1	BOROUGH OF MONTVALE PLANNING BOARD
2	
3	July 19, 2022
4	Borough of Montvale
5	12 Mercedes Drive Montvale, NJ 07645
6	
7	APPEARANCES
8	JEROME A. VOGEL, ESQ., (Jeffer Hopkinson & Vogel) Attorney for the Applicant, Gray Capital
9	
10	
11	HEARING BODY:
12	JOHN DEPINTO, Chairman ROBERT ZITELLI, Environmental Commission Liaison CHRIS GRUBER, Code Official
13	JOHN CULHANE DIETER KOELLING, Council Liaison
14	DANTE TEAGNO JOHN RYAN, Mayor's Designee
15	JAVID HUYSENOV, First Alternate
16	
17	BOARD PROFESSIONALS:
18	CHRISTOPHER DOUR, Acting Board Engineer
19	ROBERT T. REGAN, ESQ., Board Attorney DARLENE GREEN, Borough Planner
20	LORRAINE HUTTER, Board Secretary
21	
22	
23	Jessica Robinson, AOC #581
24	King Transcription Services 3 South Corporate Dr., Suite 203 Riverdale, N.J. 07457
25	Audio Recorded

INDEX	2

		INDEX		2
1		I N D E X		
2	PROCEEDING		PAGE	
3	Borough of M			
4	Planning Boa	rd Meeting	3	
5	in re: Gray	Capital, LLC	3	
6		INDEX TO WITN	ESSES	
7	<u>NAME</u>		<u>PAGE</u>	
8	Steven Napol	itano	9, 19	
9	Brian Dempse	У	13	
10	Ryan McDermo	tt	16	
11	Kathryn Greg	ory	45	
12	Albert Demer	ich	68	
13				
14		INDEX TO EXHI	BITS	
15	<u>NUMBER</u>	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
16	A-12	Revised site plan	4	
17	A-13	Turning diagram	7	
18	A-14	Traffic	117	
19	B-8	Soil Calculations	5	
20	B-9	Planner Letter	5	
21	B-10	Landscape	5	
22	B-11	Fire department letter	6	
23	B-12	Police department letter	6	
24	B-13	County letter	31	
25				

1	
2	(Start of proceeding from requested portion)
3	
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And With that said I am
5	going to call on Grey Capital LLC, 100 Summit Avenue.
6	It's an application for preliminary and final site
7	plan approval and And Jerry you're up.
8	MR. VOGEL: Good evening ladies and
9	gentlemen I'm back again. So, the last time we were
10	here we went through a rather extensively engineering
11	and planning. And as a function on that on as a
12	function of that on June 9th revised plans as a
13	result of that we filed revised plans on June 9th.
14	Engineering provided a review of those plans on June
15	28th and a planning review of July 12th. So
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: With respect to those
17	plans the revised plans do they have to be marked
18	into evidence?
19	MR. REGAN: Yes, they would.
20	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we mark those
21	into evidence.

MR. REGAN: D-12 is next -- I think.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Are we onto D-12.

MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.

MR. REGAN: A-12.

25

22

23

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: A-12. 1 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And A-12 is the revised 3 site plan. 4 MR. REGAN: SNS -- SNS. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: With a revision date of 6 7 MR. REGAN: 6-9. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- 6-9. Steve is that 9 correct? 10 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, we're going to mark 12 that A-12. 1.3 MR. REGAN: A-12. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, any other documents 15 on behalf of the applicant to be submitted. 16 MR. VOGEL: I'm not sure whether or not we 17 marked any documents with respect to the soil movement 18 permit. I know we filed the permit, we -- we provided 19 20 notices as required. And Mr. Napolitano (phonetic) testified with respect to the 6,000 cubic yards that 21 are going to be moved. But I'm not sure if anything 22 is marked into evidence. 23 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Mr. Dour do you know 24 if your office concurred with the calculations that

1	were provided by SNS with respect to the soil
2	movement?
3	MR. DOUR: Yes we did Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And we have a document
5	that sets forth that approval?
6	MR. DOUR: It's our our letter of June
7	28th.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And do you know if that
9	was marked into evidence.
10	MR. REGAN: It hasn't been yet Mr. Chairman
11	if we do it would be B-8.
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So mark B-8 into
13	evidence, concurring with the testimony of Mr.
14	Napolitano with respect to the calculations.
15	Lorraine do we have any other
16	BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Municipal or Board
18	BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
19	MR. REGAN: Yes planner.
20	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we mark those.
21	BOARD SECRETARY: That's the engineering
22	that's B-8 that we just marked.
23	MR. REGAN: B-9 would be the planner.
24	BOARD SECRETARY: This is B-9, the planner.
25	MR. REGAN: And we do have landscape

1	architect too. That's April yeah, April 28th.
2	BOARD SECRETARY: The landscape architect.
3	MR. REGAN: Yeah, B-10 would be the
4	landscape architect of April 8th April 28th.
5	BOARD SECRETARY: And fire department June
6	30th?
7	MR. REGAN: Yes June 29th.
8	BOARD SECRETARY: So what are we marking
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: June 30th we're going to
10	mark as one.
11	BOARD SECRETARY: Fire department.
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Fire department B-10?
13	MR. REGAN: B-11.
14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: B-11.
15	MR. REGAN: And B-12 would be the police
16	department 6-29.
17	(Pause in proceedings)
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what are we marking
19	this?
20	BOARD SECRETARY: B-12.
21	MR. REGAN: B-12 would be police.
22	(Pause in proceedings)
23	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Mr. Vogel.
24	MR. VOGEL: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Now, as you stated when

```
we finished up at the last hearing --
1
               MR. VOGEL: Mr. Chairman?
2
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure.
3
               MR. VOGEL: I'm reminded by our traffic
4
     consultant that he did a drawing requested by
5
     Colliers' (phonetic) with respect to truck turning
6
    movements, and that was not marked. It was not part
7
     of the package that was revised drawing. So specified
8
     to at the prior hearing. So -- but we did not mark
9
     it.
10
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: -- it was submitted to
11
     us --
12
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
13
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Separate packet.
14
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Was it marked?
15
               BOARD SECRETARY: Turning diagrams?
16
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes.
17
               MR. VOGEL: Yes.
18
               BOARD SECRETARY: It was not marked.
19
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So Bob we're going to
     have to mark that.
21
               MR. REGAN: That would be A-13. Prepared by
22
     Mr. Dempsey I assume, right.
23
               MR. VOGEL: Okay.
24
               MR. REGAN: Is there a date on that?
25
```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: June 9th. 1 MR. REGAN: Okay. 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, let's go back to 3 the Collier letter marked into evidence as Board 4 Exhibit 8, dated June 28, 2022. And you stated that 5 because of the lateness of the hour the Board decided 6 that we would ask the Borough Engineer at our next 7 meeting; is that correct? 8 So Mr. Vogel are you ready for that? 9 MR. VOGEL: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm going to ask Mr. Dour 11 to summarize the technical review letter of June 28th. 12 MR. REGAN: Chris were you sworn on this 13 application previously? 14 MR. DOUR: No, I was not. 15 MR. REGAN: I didn't think so. 16 CHRISTOPHER DOUR, BOARD ENGINEER, SWORN 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. Mr. 18 Dour have you had an opportunity to review the letter 19 of June 28 marked into evidence as B-8? 20 MR. DOUR: Yes I have Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And could you go through 22 the letter and summarize your firm's findings and put 23 particular emphasis on any concerns that you have that 24 may not have been addressed to date by the applicant? 25

MR. DOUR: The most recent information that 1 we received from the county is they provided 2 conditional approval to the applicant -- application. 3 And I believe a majority of those changes were 4 incorporated into the plans that were -- that's 5 provided as Exhibit A-12. And I -- I -- I guess the 6 best thing for me to do -- have the applicant's 7 engineer provide -- just go over some of those points. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I think that would 9 be appropriate. Mr. Napolitano has been sworn in. 10 MR. REGAN: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Mr. Napolitano can 12 you go over the details of that question. There was a 1.3 lot of discussion with regard to that access. 14 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 15 (Pause in proceedings) 16 MR. NAPOLITANO: In -- in general and the 17 most -- one item that -- spoke with the county --18 quite an -- a lot about was the ingress/egress point 19 -- with the ingress/egress point on Summit Avenue, 20 existing and what is to be proposed. The County 21 didn't have issues, but at some point -- some less. 22 But in speaking with the town, I believe it was Andy 23

Hipolit, maybe Chris as well, I don't know --

Colliers' with the county, they agreed that right turn

in and right turn out was the proper movement there.

The drawings have been revised to reflect that. The curbing has been revised to reflect that. That's the main thing.

1.5

And the County also requested across the street some no left turn signs, and yield signs and other stop signs on Summit Avenue as well as near Spring Valley Road. We added those into the plans based on their comments. Those comments are also -- conditional approval letter from the County as well. But being that we communicate from time to time offline, the various engineers and planners -- communicate -- provide us with the signage, where he wanted to put the sign. So we were able to put it on the plans before we even got the conditional approval letter.

So, those are on the drawing. And -- and he wanted a couple of road widening easements to be fixed on the plans, so we added that to the drawings. But they're just -- physically on the -- that you can see would be the right turn in and right turn out.

MR. DOUR: I think the only change that you didn't make, if I'm not mistaken, was that the County wants a five foot wide sidewalk versus four feet. -- incorporated --

MR. NAPOLITANO: They want a five foot wide 1 side -- that was a comment that is in the conditional 2 approval letter, which -- what we were talking about 3 -- but that will change --4 MR. DOUR: Okay. 5 MR. NAPOLITANO: There were a couple of 6 other things like that too in the conditional approval 7 letter, that will be picked up on here as well. 8 MR. DOUR: Right there were a lot of --9 MR. NAPOLITANO: That came after --10 MR. DOUR: Yeah, there were a lot of general 11 comments related to drainage, property marker, 12 landscaping and ADA requirements. 13 MR. NAPOLITANO: Right, he wanted -- right 14 -- well, the ADA ramps -- provided drawings --15 MR. REGAN: When you're talking about the 16 conditional approval letters that's the July -- June 17 15 letter from the county? 18 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, just looking to that 19 20 exact letter. MR. REGAN: Yeah, because we have it. 21 MR. NAPOLITANO: I have it -- June 15th, 22 23 exactly. MR. REGAN: Okay. 24 MR. NAPOLITANO: So these plans were 25

submitted before that. Many of the comments were

picked up, as I mentioned because we went back and

forth on the emails. All the other conditional

approval pieces, just like any conditional approvals

will hopefully come from this Board we'll have to

revise on the drawings. So the next set of drawing

will pick up their comments --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good and Chris can you go through your review letter of the 28th?

MR. DOUR: Yeah, Mr. Chairman you just want -- highlight things that are really still a question?

Okay. So the -- one of the questions we have is what -- just to make sure -- I'm jumping -- I did -- I'm jumping back -- this last comment.

The snow storage areas are not going to be in conflict with any landscaping area; is that correct?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Correct. Correct we -- we moved them to a few different areas that aren't affecting existing landscaping. Grass areas.

MR. DOUR: Right, and the -- and I think one of the questions we had also was whether or not -- and the EV charging stations was going to -- with any of the landscaping?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Right now it will not.

1.3

MR. DOUR: Now the truck turning diagrams I 1 assume we have the most recent diagrams which are 2 dated 6-9-22? 3 MR. NAPOLITANO: You -- you do, prepared --4 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: 6-09? 5 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah. We submitted that 6 package together -- landscape and those traffic. 7 (Indiscernible conversation) 8 MR. REGAN: I think he was sworn at the last 9 meeting. 10 MR. DOUR: So, I guess the question was we 11 -- I don't know if you saw the top -- of our letter. 12 The -- the truck turning diagram don't provide full 1.3 movements for all the vehicles. I don't know if 14 that's been revised. 15 MR. DEMPSEY: Yes, they were revised, we 16 sent them to Andrew right after that letter but was --17 as -- as had been submitted in another revised form 18 package and sent to Andrew. So there's 18 drawings 19 and that was previously marked --20 MR. DOUR: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Did a copy of that go to 22 23 the Board Secretary? MR. DEMPSEY: Not yet, because it was after 24 the submission date. We had gotten a review letter 25

from Collier, but we did sent it directly to Colliers 1 for them to look at it, and that --2 (Indiscernible conversation) 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, and Chris can you 4 repeat their concern. 5 MR. DOUR: So, what they didn't -- the truck 6 turning showed vehicles entering from one location and 7 not another. And we felt that it was beneficial to 8 have -- to show the vehicles entering both locations 9 with the approved turn from the County and exiting 10 that way. And that's with the fire truck, the SU30 11 truck I believe and the --12 MR. DEMPSEY: The sanitation. 1.3 MR. DOUR: -- sanitation truck. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And you're stating that 15 you forwarded that to Mr. Hipolit? 16 MR. DEMPSEY: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But the Board is not in 18 receipt of it at this time? 19 20 MR. DEMPSEY: No, it would come in --CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Chris you have no 21 knowledge of that? 22 23 MR. DOUR: I have no -- Mr. Chairman, unfortunately. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 25

MR. NAPOLITANO: So the first --1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure. 2 MR. NAPOLITANO: Brian had submitted three 3 drawings which were a part of this set. As a result 4 of that comment, which we got on June --5 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What was the date of the 6 letter? 28th. 7 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, right away he put 8 together the -- 18 additional drawings to accommodate 9 that comment and sent it to Andy ahead of this meeting 10 so that he could have it. We couldn't possibly get it 11 in already at that time because the application had 12 been submitted. -- that we have the conditional 1.3 approval letters -- comments to make. There some 14 comments in these letters that are not reflected on 15 the drawings, because the drawings --16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, so Chris I'm not --17 MR. NAPOLITANO: Engineering. 18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, Chris I'm going to 19 ask that you try to locate that correspondence to Mr. 20 Hipolit, review it and provide a letter back to the 21 Board Secretary and copy to the applicant with your 22 23 concurrence or concern. MR. DOUR: Okay, we'll do Mr. Chairman. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, moving on from 25

that. I guess that's item 37? 1 MR. DOUR: Correct there were two other 2 questions regarding the -- just to confirm the height 3 of the -- south side, and to confirm that there was no 4 overhang on the -- I guess that would be the northeast 5 6 corner. MR. NAPOLITANO: Here? 7 MR. DOUR: Correct. 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: Overhang the parking lot? 9 MR. DOUR: Over the -- the drive aisle. 10 MR. NAPOLITANO: Correct. 11 MR. DOUR: Okay, so there's nothing planned 12 there at all? 13 MR. NAPOLITANO: Is that correct -- the --14 is going to be -- from the building to the end of the 15 curb and it's not going to extend into the driveway? 16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Again, identify yourself 17 for the record please. 18 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, Ryan McDermott with --19 20 MR. REGAN: He was previously sworn. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yes. 21 MR. MCDERMOTT: 22 MR. NAPOLITANO: Thank you to confirm that 23 Ryan. 24 MR. DOUR: And the -- and the height of --25

the clearance of the -- on the south side is 12 feet, 1 6 inches? 2 MR. MCDERMOTT: That's correct. I believe 3 we addressed that last time, yeah. 4 MR. DOUR: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Do you have an exhibit on 6 that that we can hold up to take a look at? 7 (Indiscernible conversation) 8 MR. REGAN: Yeah, maybe we should. 9 county letter of June 15th never been marked. I guess 10 we can call it a Board exhibit. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't you just 12 temporarily put it on Steve's Board and describe to us 13 the general -- that general area on the plans? 14 MR. MCDERMOTT: So the ambulance entry --15 share overhang would be -- in -- at 13 feet, actually. 16 13 feet -- drawing A-102, the main entry -- is 17 surveyed at 13 feet from grade to the other side of 18 the pad. So it's --19 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris do you find that adequate? 21 MR. DOUR: That's adequate we probably would 22 defer to emergency services and make sure -- that was 23 the amount that the -- requested. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, John do you have a 25

concern on that? 1 MR. RYAN: No, the fire chief has 12-6 that 2 he would like. So yeah that's enough --3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. And chief you're 4 in the back of the room, I see. You're okay with the 5 13 feet? 6 FIRE CHIEF: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. Chris, 8 why don't you continue? 9 MR. DOUR: Mr. Chairman, really most of 10 these comments have been addressed. So, we touched 11 briefly on the soil movement permit application. 12 Unless anybody has any other comments --1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm sorry, touched 14 briefly on what? 15 MR. DOUR: The soil permit application. 16 the -- the applicant is proposing moving 6,060 cubic 17 yards of soil. And just as a point of information 18 that they do have to provide certain information to 19 the Borough prior to, I believe --20 (Indiscernible conversation) 21 MR. DOUR: And the transporter and --22 (Indiscernible conversation) 23 MR. DOUR: Yeah, so that was really Mr. 24 Chairman --25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, and there's more --1 Chris there's more soil leaving the site than being 2 imported? 3 MR. DOUR: Yes. 4 (Indiscernible conversation) 5 MR. NAPOLITANO: From a code point, zoning 6 ordinance point of view, total soil movement 232 cubic 7 yards of fill, 5,833 cubic yards of cut. Add it 8 together per the ordinance 6,060. 9 MR. REGAN: 6060? 10 MR. NAPOLITANO: 6060 cubic yards of total 11 soil movement. I think that's part of the 12 application. The net soil movement is -- is 5,601 13 cubic yards of soil export. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good. 15 MR. REGAN: Okay, good. 16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Can I --17 MR. NAPOLITANO: And that -- and that --18 just so you know that's broken up in 5,833 cubic feet 19 of cut and 2,200 -- excuse me, 232 cubic yards of 20 fill. 21 MR. DOUR: Of fill. 22 MR. NAPOLITANO: Nets to 5,601 total cubic 23 yards of soil to be exported from the site. 24 MR. DOUR: Okay. 25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Good thank you. 1 MR. NAPOLITANO: You're welcome. 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris what do you have 3 next? 4 MR. DOUR: Traffic study pretty much -- our 5 review indicated that the applicant provide a traffic 6 study that was in general -- performed to generally 7 accepted practice and we had no issues, and we did 8 make a comment about the right in and right out that 9 the county is requiring. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris, do you know if 11 Andy had any discussion or Jerry -- are you aware of 12 any discussion with Andy Hipolit with regard to 1.3 synchronizing of the light at Spring Valley Road and 14 Summit Avenue, with this new GPS system that's being 15 installed throughout town? Chris do you know anything 16 about it --17 (Indiscernible conversation) 18 MR. DOUR: I can ask that question. 19 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I understand that in connection with North Market -- or I'm sorry the --21 the Valley Application --22 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Building four. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- building four at North 24 Market, the developer will be supplying to the County 25

and the Borough five GPS controlled lights at different intersections along Grand Avenue. And I believe Colliers' made a recommendation that another two be installed along Summit Avenue. And Dieter I don't know if you've had any involvement with it. Did Hipolit discuss it with you? (Indiscernible conversation) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Not yet about Summit.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: NOT YET ABOUT SUMMIT.

(Indiscernible conversation)

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. All right, Steve I'm going to ask that you follow it up with Hipolit, with regard to that.

MR. NAPOLITANO: These are what two -- two light bulbs?

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The two intersections that are signalized on Summit Avenue. One being at the corner of Summit and Spring Valley Road. The other is Summit and Chestnut Ridge Road. I believe that would complete the County's recommendation that all of these intersections be synchronized through the usage of these GPS type control of a traffic light. And I'm surprised Tim Sack (phonetic) didn't bring it up with you Steve in your discussion with him. But it seems to be something that they're looking for.

MR. NAPOLITANO: I'll reach out to Andy on

that note then. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: okay, good thank you. 2 Okay Chris why don't you continue please. 3 MR. DOUR: The -- the -- thank you Mr. 4 Chairman. The EV Charging stations are these going to 5 be stations that you -- you drive up and you'd have to 6 put in a charge card or some type of an account? Or 7 has that been determined? 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: I don't think that's been 9 determined. 10 MR. DOUR: Okay. 11 MR. NAPOLITANO: We've located them to 12 accommodate the quantity, we've located them to 13 provide for relatively close parking spaces, we 14 located them -- handicap parking spaces well. That 15 answer I don't have. 16 MR. DOUR: Okay, I assume they wouldn't be 17 free. 18 MR. NAPOLITANO: I'm not sure of the answer 19 20 to that. MR. DOUR: Okay. 21 MR. NAPOLITANO: -- get back on that. 22 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And while on that 23 subject, we just had an applicant come before the Site 24

Plan Review Committee and we reminded them against the

Borough's prohibition of neon lighting. I have noticed some of these charging stations they're employing neon lights to highlight their availability.

MR. REGAN: Yeah, on the Garden State Parkway.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: They're on the Parkway, they're on Route 17. The ones that Recker Bank Heiser (phonetic) are planning to use do not employ the neon. We'd like to see similar, and we can provide you with the details of that charging station. The other thing —

(Indiscernible conversation)

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, we're not big on that -- we're not big on that sort of thing.

(Indiscernible conversation)

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And the other item with that, we brought to Recker Bank Heiser's attention the fact that we felt if the charging stations are for the use of employees that access to them should either be by credit card or ID card or something or someone would not park a vehicle there overnight, who may not have any business being there. It's something that they were going to employ, allowing employees with their ID

cards in. I would ask that you bring that to Grey

Capital's attention. 1 MR. VOGEL: You're not talking about this --2 to have to go a car access? Because let's suppose --3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, in the case it's a 4 visitor, right, I think the appropriate thing to do 5 would be to have a credit card type of reader. 6 MR. VOGEL: We're going to do that when --7 when somebody wants to come see momma who is the place 8 or dad? 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, I don't know. 10 -- I'm asking you. I'm more concerned about --11 MR. REGAN: People having no connection with 12 the site --1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Having no connection --14 MR. REGAN: -- showing up overnight and --15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And leaving their vehicle 16 there overnight. How are we going to prevent that? 17 MR. VOGEL: It's kind of self-limiting. 18 Number one this is a use that is populated by people 19 working there 24 hours a day. It's not like an office 20 building that closes down and everybody leaves. So 21 there are people there operating on site and they're 22 able to be aware of what goes on at the site. 23 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm -- I'm just saying 24

that I would want building management to monitor it,

not that there are vehicles parking there overnight 1 that should not be parked there. 2 MR. VOGEL: Well, I'm sure the operation 3 they don't want any strange vehicle on the site. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right. 5 MR. VOGEL: Given the nature of the 6 residents. So, but -- but it -- to have some kind of 7 an access limited by card or something like that or by 8 a reader that would stop a relative from coming in to 9 -- to visit -- or someone got ill --10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm not sure, but we'd 11 like --12 MR. VOGEL: -- something --13 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- we'd like to see a 14 plan from operations how they -- how they intend to 15 monitor that usage. 16 MR. REGAN: It's kind of matter of security 17 and safety too. 18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Chris what else you 19 have on this? 20 MR. DOUR: Mr. Chairman the only comment --21 major comment that we have is just the applicant 22 addressing the fire department's memorandum, and I'm 23 going to defer to the fire department --24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good. Let's 25

go to Board Members, starting with, I guess Mr. 1 Zitelli. Mr. Zitelli do you have any questions of 2 either design engineer Steven Napolitano or Mr. Dour 3 from Colliers. 4 MR. ZITELLI: So, I just want to confirm 5 what I heard here tonight, Mr. Chairman. It sounds 6 like there were no left turns out of the site; is that 7 correct? So we'll not be allowed to make a left turn 8 9 MR. NAPOLITANO: You cannot make a left out 10 of the site onto Summit Avenue. 11 MR. ZITELLI: Okay, and similarly they 12 wouldn't be able to make a left from Summit into the 1.3 site; correct? 14 MR. NAPOLITANO: Correct. 15 MR. ZITELLI: That's pretty good Mr. 16 Chairman, that was one of my big concerns because you 17 know the traffic on Summit Avenue at certain times of 18 day is very heavy. 19 MR. VOGEL: It's one of the benefits of this 20 kind of use, because it was an office building people 21 would want to stream in and out, left in, left out. 22 Here we're able to direct the traffic on the site and 23

MR. ZITELLI: I appreciate that. I -- I

accommodate that.

```
think that's -- I'm glad you guys did that. So, I
1
     have no other questions right now.
2
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Very good, thank you.
3
     John do you have anything?
4
               MR. RYAN: No additional questions.
5
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you.
6
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
7
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you.
8
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I just have one
9
     question.
10
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure.
11
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was it mentioned that
12
     the -- charges were they level, one, two or three?
                                                          Is
13
     that specified?
14
               MR. NAPOLITANO: It's not specified --
15
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do you anticipate Steve
16
     that it's going to be specified?
17
               MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
18
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do we know what you're
19
     considering?
20
               MR. NAPOLITANO: I don't know --
21
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: My preference would be
22
     no level one's that's the same as plugging into your
23
     house. Level two and three -- charge the cars faster
24
     -- proportionate and I think that --
```

1	MR. NAPOLITANO: I will certainly find that
2	out.
3	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think that whatever
4	if there if this electric thing keeps going like it
5	is you probably want level three charges there.
6	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you. Mr. Culhane?
7	MR. CULHANE: In regards to the Summit
8	entrance, the fire department asked for mountable
9	curbs.
10	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
11	MR. CULHANE: That's being provided?
12	MR. NAPOLITANO: Was that was that I'm
13	sorry, I thought you were saying going to say
14	something after that.
15	MR. CULHANE: NO other comments
16	MR. NAPOLITANO: So, they did the fire
17	department did request mountable curbs. We're going
18	to show mountable curbs. The County didn't want
19	mountable curbs.
20	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The county did not want
21	them?
22	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what is Colliers'
24	position on that Chris?
25	MR. DOUR: I think from the standpoint of
-	

1	just access the fire department mountable curbs are
2	recommended.
3	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, we'll we'll put
4	the mountable curb the fire department, right,
5	we'll put the mountable curb in here when when it's
6	hidden. Summit has to be a curb. You got to have a
7	curb here, right to about there and then everything
8	will be mountable.
9	(Indiscernible conversation)
10	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, so we'll work that
11	out.
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, good. Mr. Gruber?
13	(Indiscernible conversation)
14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. And councilman?
15	COUNCILMAN KOELLING: No question.
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. We
17	should open the meeting to the public. The chair will
18	entertain I'm sorry, Ms. Green?
19	MS. GREEN: I have a question for Mr.
20	Napolitano.
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Fine.
22	MS. GREEN: But I don't know if you want to
23	or not.
24	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Let's let's go to the
25	public and then we'll do planning.
	I

1	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I do think come here
2	tonight
3	(Pause in proceedings)
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chair will entertain a
5	motion to open the meeting to the public.
6	MR. CULHANE: So moved.
7	MR. RYAN: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Culhane, seconded
9	John. All in favor? Aye.
10	BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Anyone from the public
12	wish to be heard? No? The Chair will entertain a
13	motion to close the meeting the public.
14	(Indiscernible conversation)
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Teagno. Seconded?
16	MR. ZITELLI: I'll second it.
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Zitelli. All in
18	favor.
19	BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, before we get into
21	test
22	MR. REGAN: Yeah, we can mark the county
23	report. Going to make it as a Board exhibit.
24	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, what are you going
25	to mark it?

```
MR. REGAN: I think it would be B-13.
1
               BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you.
2
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, did we mark into
3
     evidence Darlene's letter?
4
               MR. REGAN: Yes we did.
5
               MS. GREEN: B-9.
6
               MR. REGAN: A-9. B-9.
7
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: B-9. And -- but we have
8
     not heard any testimony from the applicant's planner.
9
               MR. REGAN: No we haven't.
10
               MS. GREEN: I will keep it just to Mr.
11
     Napolitano's --
12
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, why don't you do
13
     that and then we'll go onto testimony.
14
               MS. GREEN: Sure.
15
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: From the planner.
16
               MS. GREEN: Mr. Napolitano -- reviewed --
17
     set of plans we identified a variance for intersection
18
     -- intersection of lighting. Will the applicant
19
20
     seeking that waiver, or are you able to comply with
     the order?
21
               MR. NAPOLITANO: So -- so it's a little
22
     vague to me the ordinance at the intersection -- tell
23
    me three foot candles --
24
               MS. GREEN: Right along the property line.
25
```

MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay, so in -- in that 1 comment that you have made we added an additional 2 lighting fixture at the entrance of -- point on both 3 -- both on Spring Valley and Summit. To increase the 4 foot candles we do not have three foot candles at the 5 property line. This must be a very new ordinance that 6 was recently put in, I would imagine. 7 (Indiscernible conversation) 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: That -- half a foot candle. 9 10 MS. GREEN: Yes. 11 MR. NAPOLITANO: And very often times we --12 argue we need more lighting at that entrance so at 13 least give us a waiver or a variance at the time. 14 quess somebody came up with that. Okay, so then I 15 know that for future. 16 We can either ask for a -- I mean it's --17 it's -- it's got foot candles. To do that we would 18 have another head here, here, here and here and we 19 would not ask for a waiver or a foot candle. We would 20 have three foot candle --21 MR. REGAN: So you would comply? 22 MR. NAPOLITANO: We -- we would comply. 23 MR. REGAN: That makes it easy. 24

MR. NAPOLITANO:

25

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Darlene anything else? 1 MR. NAPOLITANO: Thank you. 2 MS. GREEN: Not a problem. On Page 7, 3 comment number 9. There are eight and a half foot 4 wide -- throughout the parking lot. And in our 5 ordinance we say that you're allowed to have eight and 6 a half foot wide parking spaces if they're going to be 7 used for employee parking. 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 9 MS. GREEN: As -- I can't -- any testimony 10 on that -- I don't know if you're the right person to 11 -- testify to that? 12 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, I'm going to tell you 13 why --14 MS. GREEN: Certainly. 15 MR. NAPOLITANO: This is just my little 16 strategy. The orange is where that eight and a half 17 foot is. And the -- because of these -- just -- just 18 very briefly. We measured -- these are existing 19 conditions. We measured every aisle as -- just -- to 20 the two inches -- and we're re-striping -- we're 21 re-milling, we're re-topping, we're re-paving, we're 22 re-striping. The stripes out there now, are faded, 23 they're there. If I went out there and five other 24

people went out there we'd all come back with a

different total number because we would have seen something to be a little different than somebody else. So I'm not comparing this to what -- what is there.

But if you were take the dimension from here to here, as an example, take other than the handicap spaces, it's a perfect eight and a half foot wide here. If you did nine you'd lose some space and you'd have some strange dimension at the end. The same thing happened here. And the same thing happened up in this area.

So, if -- if the Board is amenable those spaces can be designated by management, not by signage or striping or any kind of thing like that, that employees would park on these edges and those spaces. All those other spaces that happen to be nine feet, literally are perfect nine foot spaces.

So, that's the reasoning behind that.

Could these spaces go to nine feet? They could easily go to nine feet. We'd have to have a funky little spot at the end --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, but Steve aren't you concerned at some point in the future these undersized parking spaces intermittently disbursed through the site --

MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.

1.3

1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: would become a
2	problem?
3	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Larger vehicles trying to
5	fit into smaller spaces?
6	MR. NAPOLITANO: It could be, yes. Yes,
7	they may not know not to park there.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And I'm also concerned
9	that all of a sudden that someone would decide to put
10	of signage.
11	MR. NAPOLITANO: I've been authorized to
12	make a commitment to the Board that the eight and a
13	half foot spaces will be designated for employees
14	parking plan interior to the operation.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Without signage?
16	MR. NAPOLITANO: That's correct?
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So how how is that
18	going to work? What happens if a visitor decides they
19	want to park an oversized vehicle
20	MR. NAPOLITANO: Well, we're going to have
21	we're going to have employees fill up those spots.
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: What would the loss of
23	parking spaces amount to if you eliminated the eight
24	and a halves?
25	MR NAPOLITANO: Two to three here One to

1	two here. Maybe one here.
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And I recall
3	MR. NAPOLITANO: And kind of a lot
4	you're getting half inch, half inch all
5	way.
6	(Indiscernible conversation)
7	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: that with respect to
8	parking that we had more than enough parking spaces.
9	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So why would we want to
11	to impose this eight and a half feet
12	MR. NAPOLITANO: Sure.
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: when we can do it at
14	nine and really not give up more than eight or ten
15	spaces?
16	MR. REGAN: Yeah, the plan shows 368
17	according to Darlene's letter.
18	MR. NAPOLITANO: There is a lot on the side,
19	I just missed, it was right over here.
20	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I I would
21	MR. NAPOLITANO: We're going to re I
22	think based on the comments here we're going to re
23	revise that to have just nine foot wide spaces
24	throughout, other than the handicap spaces
25	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, that let me

1	MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That's certainly my
3	preference.
4	MR. REGAN: Steve can you tell us before you
5	poll the Board how many it would reduce it to?
6	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Was that a reduction of
7	about ten parking spaces?
8	MR. NAPOLITANO: Or less.
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Or less.
10	MR. REGAN: Okay, that's fine.
11	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Mr. Huysenov, are
12	you okay with eliminating eight and a half?
13	MR. HUYSENOV: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay John down below
15	Chris?
16	MR. RYAN: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Dieter?
18	(Indiscernible conversation)
19	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, why don't we go
20	that way?
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, no more than ten.
22	(Pause in proceedings)
23	MS. GREEN: Okay thank you.
24	MR. NAPOLITANO: Thank you.
25	MS. GREEN: Yes, Steve I searched the site

plan and I do not see any proposed generator. Does 1 this site need a generator, and if so where would it 2 qo? 3 MR. NAPOLITANO: There -- I don't know some 4 of those questions. But there is an existing 5 6 generator here. MS. GREEN: Okay. 7 MR. NAPOLITANO: It's always been there, and 8 it's been on this plan to remain as an existing 9 generator. I understand the building owner is either 10 going to utilize that generator or -- I don't know the 11 condition of it, I don't know. I would imagine if 12 it's in good condition -- it's in this fenced -- this 1.3 existing fenced in area, there is one there. 14 MS. GREEN: All right, thank you. 15 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. Says existing --16 (Indiscernible conversation) 17 MS. GREEN: That's why it was --18 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah. 19 MS. GREEN: Just bear with me one second. 20 Just skipping over the architect comments. Moving on 21 to lighting. Are there going to be any freestanding 22 fixtures that would be turned off and -- during the 23 evening hours? Or ordinance talks about security 24

lighting after certain hours. And it wasn't clear if

25

all of the light fixtures would remain on all night, or if certain one will be turned off for security level or --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VOGEL: I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- I inquired of that when I saw your comment. The intention is to keep all of the lights on because of the nature of these -- and there's the -- that if there's any spillage onto other properties -- so there's light source that is offensive to another property we will work it out be either putting a cover on it or by redirecting the light. But their desire is -- and they do it on all of their locations is keep the lights on. Number one it provides for security. Two, it gives a certain amount of secure feeling to the people who are inside, the residents because they see lights not dark outside. So they like to keep them on, and if it does intrude on anybody else they will -- put a provision -- resolution that we would accommodate any spillage or light source that's an intrusion -- property --CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris in the Colliers'

review letter, is there a recommendation as to the level of illumination that we would find acceptable?

MR. DOUR: There -- there's no number Mr. Chairman. And I think there was a comment, if I'm not mistaken about -- the ordinance for security and

dimming the lights, possibly and also have them go off at a certain time. But based on the applicant's testimony, and the fact that the -- there -- it's a 24 hour operation that they would prefer to have the lights on.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, but I think we have to be sensitive to the residential developments both to the north of the property and the south of the property. So, yes there should be no illumination at the property line that they would find offensive. But depending upon the height of the poles and the type of lighting that they're using, if they're keeping it on 24 hours, I think it has to be a lesser lumen or illumination. Chris, do you agree with that?

MR. DOUR: They could -- they could possibly dim the lighting along the perimeter facing alongside of the residential development. That may accommodate that or address that concerns.

MR. VOGEL: -- down the road -- almost every application -- my comment is if there is -- if there is a problem we will solve it. If you -- if there's the lighting that's on that plan intrudes on anybody else. To say that we'll turn down the lights at a given time.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That's -- that's -- that

reactive, I want to be proactive. I want to design 1 something that our professionals say will not be 2 offensive. 3 MR. VOGEL: Well I -- I -- I think our 4 position Mr. Chairman is that the lighting that we 5 have designed will not spill out onto other people's 6 property, will not be a light source that will 7 intrude. We have -- as per our plan is --8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But I'd like specifics --9 MR. VOGEL: -- if that representation 10 doesn't turn out to be true, we'll modify it to 11 whatever --12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But I would like the 13 specifics to what the illumination will be. 14 MR. VOGEL: Well we -- we have a lighting 15 plan, we've shown the fixtures, that's what we're 16 proposing. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: All right. Chris, I'm 18 going to ask that you review that again. 19 20 MR. DOUR: Will do Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And come back with a 21 report. 22 Okay, what else --23 MS. GREEN: -- if I could add to that --24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure. 25

l of
on
s 80
nd
ht.
80
look
at
ng
y 12th
y 12th
y 12th
y 12th heated that
heated

MS. GREEN: Do you believe that the five 6 1 foot tall trees that are proposed will be tall enough 2 to screen that from view of Summit? 3 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, I'm basing that size 4 on the size that was designed for the property just --5 private -- same size. I shall -- because I'm taller 6 7 MR. VOGEL: And I would have no problem in a 8 resolution that provide that the plantings there would 9 be high enough to cover the heights of -- put that in 10 as a requirement. And -- something as too short we'll 11 have to replace it. I'm sure Mr. Gruber will be out 12 there --1.3 MS. GREEN: Okay, thank you. My last 14 question. Sheet Y5 of your plans indicates that the 15 bollards on the site OSHA Yellow. And I don't know 16 that we have OSHA Yellow bollards anywhere in town. 17 MR. VOGEL: You know I'm disappointed, I 18 thought the chairman would pick that up. I -- I don't 19 where the yellow -- came from. I don't know -- I 20 don't know --21 MR. NAPOLITANO: Lot of warehousing 22 23 projects, maybe the yellow came from that. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm sure -- I'm sure it 24

was a typo.

25

	4 4
1	MR. NAPOLITANO: So what color would you
2	like from me?
3	MS. GREEN: Black.
4	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Grey, beige
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Grey is good. Purple.
6	(Indiscernible conversation)
7	MS. GREEN: Where where are the majority
8	of them located Steve? the dumpster area I
9	guess I'm just trying to envision what the back drop
10	is so that they don't stick out like a sore thumb.
11	MR. NAPOLITANO: I don't think we have that
12	many. I'm trying to think where we even have them.
13	That's on the detail that you saw?
14	MS. GREEN: Sheet Y5.
15	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, that's the detail
16	note on there phrase I mean we probably have
17	them located I I have to see on the plan where
18	we have them.
19	MS. GREEN: As long as it's not neon or
20	ocean yellow.
21	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, no problem.
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Grey grey or black,
23	it's fine. A flat black.
24	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, absolutely.
25	(Indiscernible conversation)
J	1

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, we're going to take 1 a five minute break and when we return Mr. Vogel we'll 2 hear testimony, I believe, from your planner. And 3 then we'll go over Ms. Green's planning review letter. 4 MR. VOGEL: I have one more witness --5 6 Gregory --CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yes, when we return from 7 the break we'll hear from Ms. Gregory. 8 MR. VOGEL: Very good. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you. 10 (Off the record, on the record) 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Mr. Vogel. 12 MR. VOGEL: Yes, the singular witness that 13 we have -- this application is a planning testimony. 14 I have a witness here -- available. -- may I have the 15 witness sworn please? 16 KATHRYN GREGORY, APPLICANT'S WITNESS, 17 SWORN 18 MR. REGAN: All right, for the record state 19 your full name and spell your last name please. 20 MS. GREGORY: Kathryn Gregory. My first 21 name is spelled K-A-T-H-R-Y-N, last name Gregory, 22 G-R-E-G-O-R-Y. My business address is 96 Union Plaza 23 -- Fort Lee, New Jersey. 24 MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Gregory has 25

previously testified before this Board as a planner. 1 I recommend she be deemed qualified without any 2 further testimony. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The chair will accept 4 recommendation of counsel. Please continue. 5 MR. VOGEL: -- any credentials on the record 6 7 MR. REGAN: No we don't need it, she's been 8 here. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No -- no -- no. 10 MR. VOGEL: Ms. Gregory recognizing that 11 you're a professional planner in the State of New 12 Jersey, were you engaged by the applicant to undertake 13 a planning review of the facility because it's the 14 subject matter of this application? 15 MS. GREGORY: Yes. 16 MR. VOGEL: Would you describe for the Board 17 the process that you went to and the conclusions that 18 you reached with respect to that --19 MS. GREGORY: Certainly. Actually I 20 reviewed the zoning ordinances, your master plan. Is 21 at in -- hearing -- well -- all four -- exhibits, et 22 23 cetera, et cetera. And I've come up with a planning conclusion regarding the -- associated with the site 24

plan application before you this evening.

1 Just keep going?

1.3

MR. VOGEL: Yes Please.

MS. GREGORY: Okay, great. I'm going to take a little seat right now. Okay. So, we're here tonight seeking a number of bulk variances associated with the site plan application. As you all know in the case of a C or bulk variance -- C-1, which is -- hardship or unique situations affecting a piece of property or a building -- C-2 -- must be -- purposes of zoning.

I think that issue -- these variances could probably fall as -- I ordered -- but I'm going to go through those four -- elegantly lined out in your planner's letter. So I'll go through those.

First variance is for steep slope

disturbance. There's disturbances permitted over 15

percent or greater. We are proposing 8,350 square

feet of slope -- to be disturbed. However, this is

really by virtue of really -- retaining walls. And

with that said there will be no increase of lot

coverage and there will not be -- no stormwater runoff

as per the state regulations. This has all been

testified to by our engineers, so we believe that this

variance would be -- granted.

Next would be the variance for the access

drives. The access drives need to be at least 10 feet from any building. So I'm going to -- the site plan with this, and kind of point these areas out. The applicant proposes a main entrance addition to -- on the south side of the existing building which overhangs the one --

And then additionally an access drive is proposed 6 feet 6 inches from the ambulance entrance of -- which would be here on --

So, with that said on the access drive itself you need to be 10 feet from any building. Number one, obviously the building overhangs it here. I don't think what this provision really consider -and obviously the reason that -- this is necessary is due to just the nature of the use, this is obviously a very nice thing to have in inclement weather, when you have people that are infirm trying to get out of the vehicle that -- that they're being dropped off in -the entrance. So, I we do believe that that's warranted. And this is also -- because we have an ambulance drop off. I think we -- it's not as though all of our access drives are less than ten feet from the building. I think -- conditions -- usually with that -- warranted.

The next would be the variance for

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sidewalks. The ordinance -- sidewalks were constructed along the building to be located not less than five feet from the building unless landscaping -- five feet are located along 50 percent of the length of the building to which the sidewalk is adjacent.

1.3

So the first of which the applicant proposed a sidewalk that ranges from 5 to 10 feet -- bridge way between the two buildings -- and that would be here.

You have a 10 foot that goes to a 5 foot walkway right here. And no --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: Obviously we do have a pitch point where the sidewalk kind of touches the building. Obviously we can't -- but what I also could say to this is this is very internal to the buildings. It's not as if it's external here. As if we had a sidewalk here and -- coming from the parking lot towards the building where we had the sidewalk, and then we have the landscape and then we have the building. This is actually interior only to the building.

That also holds true for this area right here. The applicant -- a sidewalk along the northwest -- sidewalk and building facade. That would be the same argument in this area as well.

And then lastly the applicant proposes $\ensuremath{\mathtt{a}}$

six and a half foot wide sidewalk along the north east 1 ambulance entrance -- was to --2 (Indiscernible conversation) 3 MS. GREGORY: I was a little confused about 4 this one because I'm pretty sure that -- this 5 particular sidewalk, which is actually adjacent to the 6 -- building. But we do have the ambulance entrance 7 here, so again -- condition -- warranted with this 8 location, because we're going to have -- and we may 9 have more than one ambulance coming here at a time. 10 We're going to need that sidewalk area to actually get 11 people out of ambulances and --12 The next -- be the number of parking spaces. 13 As was discussed -- I'm not sure how many spaces we're 14 going to have now. We had 368. 15 (Indiscernible conversation) 16 MS. GREGORY: We will probably lose 8 to 10 17 spaces. Our engineers -- probably more like 8, so 18 then we'd be at 360 spaces. But we do have that range 19 where we're supposed to have between -- but obviously 20 there's been -- traffic -- already been provided to 21 you. I think --22 (Indiscernible conversation) 23 MS. GREGORY: -- talked about re-striping 24

and losing those spaces. So I think there's been

25

enough testimony about that by our traffic engineer.

The next variance would be for the front yard parking. The ordinance does permit up to 15 percent of the total number of parking spaces for -- the front yard. This technically was an existing condition, but we minimized it. Originally it we proposed 24.7 percent when we had the 368 spaces, and 35.5 percent existing. But what happens when you eliminate a couple of the spaces? Just -- so again I'm kind of -- couple of -- I think obviously these need to be worked out. But if we had 350 spaces, which is a loss of 8, we'd have 25.2 percent of parking spaced in the front yard. And if we had 358 spaces that is -- loss of 25.4 percent.

But as we stated before, no matter what condition -- ends up with we're still reducing the number of spaces that are in --

MR. REGAN: Could you give me those percentages again? I know they're different than what's in Ms. Green's letter.

MS. GREGORY: So originally -- actually I think -- yes -- because yes in your planner's letter it says 24.7 percent. But --

MR. REGAN: But it's not -- not 368 it's 360 or 350.

MS. GREGORY: Right if you lose -- if we 1 lose and go to 360 spaces it's 25.2. So that -- going 2 with the kind of the low and the high here. 3 MR. REGAN: Okay. 4 MS. GREGORY: So that would be -- but if we 5 lost ten spaces, then the percentage would be 25.4. 6 MR. REGAN: Okay. 7 MS. GREGORY: Okay, and then actually 8 she notices that there's two pre-existing 9 non-conforming additions. And that's when -- can't be 10 closer than -- feet to any property line or existing 11 conditions --12 And also the next one would be that --13 surface parking -- located within 50 feet of any 14 residential district. And we -- we have a parking 15 area that's 49.62 feet from the H-6 district. And I'm 16 pretty sure that's probably just human error because 17 that's really close. So, it's -- same conditions, but 18 it's obviously --19 20 So, to go forward -- positive and negative criteria. I do believe that -- positive criteria. 21 Most notably that would be from today. 22 (Indiscernible conversation) 23 MS. GREGORY: The reason I say that is --24

one were actually --

(Indiscernible conversation)

1.3

MS. GREGORY: And the most the public health and of itself. But also in case of Antique Silver v.

Seeley (phonetic) it was found that -- the state goal

-- from a public welfare. And in the State Plan, in planning area one, which Montvale is in, our goal is for redevelopment -- areas of existing -- which is exactly what's happening here.

I'm going to go further with that -- start talking about your Master Plan a little bit. Because this particular district and a lot of the -- application are really directly come from your Master Plan.

I also think that we do provide adequate -the State. I don't think the variances are going to
impact any light -- for space. Even though we do have
--

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: And I also believe that we're going to provide -- perfect locations -- variety of uses -- to be -- New Jersey citizens -- this facility.

In terms of the negative criteria, I don't believe there's going to be any substantial detriment -- proposed. The public is actually already --

25 (Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: -- which is a different sort
of reduction, but maybe they will. But I don't think
there's any adverse impacts on any of the --

properties -- witness this application.

1.3

1.5

So, now we get to the zoning plan and -Master Plan. I don't think there's any substantial
impairment --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: I reviewed all of your last master plan re-examinations which ran from 2008, 2010, 2014, 2016, and 2017. Long material. And what I would like to say is that the purpose of the July 6, 2016 -- examination -- was to guide the development and redevelopment of properties located in the -- district, which we are in. And it state -- and I know you're very familiar with this. "The large office buildings in -- zones are becoming antiquated as they reach their 30 plus year anniversary. Many buildings have," --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: But then further -- the Master Plan does talk about the municipal changes. The Borough recognizes the issues the -- face and -- actively plan to insure the zones provide appropriate or -- to the office -- bulk standards, to encourage

re-investment and redevelopment of these older campuses. That's exactly what we're doing here. We are --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: Which will make this site become fully productive and contribute to the sustainability of the district. To what we believe is meant to be really the highest best -- use of the property. Given that we're knocking down one building -- keeping the other one and then building a new one.

So we have a -- use on the property but we're also -- a new -- facility as well.

And I do believe that -- several goals of your last re-examination. Goal number one talks about -- and then goal number 14 talks about -- districts.

Goal number 15 is to expand the --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MS. GREGORY: So, therefore I do believe that the benefits of -- variances associated with this application certainly -- because we are addressing some of the things we obviously identify in your master plan through the years. And so therefore hopefully you'll see fit to grant the variance associated with this application this evening.

MR. REGAN: Good I just ask you to re -- you

```
cited the re-examination. Is that the 2020 --
1
               MS. GREGORY: Yes, the re-examination was
2
     the last one.
3
               MR. REGAN: 2020 -- was it 2020 or --
4
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: 2016?
5
               MR. REGAN: 2016.
6
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 2016.
7
               MS. GREGORY: 2016.
8
               MR. REGAN: -- the redevelopment of office
9
    uses. Okay.
10
               MS. GREGORY: Okay.
11
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The goals and objectives
12
     in 2016.
13
               MR. REGAN: Right, 2016, okay.
14
               MS. GREGORY: Yes. Yes, sorry about that.
15
                       (Pause in proceedings)
16
               MR. VOGEL: That, Mr. Chairman represents
17
     the testimony on behalf of the applicant. I submit
18
     the witness for any questions the Board or the public
19
    may have.
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, and we will take
21
     advantage of that. However, first I'd like to hear
22
23
     from the Borough planner, Ms. Green. You did a
    written review which --
24
               MS. GREEN: Yes.
25
```

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- we've marked into 1 evidence. 2 MS. GREEN: As D-9. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And could you sum --4 summarize that review and do you have any questions of 5 Ms. Gregory? 6 MS. GREEN: Yes Mr. Chairman. On page three 7 of the -- variances, Ms. Gregory summarized all of the 8 variances that the applicant needs. However I would 9 call your attention to page five, the application of 10 -- waiver for fence height. They -- in our code when 11 a fence is placed on top of a retaining wall you have 12 to add both of those features together. And there is 1.3 one retaining wall that does have a maximum height of 14 7.25 feet and that does trigger a waiver in section 15 196-51.1 of our Code. 16 Otherwise in the comment section, and the 17 Court -- going through my comments. I actually do not 18 have any planning comments or questions, I believe my 19 outstanding questions are related to architecture and 20 landscape. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And no further comments 22 23 with respect to planning? MS. GREEN: No comments --24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And with respect to the 25

testimony that you heard this evening from Ms. 1 Gregory. 2 MS. GREEN: I would agree that the ordinance 3 as drafted does not contemplate, for example the --4 the access drive variance or the side lot variance. 5 It's clear that our ordinance was written more for a 6 retail, and that you want the drive aisle and the 7 sidewalks to be set away from the building, not for 8 this type of facility. So I think those -- the --9 variance is reasonable and directly related to the 10 type of use of their --11 In addition the applicant is not seeking any 12 bulk variances for yard setback or coverage. And all 1.3 the variances that they're requesting are variances 14 that we either granted in other instances or in the 15 case of the front yard parking actually a reduction in 16 the deviation from the Code requirements. 17 So, I do believe that they are reasonable 18 requests. 19 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, good. Questions 20 from Board members starting with John? 21 MR. RYAN: No questions Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you Mr. 23 Huysenov? 24

MR. HUYSENOV:

25

No questions.

1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you, Dante?
2	MR. TEAGNO: No questions Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, moving down Chris?
4	MR. GRUBER: No questions.
5	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you, Dieter?
6	COUNCILMAN KOELLING: Oh, no questions.
7	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you, Mr. Zitelli?
8	MR. ZITELLI: I have no questions Mr.
9	Chairman. I mean I heard the testimony here and I
10	think the requests are reasonable
11	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good. Thank
12	you. And let's John Kurz with respect to your
13	opinion. You've reviewed the plans; you're here
14	representing the Borough's interest with respect to
15	fire protection. Do you have any comments?
16	MR. KURZ: Yes Mr. Chairman. The I met
17	with the fire chief at the time. And he have
18	reviewed the plans and we came up with 18 points, 2 of
19	which were already taken care of at the beginning of
20	the meeting so that leaves 16.
21	Would you like me to go through and
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, please.
23	MR. KURZ: and comment on them or
24	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Please, however you're
25	comfortable.
ļ	

MR. KURZ: Okay, so the first one is that 1 the -- the -- we need to get the water flow test 2 performed by the water company because as of date a 3 flow test have not been received by the fire 4 department. And as you know -- Montvale's water 5 supply inside the town are -- is poor. So we need to 6 get a flow test, and it needs to be received by the 7 fire department -- water. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Before you go on John. 9 Either Jerry or Steve what work has been done with the 10 utility company with respect to those tests? 11 MR. NAPOLITANO: I believe the applicant is 12 still getting the appropriate folks to do the test, 1.3 but I don't believe the test has been done just yet. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. So obviously that 15 would be any -- that would be a condition and any 16 resolution. Okay John please continue. 17 MR. KURZ: Okay, number two the --18 (Indiscernible conversation) 19 MR. KURZ: -- to provide adequate water for 20 fire -- water for fire protection. I need that 21 recommendations from them. 22 Also number three, we're proposing that 23 there's a separate 8 inch water main loop around the 24

building for the fire hydrants that are going to

```
provide water supply to the fire department
1
     connections and -- the fire department is there.
2
     so -- thing that we like --
3
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Hold on one second.
4
     John, Mr. Vogel is the applicant agreeing to install
5
     that 8 inch line?
6
               MR. NAPOLITANO: It's on the drawing.
7
               MR. VOGEL: Yeah, I -- I read the -- the
8
     report of April 3, I believe it is. And I don't -- I
9
     don't find anything in that report that I object to or
10
11
               MR. REGAN: Jerry we're talking about June
12
     30th.
13
               BOARD SECRETARY: Do you have June 30th I --
14
               MR. REGAN: The total of 18 items.
15
               MR. VOGEL: I'm looking at the old --
16
               MR. REGAN: Okay.
17
               MR. VOGEL: -- I think I -- my car. I don't
18
     know if there's -- I don't know if there's a
19
     difference. So --
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: What's the date on that
21
     Bob?
22
               MR. REGAN: June 30th.
23
                       (Pause in proceedings)
24
               MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah I don't have this --
25
```

it's similar. Yeah, so -- another comment. So number three was on the drawing. Four we added to the drawing. We can go through them if you'd like.

1.3

MR. REGAN: Yeah, we just -- we just need to know if the applicants consents to comply with the requirements.

MR. KURZ: -- have plenty of -- so the -- stick number -- fire department connections -- the fire departments -- locations of them. There is one off of Summit Avenue, I believe. -- serves the entire building. And we've located the building -- I -- I recommend as the fire official that you should probably have separate -- on each portion of the building. You're going to have very low -- in that building for fire fighter's access to the fire. And all that -- be two and a half inches -- two and a half inches -- as recommended.

Number seven, is a fire -- the chief in the community not going to sign off on any of the hydrant locations until --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. KURZ: Number eight -- reflect the -- so during the darker hours of the night, especially during the winter time that the fire department shall -- access the -- easier for them to see.

```
Roadways 30 feet wide. If they are narrower
1
     we'd have to make them -- designated as fire zones.
2
     And the fire zones along -- building --
3
               MR. NAPOLITANO: We have -- we have those on
4
     the plan.
5
               MR. KURZ: Okay.
6
               MR. REGAN: And they're all 30 feet wide?
7
               MR. NAPOLITANO: No, that's why have those
8
    markings on the plans.
9
               MR. REGAN: Okay.
10
               MR. NAPOLITANO: So -- that. Our -- our --
11
     24 foot --
12
               MR. REGAN: Yeah, if it's not 30 you have
13
    mark -- all right.
14
               MR. NAPOLITANO: You get 30 --
15
               MR. KURZ: Okay, number 11 --
16
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
17
               MR. KURZ: -- for access to the fire
18
     department to --
19
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
20
               MR. KURZ: -- for each building for access
21
     to all common areas when -- the -- when the building
22
     is after hours. I'm sure we'll have staff in there,
23
    but it's easier to have every key that we access every
24
     part of the facility, every door --
```

Number 13 and 14 we hit on.

1.3

As far as the stand -- connections in the building, where the fire department can hook up. They will -- each stairwell and also the -- the center area where the nurses station is going to be on each level and -- of the new building, which is highly recommended. And that -- hook up on -- floor, as we all know.

They want the return units marked in the stairwell for access so they can access them -- where --

The fire department does request the floor plans showing -- fire -- devices and their location. Has to be an 11 by 17 copy because they are getting some smaller copies from these new buildings that are going up and they cannot read them. So they will have them -- the chief will have them access through him and I believe Chief you want them -- you're going to have them in the apparatus also, or is it just --

FIRE CHIEF: Both.

MR. KURZ: Okay, and then fire department knows what -- and I will -- also the emergency plan for the facility to -- you know -- residents as far as where the emergency -- the evacuation -- required -- people evacuate the building --

So, that's pretty much as far as the fire department and my department. The biggest -- the biggest concerns I have is where the FPC is going to be located in the building. The hydrants and -- and as far as that particular --

1.3

(Indiscernible conversation)

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, just to reiterate until we know where the FPC's are going to be located we don't know where the fire hydrants are going to be located. So, ideally I believe -- hydrant within 100 feet of the --

MR. KURZ: Right, and like we just discussed at the break the location of the FPC. If you a good working fire in that location -- and -- that location -- fire apparatus is five inch. So if you're going to have multiple towns coming in --

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. KURZ: -- item is -- corner -- FPC, this is allow for more apparatus to get into -- access to the building. -- not going to be able to run over hoses if they're charged. We need access to a building this big and this many people in there and it's a medical care facility. That's pretty much it. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. Will

```
there be -- Mr. Napolitano or Mr. Vogel, will there be
1
     changes to the latest submission -- plan submission to
2
     reflect the applicant's compliance with the request of
3
     the fire department?
4
               MR. VOGEL: Yes, we don't have a problem
5
     with -- I think the -- the requests are not unusual
6
     and not remarkable, they're consistent with experience
7
     of other locations.
8
               MR. REGAN: And the applicant will -- will
9
     comply with the requirements detailed in that exhibit?
10
               MR. VOGEL: Correct.
11
               MR. REGAN:
                           Okay.
12
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And we've marked that
13
     exhibit?
14
               MR. REGAN: B-11.
15
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: B-11.
16
                        (Pause in proceedings)
17
               MR. VOGEL: Board number --
18
               BOARD SECRETARY:
                                 B-11.
19
20
               MR. REGAN: B-11.
               UNIDENTIFIED MALE: B-11.
21
               MR. REGAN: Jerry we talked previously about
22
     -- the issue of ambulance service. I don't see an
23
     exhibit for a -- a suggested draft of an agreement.
24
               MR. VOGEL: I will -- yes I -- I --
25
```

MR. REGAN: I know we did have it on your 1 Thrive application next door, but I don't see it. 2 MR. VOGEL: I am well aware of the 3 requirement. And between now and the next meeting --4 MR. REGAN: Okay. 5 MR. VOGEL: -- I will resolve it. 6 MR. REGAN: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, we did not open the 8 meeting to the public for questions with respect to 9 planning. Anyone care to make a motion to that 10 effect? Mr. Culhane. Seconded? Mr. Teagno. All in 11 favor? 12 BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Anyone from the public 14 have any questions or comments with respect to the 15 planning testimony we've heard this evening either 16 from Ms. Gregory or Ms. Green? No -- oh I'm sorry 17 Chief? Just kindly step forward and put your name on 18 the record. 19 FIRE CHIEF: Mr. Chairman Chuck Gibbons 20 (phonetic) -- fire chief. I -- on a tour on 100 21 Market Street the other day. And one of the comments 22 that came with the ambulance Corps was the elevators 23 in that building will not accommodate a full size 24

stretcher. They have to do CPR on -- on a patient the

25

stretcher will not fit in -- in the elevators. I 1 noticed -- I saw on their sheet that that was on their 2 list. But that is a concern for me and the Corp. So, 3 I just wanted to bring that to your attention. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, and with respect 5 to the design that's been presented to the Board your 6 architect is here this evening. The adequacy of the 7 proposed elevators to accommodate the stretcher which 8 9 MR. DEMERICH: Yes, they will accommodate 10 the stretchers. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: They are the --12 MR. DEMERICH: They have to per the --13 MR. NAPOLITANO: -- don't forget theses 14 plans have to be provided to the DCA --15 MR. REGAN: State approval. 16 MR. NAPOLITANO: -- they have to be 17 reviewed. They're consistent for what the use is. 18 there is oversight on that beyond the municipality. 19 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, speaking of which 20 Mr. Gruber, these plans are going to go to DCA. 21 MR. GRUBER: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And in the past we've had 23 some challenges when plans go to DCA. How do you 24

propose this should be dealt with because this is a

similar situation.

MR. GRUBER: Well, protocol is that plans go from their office to the DCA for review. The DCA reviews the plans they sent them up to our office for releasing. That is the process.

MR. REGAN: Well, we had a situation -CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, but there is -yeah where --

MR. REGAN: -- provision in the resolution if there's any changes by another agency it has to come back to the Board. That's in all our resolutions.

MR. VOGEL: The point is we got to try go get DCA approval, but we don't get a CO unless Mr. Gruber signs off. So --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But the difficulty we had Mr. Vogel was the plans came back from DCA with DCA approval. Building department used those plans to review the construction as the construction took place. Those plans that came back from DCA did not match what had been approved by the Planning Board. So we were dealing with two sets a plans. A resolution referencing a plan approved by the planning board and building department reviewing for construction purposes what was approved by DCA. How

are we going to avoid that this go around? 1 MR. VOGEL: I don't know just have to --2 plans. We'll submit -- we're going to submit a set of 3 plans to the DCA. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But the boiler plate --5 MR. VOGEL: We'll make sure that the plans 6 that the DCA comes back are what we give to Mr. 7 Gruber. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And if they don't --9 MR. REGAN: And if they don't match? 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: If they don't match what 11 Planning Board approves. 12 MR. VOGEL: If they don't match we're going 13 to have to resolve it. I mean --14 MR. REGAN: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, what if --16 (Indiscernible conversation) 17 MR. DEMERICH: Currently have 150 projects 18 in the DCA right now. Consistently work with --19 health, get -- approval first, get DCA approval 20 secondly and then -- authority, which -- the processes 21 and challenges of getting that approval -- matching 22 what the Planning Board -- and going -- the change --23 as approved, you know, in the Planning Board. 24 (Indiscernible conversation) 25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, in this case if the 1 Planning Board were to grant site plan approval based 2 upon the presentation that was made to this Board, it 3 goes down to DCA and this is something that we've 4 experienced just recently in Montvale. They actually 5 change the foot print of the building. 6 (Indiscernible conversation) 7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Beg your pardon? 8 MR. VOGEL: That would be really unusual for 9 them to change the building. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I agree. We found it 11 very unusual also. And --12 MR. VOGEL: All I can say to you is we have 13 a site plan approved by this Board and we send a set 14 of plans down to DCA, and that changes the site plan 15 as such we have to come back to this Board, there's no 16 question. 17 MR. REGAN: Okay. 18 MR. VOGEL: There's no question. 19 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So long as that's clearly understood. 21 MR. VOGEL: I don't anticipate that 22 happening here, but if --23 MR. REGAN: But it happened. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But again this happened 25

within the past year.

1.3

MR. VOGEL: Then I would be standing up here saying you know we didn't want to make this change, the DCA made us make it, you would take a look at it and you would come to a conclusion if it was okay or not --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But I do think -
MR. VOGEL: -- we have to -- somewhere.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- I do think before the shovel goes in the ground, and that's where we missed it on the last application. Before the shovel goes in the ground and the plan comes back from DCA we need a comparison done between the DCA approved plan and the Borough approved plan to see whether or not these things match. And if in fact they don't match the burden is going to be upon the applicant to show the Board why it does not match and an amendment to the resolution is going to have to be made. We can't have one set plans at the State level and another set of plans at the local level. And you said this is something that you deal with on a regular basis.

MR. DEMERICH: Regular basis.

MR. VOGEL: You have no argument from me on that. Give us -- if you grant us the site plan, we have to build the building consistent with the site

plan. And if DCA tells us that they're -- they're not 1 going to approve that site plan, it's up to us to --2 to coordinate so that what the DCA wants and what you 3 want --4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 5 MR. VOGEL: -- are the same. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So let's say -- let's say 7 you get site plan approval within the next 30 days or 8 so. Are you prepared to submit to DCA? 9 MR. NAPOLITANO: -- no we need a few months 10 to prepare the documents. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, and then when you 12 do submit to DCA, typically how long does it take for 1.3 them to review and approve? 14 MR. DEMERICH: So, actually the process is 15 firs the Department of Health -- review. Typically 45 16 to 60 days. Once we get that approval letter they'll 17 need to submit to DCA. In that case the DCA can take 18 20 business days to review the initial submission. 19 It's trending about 30/40 days right now. We'll get 20 -- letters back for -- and then get a chance to 21 address those. And by law it -- seven days to review 22 23 those. But it's more than two weeks. And then --(Indiscernible conversation) 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And when DCA does its 25

review of a plan that has been approved locally, what 1 types of changes do you DCA requesting lately? 2 MR. DEMERICH: So the Department of Health, 3 as long as the -- under applicable codes, Department 4 of Health typically doesn't have too many comments. 5 So once the -- DCA. DCA doesn't necessary make many 6 changes in terms of building footprints. It's more 7 about the function of the space inside the building. 8 We rarely see any footprint changes. And then once 9 DCA approval is given, typically what we do is all --10 code official sit down with the Department of Health 11 review letter, DCA review letter and the resolution --12 the plans, and do a -- submission of --1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, so Bob can this be 14 addressed in the resolution? The applicant's 1.5 willingness to comply with it. 16 MR. REGAN: Yeah, well --17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: It created a quiet a 18 problem --19 MR. REGAN: Well, we always require if 20 there's any deviation from another agency that there's 21 a condition of the resolution that the applicant would 22 23 be required to return to the Board.

MR. VOGEL: Right.

MR. REGAN: I think we can maybe expand on

24

25

that based on the representations made by the 1 applicant now that they will come back to the Board 2 should those -- should that occurrence take place. 3 MR. VOGEL: Yes if the site plans change we 4 have to come back. That's -- nobody can argue --5 nobody can argue --6 MR. REGAN: And Actually Chris is the 7 gatekeeper --8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Again --9 MR. REGAN: -- he won't issue a permit. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right. But because we're 11 now hearing about other agency, one of which is 12 Department of Health, the other is DCA. Can we name 1.3 them in the resolution? 14 MR. REGAN: Sure. 15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And not just leave it to 16 generic agency -- other agency? 17 MR. REGAN: We'll say the DCA, Department of 18 Health and any other agency. 19 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And any other agency that may have jurisdiction. Because that -- that caused 21 quite a delay. 22 BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. So I have a 23 question. So --24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure. 25

BOARD SECRETARY: -- when a plan comes back 1 from DCA who is comparing that plan to what was 2 approved? So that's where the -- it fell apart. 3 MR. REGAN: It can be -- it can be -- it can 4 be the Borough Engineer. It can be Chris. It can be 5 whoever you wanted -- it could be Darlene. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, the architect 7 submits the plan to DCA. 8 MR. REGAN: Right. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The architect receives 10 the approval back from DCA and then the building plans 11 are submitted to the local building authority. Is 12 that correct? 1.3 MR. DEMERICH: That's correct. 14 MR. VOGEL: I don't -- you can ask him to, 15 I don't think he'll review the plans unless that it 16 comes back from the DCA approval. 17 MR. DEMERICH: Yeah, when they come back 18 from the DCA they literally are ready to be released. 19 20 BOARD SECRETARY: But how do you know? MR. REGAN: The problem is --21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, but that's not the 22 23 issue. BOARD SECRETARY: That's the problem. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That's not the issue. 25

MR. REGAN: Are they different -- are they 1 different than what the planning board approved? 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: There we need the 3 architect's certification that in fact what came back 4 from DCA or Department of Health matches what was 5 approved by the local board. I think the burden is 6 upon the applicant to that extent. Otherwise you're 7 telling us that you get it back from DCA and you're 8 sub-codes follow whatever they approved. 9 MR. DEMERICH: We don't -- we don't even 10 look at it because they -- they have their own 11 sub-codes that they go through. We don't have any 12 jurisdiction over changing anything under DCA. 1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Darlene. 14 MS. GREEN: I have an idea. I will 15 volunteer myself to review the plans, because I -- I 16 -- I don't want to repeat that again. And I -- I 17 found the last issue where they -- footprint, they --18 they -- space in an attic -- in an attic area that --19 was now all of a sudden usable space. 20 MR. REGAN: Livable space, yeah. 21 MS. GREEN: And for the board members I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I 22 23 have an architecture -- a degree in architecture so the --

MR. REGAN:

Trenton will be no match for

24

25

Darlene.

MS. GREEN: -- much. And so if -- that is what the Board wants my office can do that. Whenever Chris gets the plans back from DCA we can arrange a way to get that, and I can compare that with whatever the latest version is that the Board reviewed.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I -- I think -- I think that's a good suggestion.

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. DEMERICH: Very rare -- very rare.

MS. GREEN: It was -- it was bad.

MR. DEMERICH: Very rare.

MR. REGAN: Yeah -- remember, it was a real problem.

MR. VOGEL: I mean if the DCA came back and there was a comment that they made, and there were approvals that -- that they stamped on there that was different than the site plan, you wouldn't give us a building permit.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Jerry I -- I could tell you that half the people sitting in this room walked through the building when it was substantially complete and we were walking with a set a plans that were approved by the Planning Board, looking at a building that was built in accordance with the plan

that was modified because of DCA approval. And we were all walking around scratching our heads saying, this corridor wasn't where it was shown. This expansion of the building never showed.

It -- it truly was a problem, which I'd like to avoid.

MR. VOGEL: No and -- I always say the older I get things happen that I've never seen before. I've never seen that happen. So --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah.

MR. VOGEL: The fact of the matter is if it does occur we understand that the site plan -- grant, if you grant it is what we have to build in accordance with it.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right.

MR. VOGEL: And if the plans that are approved by the Health Department or the DCA are not consistent with the site plan we're going to have to come back here and tell you okay you approved this and on the review of the DCA they want -- they want to change this. And you will then consider whether or not --

MR. REGAN: You see, when the DCA changed it they didn't articulate what the changes were. They didn't have a letter saying, these are the changes we

made. They just did it.

MR. VOGEL: No, they mark up the plans and the DCA usually deals directly with the architect.

MR. REGAN: Yeah, exactly we didn't get anything. That's -- that's the problem.

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. DEMERICH: So, DCA will never make a change to a drawing, they'll simply call upon code violation. And what happened was -- file the code.

So -- the architect must had to redesign the building so they can conform.

MS. GREEN: He designed a lot of things that were not on the plan. Like an outdoor kitchen, a playground.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DEMERICH: He was taking advantage of the process.

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. VOGEL: When you go to the DCA it's no different than if you get a site plan from a planning board and it's subject to the county. And you go down to the county and the county now wants to change something — the site plan. The first thing you say to the county is please don't do this to us. If you want that changed it's going to change my site plan, I've got to go back to the municipality. You do the

same thing with the DCA -- make that change. You tell them you don't want it and you try to resolve it, which usually you can.

1.3

all on the same page. And I think we have on a municipal side built into our review and approval process post-outside agency approval comparison between local approval and other agency and state approval. Whether you find it first or we find it first, we're all going to play by the same rules thereafter. The change has occurred you're going to have to come back here, which is the case. Is always the case.

(Indiscernible conversation)

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: It -- it -- I guess it fell through the cracks the last time. And if can't learn from our mistakes then shame on us.

Okay. Bob you're going to incorporate whatever you can --

MR. REGAN: Yeah, I think I got it. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- into that resolution.

MR. REGAN: Yeah, and again their architect is going to have to certify that what came back -- comes back from the DCA matches what the Planning Board approved. Then we'll have Darlene review it as

well, so we'll have added protection. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 2 MR. REGAN: I can't wait for this. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. I think -- I think 4 we have a few other reports we have to deal with. 5 MR. REGAN: -- complete --6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Police department B-12. 7 (Indiscernible conversation) 8 MR. VOGEL: The last police department 9 report that I have is dated April 12 --10 MR. REGAN: No we have June --11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We have a June 29. 12 MR. REGAN: -- 29th. 13 MR. VOGEL: So that -- I don't have that. 14 MR. REGAN: Yeah, we've already marked --15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: It's dated June 29 16 addressed to the Board Secretary. After reviewing the 17 application the Montvale Police Department has several 18 concerns regarding this development. Number one, we 19 would like to have the ability to enforce Title 39 on 20 the property. Two, we would like to see cross walks 21 at the entrances to the complex. Three we would like 22 to see a stop sign at the exits of the complex. Four, 23 we are looking for ALPR cameras, license plate 24 readers, to integrate with the current system we have

in various locations throughout the Borough. These cameras help to protect the community and help solve crimes. Five, we would like to see the healthcare center have an ambulance on presence 24/7. Six, we would like to see a plan in place for police response to medical calls to other facilities like this. We run into a lot of uncertainty on what we respond to and what we don't. Finally seven, we would like to see an evacuation plan, in case of emergencies where residents need to be relocated to other facilities.

MR. VOGEL: Okay you want me to respond to each one?

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I believe.

MR. VOGEL: Okay we have -- Title 39 is not an issue, that's fine. That makes sense for everybody to have it. They would like to see crosswalks at the entrance to the complex. There are walks on the site plan that you have reviewed and looked at, and I assume those are the walks that they would like to see. Would like to see stop signs at the exit of the complex. I don't know whether we -- we put them. I think we had them already.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Steve you think they're there?

MR. NAPOLITANO: They're there, but --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: If not you will put them there.

MR. NAPOLITANO: They're there, but if not we -- we actually -- just so you know, the County has a different -- must have in the past. They're changing the stop bar and stop sign locations from their -- from their -- from what they call their curb line -- their curb line. So we probably have to change it anyway. But they are existing. They will be --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.

MR. VOGEL: Item number four looking for ALR cameras with license plate readers. We -- on a facility like this why would we have license plate readers. They would like to have, but there's no -- I'm not aware of any facility like this having license plate readers.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, we have license plate readers throughout the town.

MR. VOGEL: I don't even know how much they cost. Am I talking about \$5 or \$5,000?

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Neither. No, they -they go well beyond that. I'm -- I'm trying to think
-- John do you know -- I believe we have them up at
Sloan Kettering.

MR. VOGEL: I don't believe you have them 1 next door at 110, so they're not a requirement there. 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I -- I don't 3 recall. Andy Hipolit would know. Chris could you 4 check if --5 MR. DOUR: If they're at 110? 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No, where they are 7 located. 8 MR. DOUR: Okay, I will. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, we'll get back to 10 you on that one. 11 MR. VOGEL: I'm the most amenable person, 12 but I -- I -- I really don't think that's necessary. 1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Let's -- let's see --14 let's see what we've been doing. 15 MR. VOGEL: Item number five is they'd like 16 to have an ambulance on the premises 24/7. Well, 17 that's kind of interesting because Ms. Green raised 18 the issue about having a vehicle parked on overnight, 19 we would need a variance for that because you're not 20 allowed to have a vehicle parked there overnight. And 21 my recollection is you wanted us to have a contract --22 our obligation, and I've indicated that I will provide 23 it. But we would not have an ambulance parked on the 24 property 24/7 just sitting there.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I -- I agree with 1 that. 2 MR. VOGEL: Item six, and a plan in place 3 for persons to respond. And item number seven an 4 evacuation plan. What the fire department has asked 5 for in number 17 and 18, those two plans would satisfy 6 this is --7 So, when we give -- when we give the 8 evacuation plan to the fire department and when we 9 give them the floor layout, we will then incorporate 10 in that what -- is really what they're asking for. 11 The evacuation plan is the same as we'd beg giving to 12 the fire department. And the plan would be the same 13 drawing. So that's really --14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That may well be 15 redundant and I'm going to ask John Kurz --16 MR. VOGEL: Well, we -- plan up, we'll just 17 make sure that the plan is satisfactory to --18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Satisfactory to both 19 agencies. 20 MR. VOGEL: Yeah, -- look at it. I've been 21 down this road on plans in Montvale where they were 22 23 unacceptable. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right. 24 MR. VOGEL: So --25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, so what -- what 1 else do we have that we have to go over. 2 BOARD SECRETARY: Landscaping plan. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Landscape plan. Review 4 letter by Gus DeBlasio. 5 BOARD SECRETARY: Dated July 11th. Do you 6 have that Mr. Vogel? 7 MR. VOGEL: Pardon me? 8 BOARD SECRETARY: The landscape plan --9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Review letter. 10 MR. VOGEL: No, I do not --11 (Indiscernible conversation) 12 MR. VOGEL: What's the date on that 13 Lorraine? 14 BOARD SECRETARY: July 11th. 15 (Pause in proceedings) 16 MR. VOGEL: Okay so I received the plan. We 17 found that the contents -- had been adequately 18 addressed. However a recent change in -- pertains the 19 entrance drive island on the south side of Summit, 20 requires a redistribution of the proposed plant 21 material. The light fixture does not require 22 relocation. The -- should be performed as the plans 23 are perfected for signature. I don't see that being a 24 problem.

	88
1	(Pause in proceedings)
2	MR. VOGEL: I don't see any problems Mr.
3	Chairman with this report.
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, fine. I'm I'm
5	looking at a letter from Tri-Boro Volunteer Ambulance
6	dated March 9. Was that submitted into evidence Bob,
7	do you recall?
8	MR. REGAN: I don't know.
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: From Heather McGee
10	(phonetic).
11	(Pause in proceedings)
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Vogel do you have a
13	copy of this?
14	MR. VOGEL: No.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The Tri-Boro Volunteer
16	Ambulance Corps letter of March 9.
17	MR. REGAN: It was B-7.
18	BOARD SECRETARY: Oh, it was.
19	MR. REGAN: Yeah.
20	BOARD SECRETARY: B-7.
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: B-7.
22	MR. REGAN: B-7.
23	BOARD SECRETARY: It was from before B-7.
24	Do you remember?
I	

the meeting. It was from March 15th meeting.

1.3

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Could you read that into the record?

BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. "Montvale Planning Board, thank you for the opportunity for Tri-Boro Volunteer Ambulance Corps to review the site plan for Grey Capital Lot 1102 --. After careful review we have the following comments, questions or concerns.

One, Tri-Boro Volunteer Corps is an all-volunteer ambulance Corps serving three towns, Montvale, Park Ridge -- with limited volunteers, we will not be able to treat, transport, evacuate or accommodate the demands of this facility.

Two, staff -- ambulance must be on site for medical needs and transports either emergent or non-emergent 24 hours a day 365 days a year including holidays.

Number three, we request that all owners and managing entities acknowledge our request in writing and abide to not utilize Tri-Boro Volunteer Ambulance Corps for any medical or transport needs at this facility. We formally request that this demand be part of any -- for this property.

Respectfully Heather McGee President of the Tri-Boro Volunteer Ambulance Corp."

I will make you a copy. 1 MR. REGAN: Yeah, Lorraine could you make me 2 one too, I'm missing that. 3 BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. 4 MR. REGAN: Thank you. 5 BOARD SECRETARY: -- make a copy. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You know, we really 7 should have a small copier here --8 MR. REGAN: I know budget --9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm sorry? 10 MR. REGAN: I think we can afford it out of 11 the budge. 12 (Pause in proceedings) 13 MR. VOGEL: We -- we will have a contract. 14 But what it says here that we request that all --15 request. We certainly acknowledge and abide not to 16 utilize it for any medical or transport needs at this 17 facility. 18 Well we'll abide by their request that we 19 use them -- but if there's an emergency and we have to 20 get somebody to a hospital and the private service 21 doesn't come we will certainly do what -- you know 22 what is the most expedient -- to take care of someone. 23 MR. REGAN: I -- I think what -- what is a 24 real concern --

MR. VOGEL: And I think -- I've had 1 discussions with the ambulance Corps on 1-10 and we 2 said that, and I thought that that was agreeable, 3 we'll never call you unless it's critical. 4 MR. REGAN: Well, the management may not, 5 but the resident in his or her room dials 911. 6 MR. VOGEL: So I don't have a problem that 7 saying that -- that in writing that we will 8 acknowledge their request. But -- but to include in 9 the resolution that we never use them, that's not --10 health, safety and welfare. I don't expect that we 11 would ever call them, unless there was an emergency 12 but -- to say that we won't. 1.3 MR. REGAN: It's -- it's not so much the 14 management it's the individual occupant in his or her 15 room who's not feeling well to pick up a phone and 16 dial --17 MR. VOGEL: No -- no --18 MR. REGAN: That's what happens. 19 20 MR. VOGEL: -- I -- listen --MR. REGAN: Tri-Boro's told us that. 21 This is -- yeah, this is not an MR. VOGEL: 22 23 independent living unit. This is not an assisted living. This healthcare facility there are people 24

that are treating. I mean I -- I think it's a

mistake, but it's your call. You can put -- you can put it in if you want but it doesn't really make any sense. We -- we recognize and request that we not utilize Tri-Boro Volunteer Ambulance. But -- but to add to that, and we will abide by not using it and having it in the resolution, I -- that's a mistake.

It's a mistake for everybody. If there's an emergency and -- and an ambulance is not available, we're going to call the nearest person that would -- would be available to take care of that person. That's pure common sense.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I'm going to poll the board on this frankly. I guess I'm starting down here. Javid, what's your thoughts on this? You've heard concerns expressed by Montvale Tri-Boro or the Tri-Boro Ambulance Squad. They don't have facilities.

MR. REGAN: They don't have the people.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The people -- the resources I should say. I think Mr. Vogel offers a very good argument, that under health and safety and welfare of the general public to -- to deny someone emergency ambulance service is not fair. I recognize that. But this is a volunteer Corps we're talking about that. What are your thought on the subject?

MR. HUYSENOV: Yeah, it's -- it's -- it is unfair, but -- yeah, I think it -- so the suggestion is then for the Volunteer Corps to do --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: What -- what the applicant is saying is they're going to enter into a contractual agreement with a private ambulance or medical transportation company. But in the event of their unavailability or an emergency that they should not be the denied the right to call Tri-Boro to be transported from the facility to the hospital.

MR. HUYSENOV: Which I think -- which I think is a fair argument.

MR. REGAN: What -- what Tri-Boro has indicated -- when we spoke to them is that it -- it would be a private ambulance maintained by the facility. If it's not there, it may take an hour or two to show up. In the meantime they call Tri-Boro. And it's -- they're saying it's not sustainable.

MR. VOGEL: Well, just look at it from a reasonable standpoint. What the -- what the ambulance corps is saying is an obvious thing. Look we're a volunteer group, we have multiple calls, and we can probably barely keep up with the amount of calls -- service. We don't want a private institution like this to now burden us with it. We're agreeable to

that. But to say that if there's an emergency you can't call them. That's like saying to them that -- with respect to fire. Well, you have fire extinguishers don't call the fire department when you have a fire.

1.3

1.5

MR. REGAN: I don't think anyone's objecting to it -- a call on emergency. It's just that calls are being made to Tri-Boro when it's really not an emergency. That's the problem.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You know chief -- chief you're in the back of the room. I know you deal closely with the ambulance corps. Do you have any knowledge or --

FIRE CHIEF: I think -- I think the business problem is that even though they're -- they have contractual agreement with these private ambulance corps services these private ambulance corps services are over booking themselves so that these ambulances are not available. Most of these companies -- I was talking with a paramedic the other day out of -- I don't know if it was out of Hackensack, or it was Valley Hospital, and they were down three ambulance transport units and two medic units because they don't have staffing.

What's happening is, is they're contracting

these private ambulance companies, but they don't have the ambulance -- service. And like they said if they're not available then they call Tri-Boro.

1.3

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And -- and Tri-Boro can't handle it. Is that -- is that your understanding -- FIRE CHIEF: They can handle it to a point, but I don't think they -- I think they're worried about, you know, what the call volume is going to be. I mean constantly you hear -- and you have to remember Tri-Boro covers three towns and constantly you hear of some other facilities, you know, when they're dispatching the ambulance because my -- doesn't have a private ambulance available. And it's -- it's

MR. VOGEL: So -- so suppose -- suppose you put language in there that said you require to have a private ambulance service contract. Then you put language in and said that that will be the first call that is made for an ambulance when needed and that there will be no first call to -- to the municipal volunteer, unless there is no other ambulance available, and an emergency exists with respect to the situation. Put language in like that.

MR. REGAN: Yeah.

becoming more and more prominent.

MR. VOGEL: Aren't you protected then?

MR. REGAN: Yeah, well it's so open-ended 1 though, it's not going to --2 MR. VOGEL: Well, not --3 MR. REGAN: Probably -- comment. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we keep going, 5 Dante? 6 MR. TEAGNO: It -- it seems to me that if --7 if you have a contract with an ambulance company and 8 you call them and for some reason they can't respond, 9 I don't think that it's out of the realm of 10 possibilities if you call the Tri-Boro Ambulance --11 MR. REGAN: It wouldn't. 12 MR. TEAGNO: Well, guess what they have the 13 -- the option to do the same thing, we can't respond. 14 Okay, so that's plan one, plan two. You've got to 15 have a plan three or nobody's going to show up. Maybe 16 you have a backup with another -- I don't know. 17 to me it's -- I don't know how you can say I can't 18 respond. That's like the police saying, I understand 19 you're being robbed but I can't send somebody. It's 20 public -- it's public service. I know it's volunteer, 21 but they're an emergency group that's put together to 22 respond to emergencies. If they have to say I can't 23 respond it's on them. 24

MR. HUYSENOV: I think the main word in that

is "emergency." I think a lot of these private companies they over book. I don't know -- sorry I'm jumping here, but I see this a lot with Tri-Boro ambulance. And I think maybe if there's a way to deter people from calling just because this ambulance is going to take an hour, it's non-emergency situation, oh we're going to call Tri-Boro Ambulance for transport. If there's a way for Tri-Boro to maybe bill that company.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: John?

1.3

MR. RYAN: In -- there's no absolutes. So -- suggested is that the private ambulance service that's contracted for is reliable. And, for argument sake, not the cheapest price around. But in absolute terms, if the private ambulance is not available then it would appear Tri-Boro's got to be the last resort.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: All right. Darlene you had something?

MS. GREEN: Yes, I just want to share with the Board that I have a site down in Morris County, that is an assisted living/rehabilitation center, probably half the size of this. And our resolution approval -- because it was a D-1 use variance that you have to have a private ambulance service contract. Yet or police department is called regularly saying

that I need help getting out of bed. Our volunteer 1 ambulance squad is called, and it's not from the 2 facility provider, the problem is it's coming from the 3 individual units. 4 MR. REGAN: The resident. 5 MS. GREEN: And so I don't know if there's a 6 way to control the phones in these units so that they 7 cannot just pick up and start arbitrarily dialing our 8 police department to come move them out of their bed 9 because no one, I guess is responding in-house to 10 their calls. 11 (Indiscernible conversation) 12 MS. GREEN: So, I -- I can tell you it's 13 becoming a very large issue in that town, and they 14 also have a volunteer ambulance squad that is --15 MR. REGAN: And when they're so overwhelmed 16 17 MS. GREEN: Yes. 18 MR. REGAN: -- you're going to lose members 19 20 and they might -- might just disband. That's happening. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris you got -- you got 22 a word on this? 23 MR. GRUBER: It's a facility, why don't we 24

-- we just have -- have them their own emergency

transport. It's only for emergency uses. Maybe they 1 have a vehicle that's equipped and people that are 2 trained on staff. 3 MR. REGAN: And have it there 24/7. 4 MR. GRUBER: 24/7 and they're the ones that 5 like -- you know they'll take them to the hospital. 6 MR. REGAN: Yeah. 7 MR. GRUBER: It's only for their facility. 8 You know, I mean they have trained people on the 9 staff. 10 (Indiscernible conversation) 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: John -- John Kurz you 12 have anything on this? 13 MR. KURZ: Yeah, but I'd rather hold off on 14 a comment, because -- I -- I don't know. I -- as far 15 as -- you know, you all know on the Board that I'm a 16 career fireman. So, it's tough even where I'm at 17 getting ambulances to -- to these places or first 18 responder -- like -- these facilities. I don't know, 19 we have -- are they going to have individual phones in 20 their --21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure. 22 MR. REGAN: That's what happened. 23 (Indiscernible conversation) 24 MR. KURZ: And they can call 911. 25

that's -- you can't -- can't -- they can't contact anybody.

1.5

(Indiscernible conversation)

MR. KURZ: -- in Fair Lawn, she's a manager

-- I do not believe Fair Lawn Volunteer Ambulance goes

to that facility. I believe it's all private. I can

find out, but I do not a -- because I used to be the

inspector there, I don't think Fair Lawn ambulance

corps does -- that facility.

MR. VOGEL: All I'm saying is, it should be -- it should be a call of last resort. That's all I'm saying.

MR. REGAN: Jerry it would really be a call of last resort if you have the ambulance there 24/7, which is what they're recommending.

MR. GRUBER: You could also set a protocol as far as what -- what my town down, when they dispatch us in Hackensack the protocol of what the call actually is. If it's difficulty breathing, cardiac, stroke. I mean you're not going to call for you know somebody that fell out of bed and needs assistance. They have the -- the people there to do it. If you -- protocol and talk to Heather, then do the protocol as far as, you know the degree -- the degree of the medical situation. That's what -- I'm

telling you as far as us, in Hackensack as first 1 responder engine companies, it's the protocol that we 2 get called out on, heart attacks, strokes, difficulty 3 breathing. Other stuff we pretty much -- they, you 4 know, sweep it under the carpet, let the ambulances 5 take care of it. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: John Ryan? 7 MR. RYAN: The contract -- the private 8 contractor to have a response -- call --9 MR. VOGEL: Let me ask a question, maybe I 10 11 MR. RYAN: Why isn't that company obligated 12 under contract to respond? 13 MR. REGAN: They are, but they -- they're 14 not -- sometimes they're not available. It takes 15 time, a couple of hours. 16 MR. RYAN: Well, I guess that makes the 17 contract null and void. 18 MR. REGAN: Well, it's up to them to enforce 19 20 it. (Indiscernible conversation) 21 MR. VOGEL: We have an obligation to have a 22 contract and -- and we do it, because that's -- that's 23 what we want to do in addition to what the Board 24 wants. The corps' comment is you should have in the 25

1	resolution approving this a requirement that they
2	never call us. And my only comment is if an ambulance
3	is not coming and we got somebody in distress, what do
4	we do? We just throw up our hands and say we can't
5	call the ambulance corps? That's a point
6	MR. REGAN: But you have the ambulance that
7	you have that's parked on the on the property.
8	MR. VOGEL: Well, let me ask a question. If
9	if I picked up my phone in here and I dialed 911
10	and I I said I'm in distress, would it go to the
11	ambulance corps or it would it be a paramedic that
12	would come out of the hospital or whatever?
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Either probably it
14	goes to police, the Tri-Boro
15	MR. REGAN: The police would be the first to
16	
17	(Indiscernible conversation)
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And then dispatch then
19	calls out to Tri-Boro and/or police.
20	MR. REGAN: Usually police will be there
21	first.
22	(Indiscernible conversation)
23	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And then the police will
24	reach out to Tri-Boro if they find it necessary.
25	(Indiscernible conversation)

BOARD SECRETARY: So, what happens is that 1 when the police is called and the ambulance does not 2 show up, that police officer has to stay until an 3 ambulance does show up. So you're taking that officer 4 now out of the line of duty to basically wait there. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Wait there. Let me just 6 finish polling. Bob, you got anything -- I'm sorry 7 Dieter do you have anything? 8 COUNCILMAN KOELLING: No, that's --9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Bob you have anything 10 more? 11 MR. ZITELLI: I -- I agree with what the 12 other members said so far. 13 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And I quess John let me 14 hear --15 MR. CULHANE: Yeah -- yeah, look ideally I 16 think there would be an ambulance on site. If they 17 elect to go the contract route, they just need to make 18 sure they have a good contractor and a backup perhaps. 19 I guess with regard to calls, why would the ambulance 20 corps be responding to individual calls. Why wouldn't 21 they only take calls from -- from the management or 22 the people managing the facility from a healthcare 23 perspective. 24

That I kind of understand that if it's a

25

104 once a year true emergency, and whoever's the 1 designated person calls the ambulance corps, I think 2 they should respond to that. But if residents are 3 just picking up the phone, I understand why they don't 4 want to respond to those calls. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But I -- I think the 6 practice, based on what Dieter said in Montvale is, if 7 you are a resident in the facility and you're not 8 going through the management company, but you pick up 9 your cell phone and you call 911 because of whatever 10 problem you have, it's going to go to dispatch. 11 Dispatch is going to going to give it to police and 12 police is going to go to the facility. They're going 1.3 to make the call thereafter to ambulance. I can't 14 call ambulance direct, Dieter? I don't know. 15 COUNCILMAN KOELLING: No, you're calling --16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm calling --17 (Indiscernible conversation) 18

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It's on the police to make the --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: It's -- it's the police that make the decision.

COUNCILMAN KOELLING: And the police are always going to call out an ambulance. They're going to err on the side of being cautious.

(Indiscernible conversation) 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Which makes sense. 2 Darlene you were recommending 24/7 ambulance service? 3 MS. GREEN: Based on the experienced my 4 other town is having; I think you're going to see a 5 lot of non-emergency calls coming in from residents. 6 For whatever reason are not calling the nurse or the 7 staff, instead picking up the phone dialing 911. 8 MR. REGAN: Yeah, Tri-Boro has told us that. 9 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, that's the other 11 reason why police put it on there --12 MR. REGAN: Yeah. 13 (Indiscernible conversation) 14 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: -- and you're going to 15 have a paramedic unit coming out. And if there's no 16 ambulance there, they're not going to be happy. I've 17 seen it plenty of times. I see it on an everyday 18 basis, every time -- the paramedics are there, and 19 there's no ambulance coming there's -- now they got to 20 call out for other towns which we have -- a lot. So, 21 just keep that in mind. 22 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And -- and the paramedics 23 are coming out from the hospitals? 24 (Indiscernible conversation) 25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Valley or Hackensack? 1 (Indiscernible conversation) 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right. 3 MR. VOGEL: You know, be aware we don't have 4 paramedics on site. Right, that's -- that's -- so --5 keep an ambulance on site, it doesn't make -- number 6 one it violates your ordinance. Number two, even if 7 you want us to keep it on site, we don't -- we don't 8 have paramedics to take care of that. That's --9 MR. REGAN: Well wouldn't the ambulance 10 contract that you have --11 MR. VOGEL: -- I don't know we keep 12 pounding this. Because every time that we have a 1.3 facility and I get confronted by the volunteer 14 ambulance corps they create issues that go beyond what 1.5 is reasonable, quite frankly. They are -- they are 16 volunteers, they're good people, they do God's work. 17 Fine. But I'm telling you now, you put a -- you put 18 that in the resolution that will never call. What do 19 you think somebody's going to do if no ambulance is 20 available --21 MR. REGAN: We're not going to put that in 22 the resolution. I'm going to be drafting the 23 resolution, it's not going to be in there. What we 24

may put in there is that you have 24/7 coverage on the

25

property. 1 MR. VOGEL: We're not -- we're not going to 2 buy an ambulance; I'll tell you right now. 3 MR. REGAN: I'm not saying you have an 4 ambulance. If you have a contract with a company that 5 will be there. 6 MR. VOGEL: No, I will not do that. There 7 is no contract available. There is no contractor who 8 will put an ambulance driver and an EMT person on our 9 site 24 hours a day. 10 MR. REGAN: You can get --11 MR. VOGEL: It doesn't happen. 12 MR. REGAN: Jerry you can get anything for 13 money you know that. 14 MR. VOGEL: I'm telling, you've made an 15 issue out of something that need not exist. Only 16 because they raised some language here, because 17 they're going to be concerned that we're going to 18 overwhelm them. 19 20 MR. REGAN: Have you talked to them? I have. 21 MR. VOGEL: Well --22 (Indiscernible conversation) 23 MR. VOGEL: -- I'm the most amenable person 24

when it comes to issues that have to do with site

planning. We're now talking about health, safety and 1 welfare. 2 MR. REGAN: Well we're talking about life 3 and death --4 MR. VOGEL: And that is unreasonable. Okay. 5 That's my -- that's my position. If -- if you want to 6 make it a part of your resolution, you make it part of 7 your resolution, but I'm telling you you're making a 8 mistake. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, somebody down here. 10 Dante did you want to say something? 11 MR. TEAGNO: Yeah, let's go back to the 12 beginning. If you're contracting with a company and 13 they don't respond, what good is the contract. 14 MR. VOGEL: Of course. First of all 15 you're making an assumption that we're going to 16 contact and we're going to pay somebody, we're 17 putting up a multi-million dollar facility, we're 18 taking care of people who are infirm, and that we're 19 going to sign a contract with some company that is not 20 going to respond. That's -- that's not going to 21 happen. 22 MR. TEAGNO: Well, let me ask you how --23 MR. VOGEL: We're going to sign a contract 24

with a responsible company. What we're talking about

25

```
is that emergency situation where they don't respond.
1
     And -- and so --
2
               MR. REGAN: What would be the response time?
3
4
               MR. VOGEL: -- in distress.
5
               MR. REGAN: What's the response time?
6
               MR. VOGEL: Pardon me?
7
               MR. REGAN: What will be the response time
8
     in the contract?
9
               MR. REGAN: I don't know what the response
10
     time will be.
11
               MR. REGAN: Well that's kind of important.
12
               MR. VOGEL: -- you know what this is not a
13
     fruitful discussion, because I'm adamant with respect
14
     to this. We are not going to have -- we're not going
15
     to have an ambulance on the site. We don't have an
16
     EMT person on the site. We have -- in every instance
17
     where we have facilities and where facilities that are
18
     not owned by this company do not have an ambulances on
19
     the site and they sign contracts with responsible
20
     companies. We give you the contract. You review it
21
     like any other. And if they don't show up because --
     it's not going to happen on a regular basis, it's
23
     going to happen in an emergency.
24
               MR. REGAN: Well, we don't even have a
25
```

```
contract yet, so we don't know what we're talking
1
     about.
2
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
3
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Dante let's just
4
     finish up. Go ahead.
5
               MR. TEAGNO: How often does this happen.
6
     You've got a number of other facilities in this group.
7
     You must have some idea? Does this happen once a
8
     week, once a month, twice a year, that you need an
9
     ambulance where you don't have one on site?
10
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
11
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Let's just swear him in.
12
     Dante hold on one second.
13
     A L A N E S E R N E R, APPLICANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
14
               MR. REGAN: Name please?
15
               MR. ESERNER: Alan Eserner, A-L-A-N
16
     E-S-E-R-N-E-R.
17
               MR. REGAN: What's your position with the
18
     applicant?
19
               MR. VOGEL: Would you -- would you describe
20
     to the Board your relationship to the applicant?
21
               MR. ESERNER: Sure I -- I oversee multiple
22
     sites -- operation for the applicant.
23
               I mean the answer to your question really
24
     honestly isn't -- it's not one-dimensional. Because
25
```

we have different situations, right. We have times where you need to call EMT. These transport companies staff EMTs, they don't staff, necessarily the paramedics. If these were a paramedic that would be a more emergent situation. So, in -- Jerry mentioned you can call 911 they dispatch an EMT. You know we staff registered nurses; we staff medical personnel and do basic life support on site. But if there's a need for a paramedic for advance life support, to transport a patient to a hospital, that's when the dispatch would send advance life support.

I don't know any contract of companies that would sit in the parking lot with advance life support.

MR. VOGEL: So, I think the question was on your experience how often does something occur where you don't have the private company available to -- to respond? Is that a regular --

MR. ESERNER: I couldn't answer that without

-- without getting more information. But, you know,

we do call a private contract company daily. They're

the primary source of our transport to hospitals,

doctor's appointments things like that, for people who

can't go in regular transport.

But we're talking -- I think the main issue

the 911 calls we're talking about is advanced life support, not basic life support. I don't know of any contract companies that will park an advance life support resource in a parking lot 24 hours a day, dedicated.

1.5

MR. REGAN: What will -- what is your experience in terms of response times with these private companies.

MR. ESERNER: In which -- in which scenario?

MR. REGAN: It -- when there's a call for -
for the private company -- private ambulance service.

How long did it take them to respond on average?

MR. ESERNER: Honestly it could be anywhere from 15 minutes to 60 minutes depending on the situation.

MR. REGAN: 60 minutes isn't going to cut it for the residence in the room. Maybe 15 won't either.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, it's a difficult question and we have to bring it down to a simpler form. Something that -- that the Board has confidence in that the applicant will provide us with a copy of a contract that they are prepared to enter into with a reliable medical transportation source. From what I understand there will be personnel on site because of the nature of the facility, who could give CPR or give

life support services until they're transported to a hospital, number one. A little bit different than other facilities.

1.5

Secondly, you're willing -- you're committing to contracting with a reputable company for transport. But in the unlikely situation that the company you contracted with, that their truck got a flat tire, I don't know whatever the case might be, stuff does happen. That you need the opportunity to have an ambulance, a Tri-Boro come and transport someone under a medical emergency situation. And I think we have to bear that in mind with the proposed use of the property.

I think to -- to set our concerns aside, because you do manage other facilities, if you could do a little bit of research into how frequently that occurs. We're not looking to penalize anybody. But as Jerry so eloquently stated, we're not looking to jeopardize public safety in anyway. I -- I couldn't sit here and say under no circumstances will we allow Tri-Boro to transport someone to a hospital if they need hospital care. I -- I couldn't do that. But I think we need some facts to know how to deal with this.

So, I think if you could check that out for

1	us, that would
2	MR. ESERNER: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: we would find that to
4	be very helpful.
5	MR. ESERNER: Does Tri-Boro dispatch the
6	paramedics, the advance life support? Do we know
7	that?
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You know I don't really
9	know much about it.
10	(Indiscernible conversation)
11	MR. ESERNER: Okay, again because I don't
12	know the operations here, but the vast majority of our
13	cases
14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Could he communicate
15	MR. ESERNER: the hospital.
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Lorraine, could he
17	communicate directly with Heather?
18	BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Could you provide him
20	with the contact information?
21	BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The person who heads up
23	that Tri-Boro Ambulance, and maybe there can be some
24	direct communication, so we get a better understanding
25	on both sides

All right, why -- why don't we move on? 1 Okay were there any other documents that we had to 2 deal with? 3 (Indiscernible conversation) 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Jerry did you have 5 anything else we had to cover? 6 MR. VOGEL: So I don't think -- is there --7 are there any other reports --8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I don't think so, but do 9 you have anything --10 MR. VOGEL: If there's another one like 11 this, I'm going to need an ambulance. 12 (Pause in proceedings) 13 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We've marked all revised 14 plans. The other thing that I have, that I didn't 15 mark was traffic study. 16 MR. REGAN: That's already been marked. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, prepared by SNS. 18 What do you have -- in your notes have it marked as? 19 20 Or Jerry do you have the traffic study by Provident Design Engineering? 21 MR. REGAN: It may not have been entered. 22 MR. VOGEL: Yes. 23 MR. REGAN: No, that was marked, that was 24 Mr. Dempsey's report. He testified to that.

```
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: -- report that had been
1
     previously submitted.
2
               MR. REGAN: He testified about it.
3
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
4
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, do you have that
5
     marked? My -- I don't have it marked. Looks like
6
     it's dated September 1. Brian Dempsey.
7
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
8
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Was it?
9
               MR. REGAN: Yeah.
10
                       (Pause in proceedings)
11
               MR. REGAN: I don't have it marked either.
12
     I have as testimony A-2 aerial photo, A-3 -- or A-4 --
1.3
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I think for the record it
14
     should be marked. And Mr. Vogel you don't have it
15
     marked either on your notes?
16
               MR. VOGEL: No.
17
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Dempsey's traffic
18
     report. Mr. Dempsey do you have any notes on it?
19
20
               MR. DEMPSEY: Except those items A-2, A-3,
    A-4 are part of the traffic study.
21
               MR. REGAN: Oh, they were okay.
22
               MR. DEMPSEY: They were figures in -- within
23
    the traffic study. So --
24
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: What -- what were they?
25
```

```
MR. REGAN: The aerial photo, the trip
1
     generation table, --
2
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So those were component
3
    parts of the --
4
               MR. DEMPSEY: Yes.
5
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- of the overall traffic
6
     study.
7
               BOARD SECRETARY: Are we marking this?
8
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I think it should be
9
    marked. Because I think the detail included in the
10
     traffic study goes beyond that.
11
                       (Pause in proceedings)
12
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 14?
13
               MR. REGAN: Yes, A-14.
14
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, why don't we mark
15
     that.
16
               MR. REGAN: Okay, was there anything we
17
     wanted to discuss with -- Mr. Dempsey about the
18
     traffic?
19
               MR. VOGEL: He testified.
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: He did testify.
21
               MR. DOUR: All right, anything -- well now
22
     we have -- right we have some new information -- right
23
    we think -- now this time we've heard that we're going
24
     to eliminate, we'll prohibit left turns. Which, I
```

think, you know makes it a little bit of a difference
there. It will certainly help it.

You know, another thing that we've been

talking with another applicant is synchronizing some of the traffic lights. Is that anything we want to discuss with Mr. Dempsey about that?

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I would like your opinion on that, Mr. Dempsey if you're willing to share, any knowledge you might have with respect to the new method of synchronizing traffic signals, utilizing GPS signals.

MR. DEMPSEY: -- about Mr. Tim Sack to see what they actually would be doing.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.

MR. DEMPSEY: Because I had multiple conversations with him he did not bring that up. And when he marked up the plans and the suggestions for the modifications in the area, that was not -- not an issue.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.

MR. DEMPSEY: One thing to remember in terms of traffic impacts, we have significantly less traffic than the site -- redeveloped as -- you know being occupying as the office building.

MR. DOUR: Sure, I understand. We got

another applicant that, you know, we have to consider.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah.

MR. DOUR: Well, we have to consider traffic for the whole town. Right, and so with Valley Medical coming in, you know, we're very concerned about what's going on there. We've been talking with the County and with others about synchronizing some of the traffic lights in town here. And through the traffic lights that are on the list there are things that would affect your site.

So, you know, I think you guys ought to get involved a little bit and see what's going on there.

MR. DEMPSEY: Yeah, and I'll -- I can talk to Eric again, but again we're much less traffic you know as --

MR. DOUR: Agreed. I understand. I understand.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, and -- and I think that is an argument why the Board would look at this application with an eye towards approval. But we also travel Summit Avenue, some of us more frequently than others, on a pretty regular basis and it is a horrible road. And the backup that occurs during the evening hours at the intersection of Spring Valley Road and

Summit Avenue --

2 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That's correct.

3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- gets pretty ugly.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh, yeah it can really

| --

1.3

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what I have heard from the Borough Engineer was that if the traffic light at Spring Valley and Summit were synchronized with the traffic light at the corner of Summit and Chestnut Ridge Road, it would benefit all of the properties on Summit Avenue within that span. Some of them are residential, some are commercial, others are in the process of being occupied like the neighbor to the west of the subject property. And then there's your property.

So there's -- there's a lot of activity that exists on Summit Avenue and more coming.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: More coming.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, I think for you to participate with the Borough, with the synchronizing of those lights, more particularly at least with the one at the intersection of Spring Valley and Summit would serve everyone's advantage. And I think before you speak to Mr. Tim Sack you should communicate directly with Mr. Hipolit who has done a lot of

research on the subject and has been in contact with the county, and I think he could fill you in as to what that plan might be.

MR. VOGEL: If -- if you're talking about timing and synchronization and Mr. Hipolit or Mr. Dour could put together something -- would be happy to shepherd it through Eric Tim Sack's office, talk to him on a regular basis on various projects.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure.

MR. VOGEL: And -- and certainly -- you know would certainly participate in it. And not -- in participate any upgrade to the -- to the signals, but just it's timing and sequencing as I understand it.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, that's my understanding of it all. So, I'm not familiar with the details. But Chris when are you expecting Andy to be back?

MR. DOUR: I believe early next week.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, so can you convey to him -- Mr. Vogel do you want Andy to communicate directly with you or Mr. Dempsey or how -- how would you like to proceed on your side?

MR. VOGEL: Yeah, if -- if Andy would call me up I'll try and put everybody together. I'll call Mr. -- you know, I'll be willing to do that.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, does that work for 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I believe so. You were 3 mentioning that the -- especially that light at Spring 4 Valley and Summit permitting a -- a left turn out of 5 the parking lot or out onto --6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No. 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, that's been shot 8 down. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No, that's taken care of. 10 11 (Indiscernible conversation) 12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No, the Spring Valley --13 MR. DEMPSEY: Yes -- Spring Valley --14 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right, so especially in 15 the evening time or any traffic -- that light backs up 16 to -- Spring Valley as well, and the timing -- that 17 parking lot --18 (Indiscernible conversation) 19 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, I think Hipolit has a handle on that. I think he has spent 21 considerable amount of time studying that. I think 22 it's probably best we turn it over to him and see what 23 we can put together. Something has to be done. 24 Again, I agree with Mr. Vogel the intended 25

use is less than what had previously existed on the 1 property. That's a plus factor for everyone in that 2 neighborhood. But if we can make further improvements 3 I think your client should be receptive to that as 4 well. 5 Okay, we got anything else on this? We're 6 going to ask that you return. I would like for the 7 Board upon your return to go into deliberations. I 8 think we have a few unanswered questions we want to 9 deal with. We have to see if we could come up with 10 some language relative to dealing with the 11 emergency transportation of anyone from the subject 12 and I think we probably have some other things that 1.3 went on the record today that have to be considered. 14 Jerry what is your availability to return to 15 the Board for a vote on the resolution? 16 MR. VOGEL: Well your next -- meeting is 17 August 2nd, I would be prepared to return on that day. 18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Lorraine? 19 20 BOARD SECRETARY: I have two other public hearings on that day. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, we're not going to 22 23 be hearing any other testimony. BOARD SECRETARY: Okay. 24

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Because you have no other

1 witnesses.

1.3

2 MR. VOGEL: No.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: If anything we might have some correspondence that we might have to go over. I think we could put it on for August 2nd.

BOARD SECRETARY: Okay.

MS. GREEN: Mr. Chairman are we going to receive revised plans between now and then? And if so are you expecting review letters out of our office prior to that meeting?

MR. DEMPSEY: My thought was if I do a set of plans, then I get the resolution, then I got to do another set of plans. My thought would be whatever's in the resolution we'll then do a set of plans. I mean it just --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, based upon what we heard this evening, and base upon the technical reviews from both your office and Chris's office -- with respect to changes to the plan, are they that extensive?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: They're not, but I don't think we'll get them into -- ten days prior to that.

That's the only -- two days from today -- but they're not extensive.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ DEMPSEY: Whatever changes we have to

```
make, if we did a set of plans now, and then we get
1
     the resolution -- have to be another set of plans --
2
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Which, I don't want to do
3
     that. I think it's best that you return on the 2nd.
4
     Presuming we can reach an agreement on some of these
5
     open questions. We then would ask Mr. Regan to
6
     prepare a resolution for adoption of our meeting on
7
     the 16th.
8
               MR. REGAN: I can't get it done that fast,
9
     in two weeks.
10
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So you can't. So how
11
     much time --
12
               MR. REGAN: September.
13
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- do you need?
14
     September.
15
               MR. REGAN: There's a lot of material here.
16
     It's going to be the first meeting in September.
17
               BOARD SECRETARY: September 6th.
18
               MR. REGAN: That's fine.
19
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, I think that's all
20
     the more reason to have them return August 2nd because
21
     then that would give you a month --
22
               MR. REGAN: Well, yeah except I'm going to
23
    be gone from about the 20th of August to Labor Day.
24
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
                                         There is no --
25
```

MR. REGAN: I'll get it done by then. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No -- no -- no that 2 brings us to third Tuesday in September then. 3 MR. REGAN: Well I could possibly get it for 4 the first Tuesday. I can try. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, let's see how we do 6 August 2nd, and then we'll take it from there. How's 7 that? 8 MR. REGAN: Yeah, fine. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Is everybody good with 10 that one? Jerry you okay with that? 11 MR. VOGEL: Fine. August 2nd. -- respond 12 to all of the issues that were raised -- and hopefully 13 August 2nd we'll conclude. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And if any -- any 15 information that your professionals can provide from 16 their experience in operations in other health 17 facilities I think would be very, very helpful prior 18 to that meeting. Anything that could be put 19 20 together, Mr. Dempsey, Mr. Hipolit, and Tim Sack with regards to the synchronizing of the lights. I think 21 that too would be found -- found to be very helpful, 22 and we'll see if we can get wrap on this in -- in 23 short order. 24

Okay, very good, thank you very much.

1	\sim	\neg
Т	Ζ.	-/

CERTIFICATION

	CERTIFICATION 127	
1	a good evening.	
2	MR. VOGEL: see you on August 2nd, thank	
3	you.	
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.	
5	(Matter Concluded)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Certification	
15	I, Jessica Robinson, the assigned transcriber, do	
16	hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings	
17	with a total time of 02:34:35, is prepared in full	
18	compliance with the current Transcript Format for	
19	Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate non-	
20	compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded.	
21	<u>Malessica Robinson</u> Date: July 26, 2022	
22	Jessica Robinson AOC #581	
23	KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES (Date)	
24	3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203 Riverdale, N.J. 07457	
25		