1	69:4	127:23
108:5	77:17	malls 89:15	matter 11:4	meetings
look 27:22	79:25	man-made	45:5,23	47:5 97:7

97:11	minimum	66:25	multi-fa...	36:21 37:8
meets 18:12	18:13 59:2	68:10 71:2	46:13,15	39:11
18:14,17	110:11	73:10	58:22	necessary
19:22	Minno 10:20	77:23	84:11,11	12:15
29:15 61:4	10:20,22	80:16	105:1	necks 123:3
member 1:11	minor 10:8	86:15,16	multifamily	need 29:9,22
7:10 93:6	86:19	87:2,6,24	84:10	46:17,25
94:16	mis 81:8	91:5 92:25	multiple	55:23,25
127:4,5	missing	95:22	36:3	57:4 62:14
members 4:11	57:16,20	102:4	municipal	77:20
7:8 9:15	mistake	105:3	10:10 17:9	78:16,19
16:19	103:20	106:21	39:11	100:23
32:16 34:3	109:24	107:3	44:15,17	101:1,3
40:25	misunder...	110:24	44:19	needed 14:12
43:20	17:19	111:2,22	48:18	91:21
59:23	mix 22:3	112:15,23	75:14	needing
87:13	mixed 21:15	115:19,20	82:18	59:18
90:11,25	21:20,25	115:21	86:21	needs 80:21
117:24	22:7 23:8	118:7	87:15	80:22 81:8
120:17	MLUL 11:5	121:14	municipa...	negative
memorial...	38:13 44:6	123:1,15	112:7,11	104:9
82:10,15	71:19	123:17	112:12	119:2
mentioned	modestly	124:4,18	municipa...	negatively
28:20 29:3	123:25	125:2	19:22	105:5
37:22	modifica...	Montvale's	82:19	negotiated
46:22	51:6 62:13	38:18	99:15	7:20 96:14
59:10 67:3	63:1	102:8	111:17	98:5 105:3
Mercedes 1:8	modified	motion 33:19	112:10	111:6
14:3 25:11	49:20	40:2 65:7		negotiating
Mercer 112:6	modifying	73:24 90:3	N	123:5
merely 126:1	50:4	108:19	N 1:12,18	negotiation
merits 95:9	moment 45:9	125:16	2:1 74:22	96:20
met 11:24	money 119:5	126:16,17	74:22,25	negotiat...
88:2	monitor 19:3	126:21	74:25	71:11
methodology	months 7:12	Mount 112:9	name 74:21	96:13
76:13	94:8,10	move 33:21	111:21	neighbor's
Metropol...	98:7	37:21 40:4	names 120:16	50:1
97:8 98:17	Montvale 1:1	50:20 54:8	narrative	neighbor...
100:3	1:8 4:5	65:9 74:1	68:22 69:5	104:13
MICHAEL 1:12	6:8,9	90:5	narratives	105:16
middle 72:19	11:10,20	104:12	66:17	neighbor...
mike 75:10	17:25	108:21	67:11	105:19
Mill 61:4	21:23 23:5	moved 113:15	nature 8:13	neighboring
million 95:2	27:13 29:6	113:16	32:7 35:13	27:14
123:22	38:1 39:3	117:4	35:17	neighbors
mincing	39:11 42:3	moving 34:23	66:18	107:12,13
20:19,21	43:14	51:1	67:12	neither
mind 42:22	45:11 46:4	100:16	78:10 83:4	128:6,8
92:22	46:7 47:16	MPR 1:3	83:7 98:12	net 18:4
105:4	56:7 60:24	multi 123:22	necessarily	87:19,20

network 64:22	noticed 65:19	96:2,13,20	41:21	53:25 54:3
never 101:21	notices 36:9	oath 13:17	64:14	55:18
102:20	noticing 78:21	103:25	occupation 78:22	56:20,21
new 1:8,20	notwiths... 87:18	object 18:3	occupied 26:11 35:8	58:2,6
1:23 4:18	NPR 4:2	52:25	64:17	59:22
12:20 34:6	number 15:22	88:20	occur 124:2	63:20
35:3 68:1	24:14	92:10	occurred 92:6 98:14	64:10 65:5
75:13	35:12,21	objected 108:5	occurring 94:11	72:11 73:7
78:23	50:25	114:4	October 24:17 76:4	73:24 74:7
79:16,20	51:17	objecting 96:1,3	77:16	74:10
84:18 97:6	78:12 81:5	objection 50:18,21	offense 117:24	75:12
109:21	91:7 93:2	51:7 52:24	offer 90:12	84:14 85:1
NICHOLAS 2:3	97:10	56:4 92:9	93:7	85:24 86:2
Nick 13:14	111:15,18	objective 105:17	office 21:13	97:1 99:11
13:21 19:2	119:10	objectives 109:22	21:18,24	99:23
24:6 31:24	numbers 37:1	obligated 8:24 9:11	22:16 23:3	103:21
84:3,14	37:6 52:8	91:9	37:20 38:1	121:14
night 101:9	55:4 64:6	obligation 7:13 87:6	78:21 79:1	122:14
101:16	64:8	96:7,8	79:4,6	124:20
NJAC 45:15	NUS 41:18	100:8,9,12	80:4 89:22	old 57:3
NJIT 75:8		100:15,16	107:2,2	on-line 77:17,18
NJSA 11:8,19		100:21	118:18	77:18
11:20 12:7		105:11	120:1	on-site 37:3
nominal 27:18	O	113:13	officers 63:12	once 29:8
116:13	O 1:9 74:23	118:4,5	official 1:16 12:17	36:2 45:18
norm 87:5	74:25	119:8	officials 125:1,2	46:16 47:2
normal 55:4	O'NEILL 1:13	120:8	okay 4:14,16	61:3
91:20,20	O'Sullivan 2:5,11,18	121:6	5:11 12:6	104:15
normally 9:21 44:23	34:5,5,22	123:12	13:14 15:2	125:3
70:22	37:15,17	obligations 102:7,12	16:11,13	one-way 82:14
north 50:8	38:22 39:2	113:12	16:15,18	ones 24:14
75:17	39:16,19	118:16	17:3,7	114:3
91:11	65:16,16	observation 64:13	19:4 24:6	117:12
northerly 19:21	66:2,7	obvious 96:3	30:14,17	open 16:19
northwest 49:23	67:10,17	obviously 35:3 62:16	33:18	26:16
note 45:8	67:22 68:1	94:3	36:10	33:19,19
108:11	69:14	occasions 75:17	38:10	58:8 59:23
noted 51:8	70:19 71:5	occupancy 52:2 53:8	39:22,23	65:7 69:19
59:17 68:8	71:17,18		40:23,24	90:3,18
68:11,13	72:12		46:3,6,11	operates 111:16
notes 31:19	90:14,16		52:2 53:8	operation 3:9 5:22
31:21	91:2 92:10			opinion 17:24 18:5
59:15	92:13,21			18:10 23:1
70:15	93:2,6,12			39:9 45:21
120:1	94:17			57:11 70:6
	95:17,19			
	95:22,24			

70:25 72:8	119:7	12:24 17:5	44:17	PE 68:6
76:10	overdeve...	29:25 34:6	53:14,23	peak 13:25
79:25	91:18	38:16,21	53:25	14:8 15:2
85:10	117:1,8	38:23 39:1	54:22 70:9	36:2 64:23
87:19,20	overflow	39:2,6	71:13,15	pending
90:15	67:4	41:5,23	89:10	11:14
96:25 99:7	overlaid	56:19	Parsippany	pensioned
opinions	19:15	60:21,23	1:20	122:7
18:4 93:4	overlooking	60:23,24	part 8:16	people 42:16
93:7	117:7	61:6 62:4	9:3,4,9	42:17 79:7
opportunity	oversight	63:4,13	16:7 23:4	79:8
76:2 90:11	89:6	66:24	28:23,24	102:15
90:12,17	owe 95:6	73:14	34:25 38:5	113:8,16
90:24	owned 122:22	80:15	45:6,12	117:13
93:10	owner 44:25	83:11	47:9 63:5	118:24
95:14	45:2 87:23	84:16	65:22	119:23
opposed	98:3	87:24	67:14	123:20,24
110:20	owners 92:16	89:16 97:6	80:12,13	percent 43:7
OPRA 66:3	103:4	99:19	84:17	43:9,13
opted 98:18	108:14	102:3,9,10	86:16 94:8	49:9 50:23
orange 99:1	owns 61:25	102:21,22	98:17	50:24,24
orchards	87:23	110:25	105:2,17	51:17,18
57:4		113:14,15	105:20	82:20 87:3
ordered	P	113:22	113:14	87:4 112:2
19:19	P 1:18,18	114:8	115:6	118:8,10
ordinance	35:2 36:22	115:6,13	particular	123:2,3,11
8:18,22,22	38:4 40:12	115:18,19	38:19	124:17
9:4,18	92:3 96:14	118:11	65:23	Percentage
10:5 71:12	106:10,20	120:13	88:23	43:7
86:14 89:4	p.m 1:9 14:7	123:17	108:11	perfect
96:24	14:9,10	124:7,9,18	parties	125:9
110:11	127:23	125:1	128:8	performing
original	page 2:2 3:2	parking 8:7	partnership	28:14
76:25 86:9	25:8,19	21:17	113:22	period 7:20
111:2	27:9,10,11	22:16,23	parts 44:10	permit 11:20
outdoor	27:17,22	56:7,8	pass 93:24	12:12
94:10	51:3,4,15	69:25	passed	permits 12:7
outrigger	52:18,18	70:20,21	110:11	permitted
50:10	53:6	70:25 71:2	118:13	8:17 9:17
outs 77:3	Pages 52:4	71:14	path 78:12	70:17
outside	Paragon	73:10,11	patience	71:15 89:7
35:25	40:15	79:2,24	125:15	105:23
41:10	Parcel 25:20	88:9 89:3	patrons	permitting
107:10	pardon 55:13	89:6,13,19	89:16	67:8
outstanding	parentheses	89:23 90:1	pattern	person 36:20
100:16	28:10	101:21,21	77:25	60:22
overall	park 1:5,24	101:22	pavement	perspective
12:25	2:6,20 4:7	111:3	110:12	48:2 67:9
37:12	4:22 11:12	115:15	pay 84:23	122:12
110:17	11:15,17	Parkway	95:2	pervious

73:3	55:1,11,23	121:7,19	102:8	28:21
pesticide	57:8,24	point 16:25	105:10	125:17,23
3:7 5:18	63:1 86:9	23:5 25:3	107:16	126:2
Peter 1:19	86:10,13	28:11	positioning	prepared
4:11 23:24	86:14,18	29:10 32:1	107:18	14:2
PF01(b)	87:2 91:10	42:22 47:6	positions	preparing
68:13	97:9 98:8	49:21	118:25	126:5
Phase 3:6	98:9,18	57:20,25	positive	present 73:9
5:15	102:17	62:7,7	86:8 87:8	97:8 103:3
phone 82:10	105:14	64:2,14	possible	presented
82:11,15	106:24	80:5 81:7	39:6 67:17	16:6 77:19
83:23	110:15	84:16	71:22	98:6
phonetic	111:8,8	88:14	115:11	116:20
66:8	112:20	109:14	post 52:21	presenting
physical	116:3,19	110:5	potential	19:16
49:18	116:21	114:15	27:14,23	presently
62:22	118:8	117:19	29:25	103:7
physically	119:2	122:13	78:15,17	presents
69:7 122:7	120:4,7,11	123:5	83:11 91:6	111:10
piece 26:2	121:23,23	pointer	96:5 103:3	presumably
27:15	planner 1:17	18:24	potentially	108:5
110:12	43:24	pointing	36:15	presume 55:8
121:5	118:6	96:3	pound 101:25	90:14
122:6,6	planners	police 3:17	pounds	pretty 43:16
Pitney 1:18	118:20	6:9,21	101:25	72:9
4:12	planning 1:1	13:4 29:6	practical	114:14
place 85:19	6:7 12:8	29:8,17	12:9 44:11	prevent
128:4	38:18 48:2	60:12,18	44:13	99:20
placed	93:16,17	60:20,22	practice	previous
125:18	93:22	60:25	75:13	10:18 11:1
placement	94:18,23	61:13	82:17,18	50:6
8:8	104:21	77:23	preclude 9:7	118:11
places 36:21	105:15	101:20	predomin...	previously
plan 1:6	110:24	116:7	41:11	13:16
3:11 4:8	114:17	policy 11:23	preliminary	19:16
4:13 5:6	plans 3:3,4	politics	1:6 3:6	43:25
7:22 8:1,6	5:8,9,11	102:23	4:8,13	49:22 50:8
8:7,11,25	48:13	pool 72:20	5:16 7:4	103:24
9:2,5,7,9	49:12	portion	10:2 11:4	104:2
9:9,11,13	plantings	12:24	15:7 32:10	primarily
9:20 10:3	51:6	25:22 50:1	55:6 56:15	69:9
10:4,5,14	plate 126:4	50:5 69:24	57:8,10,13	Princeton
12:18 13:2	Plaza 1:23	posing 31:14	57:14,24	112:6
16:7 18:22	please 16:15	position	63:16	prior 13:22
19:2,8,12	23:25	22:1,5	65:21	15:10,11
19:14,15	43:21	23:7,9	116:21	29:4 123:7
35:10 43:3	55:17	24:2 88:12	122:10	123:8
50:12,14	100:14	88:25	125:6	private
50:19 52:6	plus 47:4	94:18	premises 4:3	22:12,13
52:8 53:14	112:7	99:25	prepare	22:17,18

122:4	37:5 59:3	69:21 78:1	12:13	14:22
probably	66:23 67:9	87:16,22	14:12 15:9	purchase
42:13,21	76:15 99:3	87:23	17:13	98:18
42:24,25	102:11,12	89:25	38:16	purpose
43:1,16	107:22,23	92:16	46:15,17	20:25 88:4
97:8 111:1	114:2	93:25 94:5	54:22	purposely
119:14	projected	97:21 98:3	55:24 91:9	71:12
problem	37:1 77:18	102:3,5	91:21	121:18
10:11,16	projecting	103:9	provided	purposes
43:6 65:3	37:3	104:10,12	6:25 45:24	18:1 47:8
75:2	projection	104:14	48:11	87:21
problems	35:20	106:9,20	53:18 55:1	pursuant
107:14	42:18	107:1,5,17	67:14	4:15 11:20
procedure	69:22	108:14	82:11,15	put 49:11
116:12	projections	110:10,13	89:8 91:16	52:19,19
proceeding	36:23 77:6	110:16	91:19	52:21
74:17	83:15	115:6,12	provides	56:25
proceedings	projects	116:14	60:14 87:2	62:23
128:3	76:7 87:4	121:5	providing	85:19,22
process	promulgated	122:22,24	44:12	92:15
11:16 86:8	79:16	124:11,24	71:21	99:24
86:8,14	proper 100:3	proposal	121:15	101:24
107:9	properly	52:19	provision	115:15
proclivity	69:16 85:8	proposed 8:6	39:15 59:7	116:4,15
71:21	properties	11:7,25	public 2:4	117:9
produce 5:2	1:3 4:2	21:24	2:10,17	118:5
98:7	26:18 28:6	35:10	4:1 7:8,10	119:16,20
produced	77:9 105:5	36:18 38:6	11:12,22	124:8
29:6	105:24	42:14	13:7 21:8	puts 111:1
professi...	124:14,23	45:11 59:6	22:7 33:20	126:12
11:2 17:23	property 4:6	62:3,8,15	34:3 39:23	putting
35:6 42:14	12:23	64:15 67:1	39:25 40:3	92:13
43:24	18:16	77:3 93:25	48:22 58:8	
75:12 86:5	19:18 20:2	94:24	59:24 65:8	Q
professi...	21:17 26:2	107:18,20	65:14	qualified
4:11 49:13	26:6 27:15	124:3	72:15	75:19
86:7,11	30:2 35:2	protect	73:23,25	qualify
90:22	38:5,7	12:16,19	75:22	80:17
118:20	39:10	85:13,18	87:17 90:4	quality
125:20,22	40:12	116:11	90:11,18	71:23
professi...	44:16,21	protected	90:25 91:1	question
49:15	44:25,25	13:12	93:6 94:19	11:4 14:16
prohibited	45:2,3	protection	95:7 97:2	17:21
89:7,20	46:8 47:21	12:15	102:16	23:12,15
prohibition	48:17 50:6	protects	103:12	23:16,18
71:14	53:13 61:2	80:23	108:3,18	23:20
project	61:25 62:5	proud 118:22	108:20	31:13,22
25:17,20	62:23 64:1	119:3	117:25	34:7,21,22
26:8,14,25	68:10,16	123:13	120:17,24	35:5 39:3
27:4 35:19	68:17	provide	published	39:7,19

41:5 42:2	R 1:9,18,22	93:15	recommends	17:12,15
42:8 49:22	128:1	98:25	84:3	18:6 19:11
51:14,23	radii 62:14	99:25	record 5:3	34:20 39:8
54:21,24	63:2	100:7,9	13:12 32:3	39:9,12,18
54:25	radius 88:7	109:20	32:5 61:15	43:2,25
58:10	rail 52:21	114:3,23	74:20 93:1	44:3 51:13
60:11	railroad	122:20	93:10	54:13 55:9
61:23	119:19	126:4	94:21	55:12 56:2
62:20 63:3	raise 17:8	realtor	112:13	57:22 58:1
64:14,17	raised 11:5	103:2	125:19	63:6,16
64:18	14:16	rear 89:25	recorded	74:16,20
67:25 68:1	110:25	reason 10:17	7:10	74:24 75:1
68:5 69:23	rates 38:2	47:20	recreati...	75:18 92:8
73:9 77:10	78:22	67:23	94:2	92:11,18
78:1 82:7	RDP 91:12	102:22	RECROSS	93:17
83:9 85:11	100:22	109:14	19:25	94:13 95:5
93:23	101:2,2,4	116:11	Recross- ...	95:14,16
questions	121:9,10	reasonable	2:4,9 18:9	95:20,23
23:23	121:12,16	35:19	58:11	95:25
30:20	RDPs 121:16	reasons	redirect 2:3	96:10,14
32:16,18	re-proje...	10:12	2:7 13:20	96:18,22
32:21,24	77:5	78:12	17:22 44:4	99:6,7,13
33:2,5,17	RE-REDIRECT	recall 31:3	83:25	100:18
33:22 34:3	84:2	32:6 43:23	redone 40:20	101:7
37:16	reach 30:6,8	50:11 83:8	reduction	103:24
39:25 41:1	102:20	100:2	9:8	104:2
43:19	reached 98:2	recalling	reference	106:4,14
54:19,20	98:3 99:19	31:15	86:13	106:17
58:9 59:21	106:22	receipt 6:4	referenced	108:2
59:23 60:2	read 28:10	6:6	65:18	112:4,14
60:5,8	53:8	receive 66:8	67:16	126:4,9,13
62:16	readily 77:5	66:13	referencing	126:22
63:15,19	reading 71:7	received	15:19	regard 18:11
63:22,25	ready 102:21	49:14	referred	23:14 24:1
65:14	real 95:3	98:23	8:25 49:7	29:5 76:1
71:17	96:4	recognition	76:25	76:10
72:17	realistic	110:16	referring	77:22
84:25 85:3	91:5 96:5	recognize	18:23	79:14,23
94:20	realize	74:13	25:19 69:1	81:5 87:16
quickly	112:16	88:21	83:6	126:5
122:15	really 15:4	110:19	refined 13:2	regarding
quiet 120:1	27:15	112:16	Regan 1:15	11:5 14:17
quintess...	44:16	recognizes	5:4,10	44:6 51:23
91:17	47:15	48:18	6:12,15	71:6 77:24
quite 7:20	54:25 55:3	recollec...	7:7,7,16	86:23
98:21	58:18 64:3	32:2	8:4,9,11	regards
123:24	64:14,17	recommend	8:16 12:3	110:9
124:15	64:18,19	29:18 80:8	12:6 13:10	region 89:14
	85:16,16	recommen...	13:16,19	regular
	88:1,24	9:12 77:22	16:2 17:11	52:15
R				

regulation 39:15 72:3	reminder 9:25	125:17	104:13	result 47:4
regulations 20:23	remove 51:7	requested 24:6 94:16	105:22	98:1
58:18	removing 56:25	require 12:11	106:21	104:16
70:12	render 24:3	required 18:13	107:4	retail 25:20
71:24	rendering 3:11 19:8	59:15 67:8	116:11	76:24 79:3
reinforce 12:23	19:13	100:24	residents 31:8	retaining 52:20
reiterate 29:8 56:5	rental 87:4	requirement 11:6,8	108:13	70:15
related 12:11	reoccupa... 78:17	12:8 45:20	112:23	revealed 94:7
17:24 84:7	reoccupy 37:23	45:22	115:21	reverse 85:21
relates 8:5	repeat 7:11	58:23	123:15	124:21
13:24	report 3:6,7	59:12	125:17,23	review 6:5,6
relating 4:3	3:16,17	85:15	125:24	6:7,8,10
10:9 24:19	5:16,18	101:5,6	126:2	8:5,6 11:2
relative 41:24	6:19,21	requirem... 18:14	resolutions 126:5	15:8,13,21
85:11	24:9,12,17	57:12	resources 78:14	15:25 49:3
86:20	25:6 26:23	116:7	respect 8:13	49:8 54:5
102:6	27:1,9	125:19	35:2 38:11	54:8,12
128:7,9	28:18,25	requires 57:25	38:24 54:4	56:19 68:7
relevant 27:15	54:18	96:24	65:18	76:3,6
39:10	55:18	110:11	66:10	82:16 98:9
70:25 84:4	56:16	requiring 105:23	69:17	116:3
relied 24:13	60:12,22	research 31:16	71:18 85:3	125:20
relief 11:19	65:19,21	67:24	90:12	reviewed 77:25
39:14,15	66:4,9	107:3	91:15	80:22
39:17	69:6 76:3	reservoir 22:23 88:9	93:14	reviewing 80:9 91:7
86:23	76:12 91:7	90:1	96:23	revised 3:3
relying 68:3	reported 128:4	residential 8:14 19:19	107:2	3:8,10 5:7
68:5	REPORTING 1:25	19:23 21:2	23:11	5:20,23
remain 73:12	reports 51:1	21:5,10,11	103:13	24:17
103:25	77:1	21:22 23:3	respond 15:14	50:14 76:3
104:3	represen... 125:21	24:3 32:7	17:20 70:3	revision 24:16 97:9
remaining 11:2 21:24	represen... 7:21 98:5	38:6 45:11	95:15	revisit 24:19 77:3
25:22 49:3	represen... 75:15,16	58:22 78:9	responded 116:6	77:7
50:16	82:19	78:11	response 13:3 66:13	revisited 76:25
remains 13:17	request 11:19	79:15,21	responsi... 94:23	77:11
remedy 99:12	61:12	80:3,12,12	responsive 48:11	rezone 103:9
remember 36:25	65:25 66:3	81:22 83:3	rest 59:5	rezoning 86:14,15
100:19	76:15	83:7,15	62:4	Ridge 1:5,24
remind 7:15	103:13	84:8 89:20	resubmis... 6:24 66:6	2:6,20 4:7
		92:2		4:23 11:13
				11:15,17

12:24 30:1	122:11	81:21	79:5 83:8	see 19:18
34:6 38:16	right-of...	83:21	93:2 103:6	21:16
38:21,23	44:12,18	85:13,18	116:25	32:12
39:1,2,6	44:20	88:14	117:25	35:19
41:5,23	45:17 46:6	rub 106:11	120:2,4	40:20,21
56:19	78:14	rule 111:18	121:3,10	41:23
60:21,23	ring 123:3	112:17	121:18	49:19 55:4
60:24,24	rise 35:24	ruling	says 44:24	62:21 69:5
61:6 62:4	River 123:18	112:20	46:12 53:4	69:15,16
63:5,13	road 1:19	run 80:15	59:12 69:8	71:7 76:25
66:24	11:13,18	running 81:3	scale 75:14	85:9 92:22
73:14	48:1 62:10	runs 19:1	105:18	96:5
80:16	85:16,19		scenario	106:15
83:11	105:2	S	78:5	109:14
84:16	115:17	S 1:18 2:1,1	scheduled	119:10,24
87:24 97:6	roads 102:16	2:1 3:1	52:6	122:11
99:19	roadway	68:7,8,14	Schettino	seeing 37:2
102:4,9,10	18:12,17	68:23	6:25	79:1
102:21,23	18:20	Saddle	screening	seek 99:9
111:1	46:14,16	123:17,18	3:7 5:18	seeking
113:22	47:17	safe 46:7	seasons	99:14
114:8	58:22,24	47:9	92:24	seen 57:4
115:6,18	59:5,5,13	safely 45:24	seat 4:16	66:3 68:19
115:19	61:24 62:1	47:25	second 33:23	segment 82:5
118:11	64:15,22	safety 10:13	35:5 39:24	segments
120:13	81:16	11:24	40:5 47:9	80:12
123:17	87:24	12:16 13:7	65:10	selected
124:7,9,18	ROBERT 1:14	45:6 48:22	68:12 74:2	71:13
125:1	1:15	sailed 111:4	88:14 90:6	self-cre...
Ridge's 17:5	role 8:5	sample 55:1	108:22	87:22
115:13	Roll 127:1	sanction	126:9,11	semicirc...
ridiculous	rolling	98:4	126:19,24	20:12
97:19	106:15,17	sat 111:14	secondary	seniors
right 12:5	Ron 2:19	124:7,13	13:5 47:5	123:23
19:20	97:5	satisfied	seconded	sense 10:15
22:15 26:1	roof 41:10	112:20	33:24 40:7	separate
28:5 35:22	room 63:2	satisfy	65:11 74:3	52:14
42:10,16	ROSE 1:11	100:25	90:7	separates
43:8,11,13	round 100:20	101:2,3	108:23	102:15
43:17 46:1	122:17	Saturday	Secondly	separating
52:8 57:6	RSIS 18:12	13:24 14:8	10:4	107:20
64:17	20:5,7,15	14:11,13	section 12:4	serious 9:7
72:12	20:23 21:4	14:14	18:11	serve 22:3
83:12	21:6,9,9	saved 119:5	39:14 44:7	82:14
84:25 93:7	24:1 45:10	saying 29:24	46:3 47:11	122:16
93:9 98:9	45:12,15	31:9,9	48:8,20,20	serves 23:3
103:9	46:12	34:11	58:21 59:5	service
104:4	58:13 59:7	36:16 48:2	70:13,14	18:16 27:2
109:15	79:14 80:6	49:6 62:9	71:20 72:3	27:7,8,18
116:2	80:6,17	62:21 77:7	87:18	27:20 28:1

28:1,7,8,9	101:5,11	113:24	85:7 105:1	10:24
28:12	101:14	sic 80:22	105:18,22	120:17
29:10 30:6	104:16	sick 114:14	105:25	situated
30:8,9	105:9	114:16,17	107:6	73:1
31:2 62:17	106:4,8	side 46:16	108:10,13	situation
76:11 78:7	107:9,25	47:22 48:6	116:13	46:12
services	114:23,24	68:16	sir 27:10	48:21
102:16	119:4,13	73:14 79:1	97:4	59:18 72:6
sessions	119:14,25	92:15,16	103:23	80:1,20
13:23	121:2,20	115:10,11	sit 104:24	82:3 83:22
set 5:7 7:19	123:7	123:9	112:16	87:22
16:7 17:10	settlements	sides 89:23	118:24	99:18
18:1,4	101:16	sidewalk	site 1:6 3:3	100:7
50:14	shadow 93:19	52:15	3:11 4:8	113:11
85:13,17	93:24 94:8	sidewalks	4:13 5:6,7	situations
89:15	94:11	70:15	5:11 8:6,7	48:19
105:12	96:23	sign 29:22	8:11,20,25	six 23:12
106:23	shape 111:10	51:24 52:1	9:1,7,11	32:12
128:5	share 7:22	signage	9:13 11:8	sizable 35:1
set-aside	8:1 9:5	51:23	13:5,8	size 58:19
87:3	84:24	signal 14:17	16:7,9,10	Skapinetz
set-side	91:10	28:19,22	17:17,24	2:7 5:25
118:8	102:2	29:9 30:3	18:22 19:8	10:9,12,19
setback 70:8	105:11	30:15	19:12,14	10:23 12:1
89:2,7,9	shared 22:6	77:24 78:3	19:23	12:22 13:8
89:12,19	22:9,12	78:15,19	25:23 36:3	17:17
91:22	23:8 61:8	signals	42:15 43:2	31:24
92:13,15	61:8 63:8	29:12 37:7	47:4,7,15	43:23,25
110:12	80:3	37:7	47:16,18	44:2 53:12
setbacks	sheer 72:6	signed 29:15	53:14	66:20 81:4
70:18	Sheet 53:17	103:9,10	55:22	81:24
91:20	shines 125:8	120:18	56:20,20	slight 79:2
sets 27:10	shop 32:14	signific...	56:21,22	small 64:25
setting	shopping	14:10	56:25 57:8	smaller 79:7
125:18,19	24:8 30:25	36:23 37:2	57:24 65:4	smart 111:22
settle	short 96:4	37:4	69:8 79:15	smug 106:11
117:20,20	103:18	signs 70:16	85:23	106:14
119:17	124:19	silence	109:7,8	snippet
settled	shouted	94:19	110:17,24	66:25
100:8,15	94:17	similar 14:4	111:9	social
settlement	show 18:22	19:15	116:3,19	120:16
7:14,17,19	19:3 52:10	44:22	116:21	soil 56:25
7:25 8:3	98:7	52:20,22	121:8,10	somebody
9:18 10:6	showed 14:8	61:4 65:2	sites 25:15	73:9
10:7 96:11	16:8 50:12	80:20 92:3	36:22	Sony 1:4 4:6
96:11,19	68:24	Similarly	68:19	12:25
98:2,13	shown 12:17	5:8	81:22	18:25
99:14,18	50:8 70:8	simple 82:10	sits 94:1	19:18 20:1
99:25	shows 27:17	83:23	122:9	20:4 21:1
100:17	108:12	single 84:8	sitting	21:16,21

22:9,11,15	specific	standpoint	127:14,15	72:18 94:1
23:4 24:2	20:17	21:19,20	stenogra...	structured
27:24 28:4	24:15 29:9	stands 99:3	128:4	101:24
30:2 42:4	48:21 51:1	start 6:15	stick 124:19	stub 20:18
44:20 45:4	57:5 76:1	44:9 49:6	Stockpile	studies
45:19 46:1	78:21 79:4	51:2,3	26:3	24:19
46:3,10	89:4	78:13	stockpiled	25:16
61:25	103:13	116:25	57:1	26:19
78:20	specific...	117:2	stone 100:21	29:13
79:24 83:3	8:5 24:7	started 13:3	100:22	study 14:1,4
88:1 103:3	25:5 27:16	43:3 97:9	stood 49:7	14:17
103:10	59:14	102:18	stop 32:13	24:24 25:3
110:24	specific...	123:4	101:15,15	26:7 29:7
113:19	59:13	starting	stopped	34:8,15,25
122:22	specified	32:16	120:2	35:14
124:14	76:12	49:18	stopping	96:23
soon 64:8	spectrum	109:2	73:20	stuff 101:25
120:6	123:13	starts 69:19	stores 89:18	117:2
sophisti...	spending	state 44:17	storm 3:8,9	subject
68:20	112:24	48:6 53:14	5:20,22	12:13
sorry 30:21	spent 119:6	71:10,13	49:24	19:19 78:1
59:2 98:15	spillway	74:20	70:16,16	95:1 98:1
103:18	50:3	75:13	71:21 72:5	107:5,17
118:10	spine 68:9	89:10	straddles	submission
sort 60:14	split 47:22	statement	86:20	57:13 69:4
sound 105:15	spoke 28:17	17:12 31:6	straight	submit 28:21
sounds 64:6	29:23	32:6 34:21	42:17 43:8	54:2 66:12
92:21	60:17	36:7 74:9	street 11:7	66:15
101:18	spoken	104:11	11:12,22	submitted
south 94:2	120:12	states 53:17	12:12,17	5:1,13,15
104:13	spread 15:2	stating 93:3	20:10,18	55:10
107:17	15:3	statute	21:2,3,7,8	65:24 66:5
108:14	spring 94:9	12:19 17:9	22:7,10	66:16,20
112:5	97:10	18:1,11	25:24	90:21
115:11	105:2	38:19,24	38:14	Subsequent
southeas...	square 25:12	87:16 88:3	39:11	24:16
47:7	77:2	88:3,5,11	48:17 61:4	subseque...
space 35:17	stand 49:5	100:25	87:17	97:12
35:18 50:8	119:3	statutes	106:20	substantial
69:20	standard	11:23	streets	34:12
72:19	18:17	Stefanelli	12:20	subtle 80:7
78:25 79:6	20:23 24:1	1:10 26:3	20:15	80:21
79:8	72:10 84:4	33:10,11	117:3	81:10
spaces 8:7,8	standards	41:3,15,17	strikes	subtleties
22:24 56:7	9:21 11:11	61:22,23	110:22	88:15
56:8 79:7	19:22,23	62:11,20	strip 89:15	successful
spare 88:11	20:5,7,15	63:3,8,11	structure	15:11
speak 106:12	58:20	63:15 65:9	12:11	113:13
speaking	79:14,16	108:22,24	21:23	sudden
27:11	88:14	113:3,4	70:23	121:12

sue 120:5	74:16,19	86:19,23	101:20	73:21,22
suggest	switches	talking	115:2,9	75:1,3,20
80:19	80:16	18:20	116:19	82:21,23
115:4	sworn 2:2	25:21	Terrace 2:6	85:1,24
suggested	13:17 44:1	40:12 42:4	34:6 65:17	86:6 87:7
13:25	103:24	46:9 89:24	test 101:10	87:10 90:2
17:13	104:2	100:2	tested 57:1	95:12,13
86:11	system 61:7	101:14	testified	97:1 101:8
suggestions	63:4	113:12	24:9 25:5	103:17,21
116:6	T	talks 16:1	27:18	104:4
Suite 1:23	T 2:1 3:1	59:16	31:25	108:16
sum 13:7	74:22,25	tall 53:16	64:21	109:16
summary 3:8	128:1,1	53:20	71:25	110:6
5:20	T's 125:7	55:25	75:17	111:12
summation	T-inters...	tax 1:5 4:5	81:24	113:2,4
2:15,16	30:7	4:7 112:24	testimony	114:10,12
86:3	Table 45:14	taxation	10:19,20	115:23,24
summer 94:10	59:14	123:25	10:21 17:6	116:1,22
superior	taboo 124:10	taxes 95:3	17:10,13	116:24
7:24 99:8	tailored	Teagno 1:14	30:23 31:1	118:25
supply 67:21	88:23 89:5	33:1,2,23	31:3,12,15	120:21
support	take 4:16	33:25 60:7	36:25	122:14
98:23	24:1 36:12	60:8 74:1	48:10	125:15
125:4	36:15	74:3 90:5	50:11	126:25
supporting	42:14	90:7	53:18 58:5	127:20,21
87:1	47:18	109:17,18	74:8,17	theory 111:1
suppose 30:5	56:13	127:16,17	76:1,6	thereof
sure 29:13	57:23	team 31:14	85:3 86:25	69:19,22
52:12 57:1	62:13 66:7	86:6 94:17	87:19	thing 21:9
74:15 81:2	78:13	technical	128:3	37:9 52:13
81:9 82:2	88:24	54:5,8	thank 4:24	91:14
84:1 94:4	117:10,24	technolo...	7:3 9:14	93:15
108:7	117:24	79:6	9:23 13:18	101:23
109:6	119:16,20	technology	16:18	102:24
120:25	119:21	68:20	30:19	110:22
surplus	121:9,22	tell 10:17	32:15,19	114:14
118:21,23	taken 35:7	25:6	32:22,25	122:19
119:1	106:7	templates	33:3,6,9	125:5
120:24	110:16	45:24	33:12,15	things 8:12
121:8,19	112:8	tenant 37:20	39:21,22	25:3 29:20
121:21	takes 82:12	95:1	40:24	49:20
122:5	talk 13:21	tend 61:11	43:17 58:2	66:17
surprised	16:4 44:25	term 92:9	58:6 59:20	67:12
31:5	51:4 84:6	101:24	59:22 60:3	73:14
surrounding	124:10	terminus	60:6,9	93:15
104:9	talked 10:12	79:24	61:19	98:11
105:5	51:16	terms 9:13	63:20,23	111:16
survey 3:5	61:24	10:13	64:11 65:6	115:3
5:13 69:6	71:21	72:18	72:11,13	118:1
swear 74:14		81:24	72:14	124:21

think 9:6,14	120:11	12:2,23	13:15,25	16:5
10:15	122:3,8	44:6 110:9	14:1,1,4,6	traverse
13:10	125:12	118:3	14:17,21	19:21
15:16,25	thinking	126:1	14:23	travesty
16:16 17:1	62:22	tons 68:15	15:25	92:7
24:8 29:17	thinks	top 19:17	16:21 17:6	treated
31:17,25	123:16	total 66:14	17:18	20:16
34:18,25	third 100:20	totally	20:24	tree 3:5
35:5 39:7	thought	96:25	21:19	5:13 55:1
39:13 48:1	31:23	97:17	24:17 25:3	117:3
48:1,12	three 14:21	103:7	25:15,16	trees 55:2
49:2 61:11	26:18	touch 45:9	25:17 26:7	trend 37:25
61:15	66:14	49:16	26:10,13	trial 112:5
62:25	117:12	touched	26:13,17	triboro
64:20,24	122:16	52:16	26:25	25:12
64:25 65:2	three-way	tough 125:14	28:19,22	36:22,25
65:4 66:11	30:6	town 21:3	29:7,9,12	91:25
77:10,19	threshold	25:4,12	30:3,15	trigger
78:12,15	46:23 78:8	35:17	34:10,11	28:11
81:1,8	81:11	37:23 38:8	34:12	50:23
85:7 86:2	thresholds	47:19,19	35:14,19	triggers
86:2 87:5	80:25	80:4 82:4	35:20	59:12
87:14,18	tie-in 61:3	82:5 85:17	36:16 37:5	trip 76:17
88:11,12	tied 60:23	85:23 92:2	37:7,12	76:23
88:17 89:4	107:24	97:10	38:5 40:14	77:10,12
90:1 91:5	116:18	102:14	40:18	79:3 80:25
91:9,11,12	120:14	109:21	42:15,24	81:11 82:1
91:14,17	time 7:20	111:21,25	56:5 58:19	84:13
91:19,21	10:1 15:7	113:13	59:9,11	trips 35:23
91:21,22	23:22,23	117:6	62:17 64:5	36:2 64:18
91:23,25	24:18 33:8	122:4	64:5 75:14	64:21 81:1
92:1,5	33:14	123:16	75:16,19	81:13 84:4
97:19,25	41:12 60:2	124:24	76:14 77:1	truck 15:16
99:21,22	64:8 71:19	town's 18:14	77:6,8,14	16:1,5,8
100:3,6	74:24 87:8	towns 35:16	77:24,25	46:19
102:11	94:15	47:16	78:3,15,19	trucks 31:9
106:18	113:15	60:13,16	79:11 81:5	32:12
109:10,23	119:6,15	61:9 78:6	81:22	45:25
109:23	128:4	101:22	83:14,25	85:16 88:7
110:2,4,4	times 23:12	117:2	84:18	true 128:2
110:15,18	30:24	122:8	88:19	trumps 106:5
111:8,22	today 60:18	123:23	98:10	trunk 28:5
112:2,15	111:1	124:23	124:17	truth 74:18
112:21,23	120:17	tracks	transcript	try 40:17,17
113:8,12	123:21	119:19	128:3	42:13 43:5
113:20,23	today's	tract 12:25	transcripts	49:11
113:24	30:24	22:16 30:1	31:17,18	94:19 96:7
114:6	Toll 25:13	83:11	Translated	118:18
116:10,17	123:11	traffic	79:10	trying 18:8
118:16,23	tonight 5:24	10:24	traveling	81:7 85:22

96:6 99:20	ultimately	25:12	80:3,4	various 27:3
101:18,24	57:13	27:13,14	81:25	59:14
105:4	78:15	27:16	87:15	76:11
120:10	98:20	35:24 81:6	101:24	125:20
Tuesday 1:7	umbrella	83:15 91:8	102:16,16	vehicle
turn 7:5	94:2	91:11,16	119:1	46:18,18
13:14	unanimous	96:15,16	useless	47:1 81:1
19:20	98:23	97:17 99:9	101:17,17	81:13
42:16 46:2	uncalled	110:19	103:7	vehicles
49:2	93:21 95:6	111:6,19	uses 22:3,6	11:24
turnaround	unclear	118:8	22:12	12:15,21
46:17,19	11:12	119:10,16	26:16	13:1,6
47:2 88:7	uncommon	University	46:15	14:11 35:9
turned 50:3	72:5	1:23	59:15	45:7,23,25
turning	understand	unmet 100:23	73:10	47:6,10
46:18	16:20 27:1	101:1,3	78:22	87:2
two 21:14	36:21	unnecessary	usual 9:1,12	Verderese
22:6,12	68:15	12:10	9:13	2:3 10:24
27:10	100:1	44:12	usually 48:6	13:15,16
44:10	104:19	unoccupied	71:3	17:23
47:16	106:6,25	35:16,18	utilized	18:11 25:9
49:20	109:7,9	69:20	14:3	30:22
50:16 52:3	111:23	unsignal...		31:25 43:9
52:13	121:25	78:7	V	62:18 76:2
60:13,16	124:15	unusual	vacancies	verified
83:18 84:9	understa...	89:18	41:25	66:22
92:15,16	7:9 38:15	111:10	vacancy 38:2	versa 60:24
101:22,25	44:11	unwinnable	vacant 34:9	version
103:10	understands	112:25	35:3 36:14	19:14
112:4	121:1	updated 25:3	37:14,20	versus 43:8
117:10	unfair 97:20	49:15	37:23,24	50:17
122:7	unfortunate	upheld 101:6	41:4,8,11	vertical
124:23	92:1 99:17	101:11	41:18	72:1
two-way	102:24	upper 78:8	121:11	vettied 69:16
18:13	unfortun...	119:18	valid 35:5	81:2 82:3
type 36:1	47:21	123:17	48:2 49:22	83:22
52:1 57:2	99:22,24	UPS 32:9	56:14	vice 1:10
92:19	102:20	use 5:25	Valley 97:10	60:24
93:24	unheard	8:13,14	105:2	vicinity
typical 79:5	91:22	17:9 21:15	value 85:9	76:8 107:4
typically	Uniform	21:20,25	85:19	video 1:25
70:22	14:22	22:6,7	variance	7:10
89:22	unique 48:19	23:8 36:16	10:8 38:16	view 57:20
typo 51:19	82:3	36:23	38:17,24	57:25
	unit 8:3	41:23 42:5	50:23	114:15
U	31:2 94:25	45:16	86:19	vinyl 53:21
U 68:7,8,14	121:7	48:18 69:2	116:13,13	violated
68:23	units 7:18	73:19	variances	89:3
ultimate	8:17,21	75:10	51:7	violation
83:13	9:3,8	76:14 79:4	varies 53:17	90:1

72:4,22,25	107:6,21	write 56:17	Z	11:00 101:9
73:4 74:12	108:2,5,13	writes 60:22	zero 91:4,22	11:38 127:23
74:14	110:9	writing	92:13,15	116 110:14
82:22	115:9	71:11	112:14	12 1:8 6:7
witnesses	116:9,10	written	117:21	27:9,10,11
79:14	120:12	28:25	Zitelli 1:14	27:22
Wolfson 1:19	123:17	82:13	32:23,24	32:11 54:9
2:3,7,14	wooded 89:10	wrong 55:9	60:4,5	92:3
2:15 4:10	woodwork	wrongly	109:3,4,6	12/19 3:14
4:11,15,18	121:4	48:24	109:18	128-5.17...
4:25 5:5	word 120:25	wrote 83:21	112:21	70:13
5:11 6:3	words 20:19		zone 8:18	12th 6:16
6:23 7:4	20:21	X	56:11 71:1	13 2:3
9:23,24	work 9:20	X 1:2,6 3:1	88:22	14 6:9
12:3,5,7	52:22	XI00991	89:14	15 6:8 87:4
13:13,18	62:18	128:18	106:21	118:9
13:20 16:3	73:15	Y	107:3,4	119:16
16:17	75:11 79:7	yard 69:18	zoned 103:7	150 31:1,6,9
17:16,20	86:7 92:23	70:8 71:4	zones 105:22	31:10,11
17:22 19:7	98:21	71:12,14	zoning 8:18	32:8
19:10	102:6	89:2,6,9	10:5	15th 6:17
23:11,15	112:22	89:13,25	105:25	51:2
23:17,20	114:7	91:20	0	16 1:7 50:9
23:22	115:7	110:23	07054 1:20	50:10,17
28:23	118:12,13	Yea 95:23	07601 1:23	160 118:9
31:13,18	119:3	yeah 6:12	08/04/19	165 118:9
31:21	worked 92:22	18:19 22:2	128:18	18 2:4
38:12,20	98:22	23:20	1	181 91:8
38:25 39:4	109:12	29:11 64:4	1 1:3,6 3:6	121:12,16
39:13	113:9	72:9 73:18	4:2,4,8	121:16
43:21,22	115:1	82:9 83:16	5:16 14:23	185 7:18 8:3
44:4 49:1	working	95:16	15:5	8:17,21
58:3,4	115:2	111:5	1-800-31...	9:3,8
61:14	119:8	114:21,21	1:25	27:13,16
65:24 66:5	120:7	year 41:10	1,000 84:13	31:2 35:23
66:19	worried	41:12,14	10 27:17	92:5,22
67:20,25	125:11	41:15	52:4 53:6	93:3 94:24
70:11 74:7	worse 27:19	94:10 95:3	66:6 76:18	99:9
74:8 82:24	wouldn't	98:14,14	76:19	110:19
82:25 83:1	20:4,6,8	103:10	117:17	111:6
83:24 84:2	21:3,8	years 24:10	10:30 74:13	118:8
84:25 86:3	29:14	26:9 78:24	100 112:2	119:10,11
86:4	30:12	102:2	102 56:7	188 121:13
103:11,17	47:17,17	109:20	104 2:21	18th 15:20
127:21	47:25	111:15	109 2:22	15:23
wondering	50:18	117:10	10th 76:20	19 3:11
34:14	77:20	124:21	11 52:18	1999 75:15
Woodcliff	99:13	yep 19:10	92:3	19th 5:1
2:12 7:1	wound 102:11	54:1,23		13:17
66:24	wrap 49:16			

2	65:16	4.3 45:14	51:17	972 27:14
2 40:15	25th 65:21	59:14	55:21 92:2	83:15
2/25/2015	26 2:20 5:12	4/14 6:19	94:1	973 1:20
3:6	8:18 70:12	4/14/19 3:16	126:12	9th 6:22
20 50:17	91:16 97:5	4/15/19 3:15	600 22:23	29:8 76:20
59:1 87:2	97:17	4/2019 3:8	34:18 35:9	
110:12	27 5:12	3:10	37:10	
118:8	54:25	4/4 3:3, 4	64 2:12	
119:16	28 5:14	49:14	72:16	
121:13	2nd 44:1	4/4/19 3:11	104:5	
123:2, 3, 11	108:4	19:8	65 2:11	
200 31:1, 7	3	4/4/2019	85:19	
32:8 81:13	3 6:6, 7	19:13	7	
201 1:24	25:11	4/5 3:7	7 15:25	
2013 42:24	54:12	4/9/19 3:17	51:15 92:4	
43:3	30 5:19 43:9	40 121:7, 13	7:45 1:9	
2015 5:17	109:20	121:19	70 43:13	
65:21 68:5	121:13	124:20	82:20 92:2	
112:9	300 46:14, 16	40:55 (d) -35	124:17	
2017 117:9	75:17	11:9, 19	700 14:10	
2018 24:18	301 1:5 4:7	38:14	22:23	
76:3, 4	3302 1:3 4:2	40:55 (d) -36	34:18 35:9	
77:16	4:4	11:20 12:7	72 2:12	
117:9	34 2:5	17:9	75 2:14	
2019 1:7	343-4600	40:55 (d) ...	8	
5:13, 19, 20	1:24	71:20	8 14:24 15:5	
5:23 6:7, 8	35 39:14	404 1:23	25:8, 19, 20	
6:9, 10	44:7 48:8	44 2:7	80 25:12	
2025 118:15	48:20	45 28:10	36:2, 5	
118:19, 24	56:12	118:23	38:8 64:18	
120:6, 7, 8	86:23	47 28:10	64:21	
121:20	87:18	48 2:8	96:16	
21 53:17	36 12:4	4th 5:8, 9	117:6	
210 121:16	18:11	5	83 2:14	
22 97:17	39:15 44:7	5 3:3, 4, 5, 6	84 2:3	
220 91:11	44:8 48:9	3:7, 8, 9	86 2:15	
225 46:3, 4	48:20	5:18 51:3	87 2:16	
46:22	86:23	5:29 45:15	887,000 95:3	
58:16	87:18	50 28:13, 14	8th 126:14	
23 53:7	36i 47:11	119:20	9	
24 45:20, 20	37 7:19	54 2:8	9 6:10 52:4	
46:6 53:3	38 95:2	56 50:23	76:18, 19	
53:5, 6, 9	4	58 2:9 50:24	117:17	
53:10	4 3:14 5:13	51:17	90 2:18 49:9	
58:15 59:4	14:23 15:5	6	966-6300	
81:25	50:19	6 3:14, 15, 16	1:20	
25 5:16 81:1	53:16, 20	3:17 51:4	97 2:19	
250 81:1	54:1	60 2:9 50:24		
84:3, 7				
252 2:6 34:5				