1	BOROUGH OF MONTVALE PLANNING BOARD
2	
3	March 15, 2022
4	Borough of Montvale
5	12 Mercedes Drive Montvale, NJ 07645
6	
7	APPEARANCES
8	JEROME A. VOGEL, ESQ., (Jeffer Hopkinson & Vogel) Attorney for the Applicant, Gray Capital
9	
10	
11	HEARING BODY:
12	JOHN DEPINTO, Chairman FRANK STEFANELLI, Vice Chairman DODERN ZIMELLI, Environmental Commission Lieisan
13	ROBERT ZITELLI, Environmental Commission Liaison JOHN CULHANE DIETER KOELLING, Council Liaison
14	DANTE TEAGNO JOHN RYAN, Mayor's Designee
15	JAVID HUYSENOV, First Alternate
16	
17	BOARD PROFESSIONALS:
18	CHRISTOPHER DOUR, Acting Board Engineer
19	ROBERT T. REGAN, ESQ., Board Attorney DARLENE GREEN, Borough Planner
20	LORRAINE HUTTER, Board Secretary
21	
22	
23	
24	Jessica Robinson, AOC #581 King Transcription Services
25	3 South Corporate Dr., Suite 203 Riverdale, N.J. 07457 Audio Recorded

			INDEX	2
1				
2		I N D E	Z X	
3	PROCEEDING		<u>PAGE</u>	
4	Borough of M	ontvale		
5	Planning Boa		3	
6	in re: Gray	Capital, LLC	3	
7	<u>-</u>	INDEX TO	WITNESSES	
8	<u>NAME</u>		PAGE	
9	Albert Demer	ich	3	
10	Steven Napolitano 24, 62, 79			
11	Ryan McDermott 59			
12	Brian Dempsey 72			
13		INDEX TO	EXHIBITS	
14	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
15	A-8	L-100 Drawing	5	
16	A-9	L-101	5	
17 18	A-10	L-104	15	
18	A-11	Revision 2-24-22	23	
19				
20	B-4	Letter	7	
21	B-5	Letter 3-9-22	31	
22	B-6	Letter	35	
2021222324	B-7	Letter	63	
24				
25				
	II			

]
1	(Start of proceeding from requested portion)
2	
3	MR. VOGEL: With your permission, I'd like
4	to call Mr. Demerich. May I have him sworn please.
5	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
6	MR. REGAN: I'm going to swear him in as
7	well as Chris our acting engineer. Gentleman would
8	you raise your right hands please.
9	ALBERT DEMERICH, APPLICANT'S WITNESS,
10	SWORN
11	CHRISTOPHER DOUR, ACTING BOARD
12	ENGINEER, SWORN
13	MR. REGAN: Let the record reflect Chris
14	Dour our acting Board Engineer has been sworn. And
15	sir could I have your full name please?
16	MR. DEMERICH: Sure, it's Albert Demerich,
17	D-E-M-E-R-I-C-H.
18	MR. REGAN: E-M-A-R
19	MR. DEMERICH: E-R-I-C-H.
20	MR. VOGEL: Mr. Demerich would you describe
21	to the Board the profession in which you're engaged?
22	MR. DEMERICH: I am a licensed landscaped
23	architect in the State of New Jersey, New York,
24	Pennsylvania, Delaware and Virginia. I have two
25	degrees Bachelor of Science and

	4
1	MR. REGAN: Have you previously been
2	qualified in that field before any land use boards?
3	MR. DEMERICH: Yes I have.
4	MR. REGAN: I think you've previously been
5	here.
6	MR. DEMERICH: Yes, I have
7	MR. REGAN: I recommend he be deemed so
8	qualified Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The chair will accept
10	recommendation. Counsel please continue.
11	MR. VOGEL: Mr. Demerich at the request of
12	the applicant did you undertake to apply your
13	professional expertise to the design of the
14	landscaping of the site plan that's before this Board?
15	MR. DEMERICH: Yes, I did.
16	MR. VOGEL: Would you describe to the Board
17	the conditions with which you were confronted and how
18	you addressed them?
19	(Indiscernible conversation)
20	MR. REGAN: Mr. Vogel are we marking
21	anything?
22	MR. VOGEL: Mr. Demerich
23	MR. DEMERICH: This is revisions, drawing
24	L-100.
25	MR. VOGEL: Part of the package

MR. REGAN: Drawing L-100, want to mark that 1 A-8 --2 (Pause in discussion) 3 MR. DEMERICH: We are dealing with an 4 existing building on the corner of Summit and Spring 5 Valley Road. Landscape point of view. 6 frontage along Summit has existing mature --7 consisting of oak, white pine, crab apple --8 (Indiscernible conversation) 9 MR. DEMERICH: Is that -- is that better? 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes. 11 MR. DEMERICH: The interior of the site, we 12 have the building, we have existing parking lot, the 13 existing parking lot trees consisted of honey locust. 14 Again, around the perimeter we have mature vegetation 1.5 consisting of, again, oak, white pine, some spruce, 16 some deciduous maple, et cetera. 17 Okay, from Summit Ave and Spring Valley Road 18 the topography is bermed, meaning we have higher 19 elevations along the -- frontage and the interior of 20 the site is -- is lower. Hence, the interior of the 21 site is screened pretty well right now. 22 -- the next exhibit you have is A-9, which you 23 describe as -- tree pending plan. This is just a 24 rendered copy of that. And it's drawing number L-101,

Exhibit A-9.

1.3

keeping the western portion of the building, we have the new addition to the east, we have the addition of some landscaped terraces for resident's use of patios and garden walkways both upper and lower. We have new circulation going from west to east, coming around and going back from East to South. The new entrance to the facility would be on the southern end as opposed to the northern end where the existing entrance is now located.

We have additional parking outside our new entrance. We have shortened parking which is primarily the remainder of the existing parking with the new addition occurring to the east.

From a landscape point of view, we have the addition of -- I'll say a softer, more residential garden plant palate around the walkways and the pedestrian patios between the upper and lower building. We have new -- with an new entrance as well as the entrances to the proposed three-story nursing home building --

MR. VOGEL: Does that constitute all the landscaping which you provided with respect to --

MR. DEMERICH: Yes.

	7
1	MR. VOGEL: I have no further questions of
2	
3	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay why don't we
4	(Indiscernible conversation)
5	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. First,
6	let's go to Gus DiBlasio's (phonetic) review
7	technical review letter.
8	MR. REGAN: I don't think we marked that
9	yet.
10	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Which is dated January
11	25th. Does everyone have a copy of that?
12	MR. REGAN: That will be B-4.
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We're going to mark it as
14	B-4. And Mr. Demerich Mr. DiBlasio is not here this
15	evening. So, I'd like for you to do the review to
16	show that your client will comply with the
17	requirements that are set forth in this exhibit.
18	MR. DEMERICH: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Starting with Plant
20	Schedule One.
21	(Pause in discussion)
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: In review of the Master
23	Plant list indicates that all proposed shrubs should
24	be increased in sized to 24 to 30 inches in height.
25	Small shrubs are less hearty and susceptible to

mechanical and pedestrian damage. Is your client 1 willing to do that? 2 MR. DEMERICH: Yes. Unfortunately, there is 3 one exception. There is a woody ground cover --4 cactus, at mature growth it won't get higher than 12 5 inches. It is a ground cover. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, can it be replaced? 7 MR. DEMERICH: I'm using it as a ground 8 I want it to cover --9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, why can't we use 10 another ground cover that would meet the requirements 11 of Mr. DiBlasio? Or just say no you won't do it. 12 mean --13 MR. DEMERICH: Well, I -- I'm trying to --14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I don't care. 15 MR. DEMERICH: I understand -- ground cover 16 meaning it's hugging the ground. It's there to grade 17 over the walls that are there. It doesn't get any 18 higher than 12 inches that, but it will spread to 3 or 19 4 feet. That's my use of that plant material is to 20 use it as a ground cover. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, well then I'm going 22 to ask that you communicate directly with Mr. 23 DiBlasio. 24

MR. DEMERICH:

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And between the two of you come up with something that --

1.3

1.5

MR. DEMERICH: To -- to work it out.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- that the Board can be comfortable with.

Number Two, crab apples should be removed and substituted with other flowering species. Crab apples are predisposed to disease insects and pose potential tripping hazards, decaying fruit and attracts wasps and yellow jackets. Do you agree?

MR. DEMERICH: I do not agree with that.

However, I just want to explain my reasoning. I

understand he's got a problem with -- with crab

apples, and one of the reasons that we're going to use
a few of them in this site, is that along this berm we
have some beautiful existing crab apples that are 24,
16, 20 inch in caliber. They are thriving with a

minimum of care. One of the requests that I try to
blend in the new addition with the existing
landscaping. Therefore, I did use a little bit of
crab apple on the opposite side, just to reflect what
is happening along Summit. I will try to explain that
to Mr. DiBlasio.

The fruit on the crab apple variety that I have used is less than one half the width of a grape.

They are extremely small; they do not attract any more 1 insects than any other tree. I know he's got an issue 2 with crab apples. I grow crab apples on all my 3 properties. I've used them on all my other sites. 4 I've never had a problem. But if he insists upon this 5 I will change the limited amount of crab apples that I 6 have --7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, the only thing is 8 being insisted upon is that we have a landscape plan 9 that the Board finds acceptable, and we pay good money 10 to Mr. DiBlasio to write --11 MR. DEMERICH: I -- I understand. 12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- these reports. 13 MR. DEMERICH: I understand. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, you're either telling 15 me I'm wasting my money --16 MR. DEMERICH: I'm -- I'm -- what I'm saying 17 is -- given this situation because of what's happening 18 already on the site. 19 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. So, item one you 20 got to talk to DiBlasio. Item two you got to talk to 21 DiBlasio. Let's try item three. The Snow Dance Tree 22 23 Lilac, in the understory tree portion of the plant schedule in the comment section should indicate that

the proposed plant material will provide a clean

24

11 acceptable graph on the standard. 1 MR. DEMERICH: That's fine, I have no 2 problem with that. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Oh, now we're cooking. 4 Okay, the landscaping notes. Note Four should be 5 revised to state, "no wires to be used for guiding. 6 The use of plastic chain is to be used to prevent 7 bark injury since it naturally dries out and breaks 8 off." 9 MR. DEMERICH: I don't have a problem with 10 that either I changed the detail that pops up in one 11 of the other --12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Note Eight, should be 13 revised to state, all trees with pendulous branching 14 will be maintained to a height of foot to reduce 15 pedestrian and vehicular conflicts. 16 MR. DEMERICH: No problem. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Six, Note 11 should be 18 revised to state "Borough Engineer will be notified of 19 any plant quantity discrepancy and only the Borough 20 Landscape Architect will approve plant 21 substitutions." 22 23 MR. DEMERICH: No problem.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Seven, all shrubs should be increased in height 24 to 30 inches on all plant

schedules as previously mentioned. You've got a problem.

Eight, the concrete unit retaining wall detail shall be revised to provide negative flow away from the wall with the drainage swale and the drainage pipe shall be wrapped in filter fabric to ensure the pipe does not clog from silt. The pipe should be perforated, schedule 35 at a minimum to avoid being crushed from soil load. Please revise detail accordingly. Do you find that acceptable?

MR. DEMERICH: Yes, no problem.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Nine, the permeable pavers and techno block -- refers to notes not provided for our review. Please provide the reference notes?

MR. DEMERICH: Yeah, that's -- it's a company note, I will get those notes for him.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Ten, the tree planting detail refers to 10 gauge galvanized wired. This should be removed and replaced with plastic chain to avoid bark damage in tree girdle --

MR. DEMERICH: No problem. And I did fix the discrepancies that he lists further on down.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, the following discrepancies exist in plant schedule, the symbol DCN,

the symbol HBL, the symbol IGN, and symbol AF have 1 been corrected? 2 MR. DEMERICH: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, general landscape 4 comments, eleven. The -- walls located on the north 5 and west side of the new proposed three-story 6 building should be landscaped to soften the effect of 7 the large dominant structure. We suggest the shrubs 8 be placed, cascade down between the proposed -- on the 9 wall tiers as well as shrubs planted at the base of 10 the wall. 11 MR. DEMERICH: I do have a combination. 12 think probably what he's asking for is more plant 13 material at the base of the wall. Because that 14 woody ground cover that we were discussing that's 15 what's in between the walls that cascade down. So, I 16 will add some additional shrubs along the base of the 17 wall. 18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, you're okay with this 19 recommendation? 20 MR. DEMERICH: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Or is that an item you 22 want to discuss with him? 23 MR. DEMERICH: Well, I -- I -- I'm okay with 24

the recommendation.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 1 MR. DEMERICH: He will have to approve my --2 my new --3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: He'll have to recommend 4 to the Planning Board to approve it. 5 6 MR. DEMERICH: Right. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: He approves nothing. 7 MR. DEMERICH: Okay, gotcha. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. The plan does not 9 illustrate how refuse will be handled. Please 10 illustrate on the plan or be prepared to address at 11 the public hearing. 12 MR. DEMERICH: Yeah, the new set of plans 1.3 that we do have a new location for the trash dumpster 14 in the western part of the site, and that dumpster is 15 actually -- or the path for the dumpster, I should 16 say, is actually graded into the embankment. This is 17 an embankment here. So that actually acts as a 18 natural screening along with the existing plant 19 material -- on Summit and the property to the west. 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And you say it has been 21 Is that reflecting on the -moved. 22 MR. DEMERICH: I mean it has been shown. 23 Where the original plans that --24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Showed it elsewhere. 25

MR. DEMERICH: Right, it did not have it. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The revised plan that's 2 before us know shows it --3 MR. DEMERICH: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- in the location you 5 just describes? 6 MR. DEMERICH: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But his review predated 8 that movement? 9 MR. DEMERICH: That's correct. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, it's now in 11 compliance with his recommendation, gotcha. 12 Thirteen, the three -- located on south side 13 of the proposed three-story building should be moved 14 further north to protect their branches from vehicular 15 damage. 16 MR. DEMERICH: Yeah, and it's these three 17 here and I did move them further away from the aisle. 18 So, yes. 19 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And that's reflected on 20 the revised plan? 21 MR. DEMERICH: Correct. 22 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The new south entrance on 23 the south side of the existing building should be 24 enhanced with additional plant material along the 25

building foundation.

1.3

MR. DEMERICH: Okay, this is sheet L-104.

(Indiscernible conversation)

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: A-10.

MR. DEMERICH: There is an enlargement of the entrance. I've got all new plant material between the existing retaining wall and our sidewalk on both sides of the new entrance. I have flowering trees along the island as well as flowering trees along the western side of the entrance of the curbed way. I have to discuss with Mr. DiBlasio if this is sufficient, because I wasn't too sure what he meant because I think I -- I think I've landscaped the area pretty heavily now. So, I don't know if there was anything in particular.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, I think you're going to have to talk to him about that.

MR. DEMERICH: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Fifteen, it appears as if needed vegetation has encroached onto a number of existing light fixture locations. Notes and planned graphics should indicate trimming and clearing around each new light fixture at a minimum of 15 feet.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ DEMERICH: I did add the note to the -- the new set of plans.

1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The illumination plan has
2	been designed in accordance with the intent of the
3	ordinance in regard to light spillage onto adjacent
4	properties with the following exceptions which can be
5	corrected by shifting the light fixtures. Area One on
6	the main entrance road light fixture shifted south
7	to the end of the dividing island. This will reduce
8	illumination spillage onto Summit Avenue.
9	MR. DEMERICH: I didn't do the lighting.
10	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You didn't do the
11	lighting; we'll save that one for Steve then.
12	MR. DEMERICH: I should say I didn't design
13	the lighting.
14	(Indiscernible conversation)
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 16, 17, bollard
16	lights. Steven you dealt with all the lighting?
17	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, we'll deal with you
19	then on that.
20	(Pause in discussion)
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Darlene do you have
22	occasion to speak to Mr. DiBlasio?
23	MS. GREEN: Mr. Chairman, but I do have
24	his phone number.
25	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, I'd ask that you

```
communicate with him tomorrow to have him speak to Mr.
1
     Demerich, share with him the concerns of the Board.
2
     And if you cannot reach an agreement on those first
3
     two items I think we will refer your proposed plan to
4
     the Environmental commission. You would have an
5
     opportunity to meet with them. So, seeing that you're
6
     not going to be heard until May, you'll have plenty of
7
     time to get back up here and meet with them.
8
               Okay, questions from Board Members. Anyone
9
     have any questions of Mr. Demerich with regard to the
10
     proposed landscaping, or Mr. DiBlasio's comments. Or
11
     Darlene did you have concerns with regard to
12
     landscaping as well?
1.3
               MS. GREEN: I -- I have two questions Mr.
14
     Chairman --
15
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure.
16
               MS. GREEN: -- because I could wait until
17
     after the Board --
18
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No, why don't you do it
19
     now, because Mr. Demerich is going to be leaving.
20
               MS. GREEN: Perfect. Mr. Demerich on sheet
21
     -- L-101
              you have provided a table indicating what
22
23
     percent -- requirements --
               MR. DEMERICH: Right.
24
               MS. GREEN: Is there a way to either color
25
```

the areas that you're using to verify that? 1 MR. DEMERICH: Yeah, I -- I can provide that 2 exhibit. 3 MS. GREEN: Okay, perfect thank you. That 4 would be helpful so we can confirm that -- the 5 requirement. 6 And then you had provided a section on 7 another sheet illustrating the -- how the -- in the 8 front. 9 MR. DEMERICH: Right. 10 MS. GREEN: And because the dumpster 11 location has been moved, I was wondering if you could 12 do -- professional -- prepare a similar section in --13 location, similar to cross-section A --14 MR. DEMERICH: Okay. 15 MS. GREEN: I know you provided brief 16 testimony that it's being dug into this hill. 17 MR. DEMERICH: Right. 18 MS. GREEN: But my concern is we don't want 19 a dumpster or any part of that enclosure to be visible 20 to Summit Avenue, or -- to the neighbors to the west. 21 So, I think we just need some graphic to confirm that 22 those two U-sheds will be screen. Because typically 23

we have our dumpsters in the rear of the property

where this one's actually been shifted towards the

24

front portion of the site. It's a little unique. 1 So, if you could prepare that --2 MR. DEMERICH: Yeah. 3 MS. GREEN: -- that would be helpful. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And -- and why -- why was 5 the dumpster relocated to this position? 6 MR. VOGEL: The next witness will describe 7 that. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 9 MS. GREEN: That's all I have Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, anyone else have 11 any questions of Mr. Demerich? No. And before we 12 send him home, just for the record why don't we open 1.3 the meeting to the public. Anyone care to make a 14 motion to open it to the public. 15 MR. CULHANE: So, moved. 16 MR. KOELLING: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Culhane, seconded Mr. 18 Koelling. All in favor. 19 20 BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Aye. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Anyone from the public 21 wish to be heard? 22 (No verbal response) 23 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No. The chair will 24 entertain a motion to close the meeting the public. 25

1	MR. CULHANE: So, moved.
2	MR. STEFANELLI: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Culhane, seconded Mr.
4	Stefanelli. All in favor.
5	BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Aye.
6	MR. DEMERICH: Thank you very much Mr.
7	Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Darlene when you
9	speak to Gus tell him that I want another technical
10	review letter from him following his communication
11	with Mr. Demerich and also review the plan the
12	landscape plan as last revised, the 2-25.
13	MS. GREEN: I guess Mr. Chairman I don't
14	know if Mr. Demerich will be revising his plan any
15	further, so do wanted to wait?
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But I think I think
17	Gus was talking about changes that were reflected on
18	2-25.
19	MS. GREEN: Yes. Correct, but I have a
20	feeling
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But he never saw the
22	2-25.
23	MS. GREEN: I was on the 2-25 plan.
24	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
25	(Indiscernible conversation)

1	MS. GREEN: Mr. Chairman Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yes.
3	MS. GREEN: If Mr. Demerich has questions on
4	the comments in my review letter regarding landscaping
5	is he permitted are we permitted to discuss those?
6	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, but you have no
7	authority to say do this or do that.
8	MS. GREEN: Right, I have no approval
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, if he if he's
10	going to make changes we come back.
11	MR. DEMERICH: It will be more of a
12	clarification.
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Clarifications are okay.
14	MR. DEMERICH: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But professionals don't
16	vote.
17	MR. DEMERICH: Okay. No, I understand.
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
19	MS. GREEN: Thank you.
20	MR. VOGEL: Mr. Chairman with your
21	permission I'd like to recall Mr. Steven Napolitano
22	if you recall by the members of the board that Mr.
23	Napolitano previously testified in the exchange with
24	the representative of the engineering department from
25	Colliers. They were to they were to interact and
	1

1	resolve some issues and that has occurred. And I
2	believe that the witness is in position with Mr. Dour
3	to review those aspects of the site plan.
4	MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman we've got to mark
5	in the revised.
6	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Let's let's start by
7	doing that. We'll mark in as a Board exhibit
8	MR. REGAN: B-5.
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And I'm sorry what date
10	was that
11	MR. VOGEL: March 9. March 9.
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: review. March 9. And
13	Mr. Dour you are familiar with that report?
14	MR. DOUR: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And you are prepared to
16	answer any questions with respect to that?
17	MR. DOUR: Yes
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, let's marked this
19	B-5.
20	MR. VOGEL: Are these revised ones that you
21	submitted
22	(Indiscernible conversation)
23	MR. VOGEL: Mr. Regan these are revised ones
24	that have been submitted after the last meeting. I
25	suspect vou to mark them now before we start the

```
testimony and then we'll go to --
1
               MR. REGAN: Okay, that will be A-11 I guess
2
     is the next one.
3
               MR. VOGEL: All right, Mr. --
4
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Is that the 2-25
5
     revisions --
6
               MR. NAPOLITANO: 2-24-22.
7
               MR. VOGEL: Mr. Napolitano you previously
8
     testified --
9
               MR. REGAN: A-11.
10
               MR. VOGEL: -- at the prior hearing in this
11
    matter did you not?
12
               MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
13
               MR. VOGEL: And you understand that you
14
     continue to be under oath?
15
               MR. NAPOLITANO: I do.
16
               MR. VOGEL: Okay. Subsequent to that
17
    meeting did you --
18
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Is Chris under oath?
19
20
               MR. REGAN: Yes, I swore him at the
    beginning.
21
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, I'm sorry.
22
               MR. VOGEL: -- did you interact with -- with
23
    Colliers' representatives with respect to the site
24
     plan?
25
```

```
MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.
1
              MR. VOGEL: And did you in fact revise the
2
     site plan?
3
               MR. NAPOLITANO: From the February 8th
4
     letter -- we -- we did, but there's still some more --
5
               MR. VOGEL: Would you identify the drawings
6
     they have up so that --
7
               MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah.
8
               MR. VOGEL: -- we could have them marked.
9
              MR. NAPOLITANO: Sure the --
10
              MR. VOGEL: -- testify.
11
              MR. NAPOLITANO: Sure, the latest revision
12
     is 2-24-22.
13
              MR. REGAN: I thought we marked it as A-11.
14
              MR. VOGEL: And that revision is --
15
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Wait, is A-11 the packet
16
     or just that sheet?
17
               MR. VOGEL: --
18
              MR. NAPOLITANO: No, we -- we received a
19
     letter -- a series of letters, plus/minus February
20
     8th. We revised our drawing in accordance with
21
     February 8th letter, and that's what these drawings
22
23
     are.
              MR. VOGEL: And how many sheets are there?
24
              MR. NAPOLITANO: Oh, 13 site plans and 5
25
```

1 landscape plans.

1.3

MR. VOGEL: And they -- they comprise of one package --

MR. NAPOLITANO: Correct.

MR. VOGEL: -- with a revision date of 2-24-22?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes.

MR. VOGEL: Okay, I'm going to ask that they've been marked -- the package be marked as A-11.

Mr. Napolitano having submitted those revised drawings as a result of comments of Colliers originally, would you describe to the Board the changes that you undertook with respect to that report?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Sure. Sure. We added some information as to regard -- and this applies to the planning -- as well -- the February date. We revised the drawings to add -- limiting schedule, the lot coverage table that was required, we added a few variances that were listed under the planner's report that were not listed on our drawing and some existing non-conforming conditions on the first sheet of our title -- title sheet.

Our existing site plan didn't change. We indicated on this drawing, which we call Wide 1-2,

which is existing steep slopes plan. Any -- any slopes greater than 15 percent are noted on this drawing as shaded, as existing steep slopes.

1.3

On this drawing, as you can see, we have three retaining walls all 4 feet in height. Over here we have no higher than 4 feet in height within two layers of retaining walls. We've since added the fire hydrants around the perimeter. Four fire hydrants, I'll get to the utility drawing showing the loop.

We've added a location for a trash dumpster in the -- in the northwest corner of the site, keeping it outside of the side yard and away from the front yard. I drove up and down this road before I came here today, and you cannot see this corner of the site from driving east or west. And I believe Mr. Demerich -- put his cross-section together.

We've added two parking spaces, one facility van parking and one facility ambulance parking in this location here on the west side as well. We've noted that we're going to resurface -- excuse me reseal the entire parking lot and re-stripe it with -- striping. We've added all the handicap parking spaces that's required around the building.

We've added -- we've added a fire loop around the building per the fire department comments.

We relocated the water and electric lines as they proposed. We've indicated a hot box that Suez (phonetic) -- asked for the project that needs to be located no more than 125 feet from the property. Got to speak to Al Demerich about that possibly -- landscaping that -- that -- location.

1.3

We do have an existing detention basin on the property. The existing detention basin services this building and has for many years. Stormwater management calculations indicate that the detention basin functions in accordance with the 2, 10 and 25 and 100 year storms, the existing condition. The proposed condition has less impervious and obviously the detention basis is sized large enough to take the project which has less impervious.

The open item that I've been discussing with Colliers is -- is the ground water recharge and the -- and the DEP new regulations. This -- this project, although it is a disturbance over one acre it does have less impervious once proposed and does today. So, I'm not sure what the parameters are going to be to design ground water recharge for an existing site, that has been in existence for a very long time. I understand that comment when it comes to increasing impervious coverage. I understand what to do when

we're increasing impervious coverage by -- we'll 1 design the increased impervious coverage with 2 accordance with the new regulations. But when we're 3 reducing impervious coverage and the existing system 4 functions I'm going to need some guidance. There --5 what you want to do or see is this is the first I'm 6 asked to --7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, that's regulated by 8 DEP; right? 9 MR. NAPOLITANO: It is, but we have less 10 impervious coverage now, so I'm a little --11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, can't you get an 12 opinion on DEP on it? 13 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, I suppose we can reach 14 out to --15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, I think that would be 16 your course of action, get an opinion from DEP. 17 MR. NAPOLITANO: It's a good suggestion, 18 I'll do that. Thank you. 19 On the utility -- on the grading and utility 20 plan, other than the water line -- the addition to the 21 water loop, the relocation of the water lines and the 22 electric lines away from the retaining walls, 23 clarifying a -- pipe crossing that does not have a 24

conflict. We're doing mining grading as we always

have been from the beginning of the project, within the drainage easement that runs through the property. The existing pipes are -- and we're at least 8 -- 7 or 8 feet above that -- filling in that area. So that pipe isn't going to be affected at all.

1.3

1.5

steep slopes plan showing with the proposed billing addition. The areas that are affected are proposed continuation of the -- of the drive that -- around the building, here on the east side -- on the northeast side, as well as in the -- in and around the existing building here, here -- right around the exiting building over here, small area where the dumpster is over here. And that's about it, which -- which equates to 1.3 percent of the site, okay. So, we are asking for a variance for deep slope disturbance in approximately 8,350 square feet of this site, which is 638,000 square feet. So, it's a very small area.

The site lighting plan. There are no -just to start off by saying, there are no points along
the perimeter of the property in which we are more
than a half of foot candle, even at the drive
entrances as we have it, as a matter of note. We have
some existing poles that are going to remain and have
new heads on them. We're going to have some new

poles. We're also going to have some wall packs on the building. We've updated our detail sheet for the lighting to accommodate those additional wall packs on the building and updated the lighting plan accordingly. All the lighting is concentrated around the building and around the drive aisle and around the parking areas.

1.3

There are -- there are some light bollards around the perimeter of the patio areas and the walkway down below, where it enters the building at the lower elevation, 353. Finished elevation here is 365. The light bollards will be lights that we -- shining downward and outwards. We've provided those specifications on the -- on the drawings.

And of course, last but not least the soil erosion -- control plan. Showing locations for tracking pads, silk fence, super silk fence, we have an additional tracking pad here. Stockpile locations and the typical soil erosion -- control measures --

So, that's in general the modifications that were made to the plans based on those --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Before I open it up to Board Members for questions I do want to mark into evidence the engineering review letter, the technical review letter. I guess it's March 9th is

```
the latest; is that correct?
1
               MR. REGAN: I thought we might have marked
2
     that. No, we didn't.
3
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Not yet. I think we just
4
     got this one.
5
               MR. REGAN: Oh, I thought I mentioned it as
6
    B-5.
7
              CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: This is our third review
8
     letter.
9
               MR. REGAN: Is it B-5.
10
              BOARD SECRETARY: I don't -- it would be
11
    B-5.
12
               MR. REGAN: Okay, in my notes I put it on
13
     down as B-5, because I -- okay, we'll mark that as
14
    B-5.
15
               MR. VOGEL: Okay, we're marking the 3-9
16
     letter --
17
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: The March 9, technical
18
     review letter from Colliers.
19
               MR. VOGEL: It would be marked --
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: B-5.
21
               MR. REGAN: Yeah, we never marked February
22
     8th because I think Andy said it would be superseded
23
    by the subsequent letter, I think. Or it would be
24
     superseded when he talked about it.
```

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: It's just a little memo, there's only 80 paragraphs. But Mr. Napolitano -- I listened very carefully to what you had to say about things that you did with the plan. This is very unusual this project, because we're knocking down half the building and replacing it with a new half building, not a whole building. I can't think of another development in down where we put up a half of building. So, excuse me, you might have had more experience with this than we have. So, I find it a little difficult to follow. And I want to make certain when it's all said and done it's going to be what we all expect it to be.

But you made some comments like in the parking area you're going to be seal coating and restriping. A major project like this is going to be seal coated? That's -- that's kind of a patch job. I did that one year on my home to get a year or two more out of the asphalt of my driveway. I mean we're building a project of this magnitude and we're going to -- what is the condition of the existing drive that you're going to be seal coating and how would it blend with new asphalt that's being installed.

MR. NAPOLITANO: I'll take note of that, I'll speak to the client about that.

	34
1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
2	MR. VOGEL: I made a note as well Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And then you said
5	something about light fixtures. Now, you're going to
6	be utilizing what's there. What condition are they?
7	Do they go up straight? They've been there
8	(Pause in discussion)
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: They've been there for
10	35/40 years.
11	MR. NAPOLITANO: You're talking about the
12	poles?
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah.
14	MR. NAPOLITANO: Some of the poles can be
15	reused, yes.
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Some, or all? I mean how
17	many patches are we going to put on this this
18	thing?
19	MR. NAPOLITANO: I'll I'll make those as
20	well
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I mean I'd like to drive
22	by when this is all said and done and be very proud at
23	what we had a hand in. But when I look at a half a
24	building, a seal coated driveway
25	MR. REGAN: And 35 year old poles.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- and -- and poles that 1 are 35 years old, I don't want my name on that 2 resolution. 3 MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Before we open up to B-5, 5 I'm going to ask Board Members -- I guess Frank, I'm 6 starting with you. Based on the testimony that you've 7 heard this evening from Mr. Napolitano do you have any 8 comments? And then we'll go into the review letter. 9 MR. STEFANELLI: I would agree with you Mr. 10 Chairman, I mean the money -- that lighting is -- you 11 know -- and also age -- I think I -- I think I drove 12 up the driveway -- I think by the time they're 13 finished with construction they'll probably need a new 14 roadway anyway. So, I agree with you. 15 The rest of the comments I'll -- I'll wait 16 to see what the letter -- what open items are --17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good. Thank 18 you. Mr. 19 20 Koelling? MR. KOELLING: No real comments --21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good thank 22 23 you. John? MR. RYAN: No comments that aren't addressed 24 in the letter. 25

10th. I'm not sure if they've been addressed yet. MR. REGAN: They haven't been they haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mare them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.		36
MR. CULHANE: Yeah, there were certain comments from the fire department in a letter of March 10th. I'm not sure if they've been addressed yet. MR. REGAN: They haven't been they haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mark them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, very good thank
comments from the fire department in a letter of March 10th. I'm not sure if they've been addressed yet. MR. REGAN: They haven't been they haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mar them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	2	you. John?
10th. I'm not sure if they've been addressed yet. MR. REGAN: They haven't been they haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mare them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	3	MR. CULHANE: Yeah, there were certain
MR. REGAN: They haven't been they haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mark them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	4	comments from the fire department in a letter of March
haven't been marked yet. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mare them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	5	10th. I'm not sure if they've been addressed yet.
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mare them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	6	MR. REGAN: They haven't been they
them in. (Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	7	haven't been marked yet.
(Pause in discussion) CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Why don't we can mark
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	9	them in.
review letter of 1-24? MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	10	(Pause in discussion)
MR. REGAN: March March 10th. CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	11	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're referring to the
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	12	review letter of 1-24?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	13	MR. REGAN: March March 10th.
here. BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: March 10th.
BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6 Bob? MR. REGAN: A B-6.	15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, we have it right
18 Bob? 19 MR. REGAN: A B-6.	16	here.
MR. REGAN: A B-6.	17	BOARD SECRETARY: We're marking those B-6
	18	Bob?
	19	MR. REGAN: A B-6.
(Pause in discussion)	20	(Pause in discussion)
21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay John your comments	21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay John your comments
22 on 3-10?	22	on 3-10?
MR. CULHANE: do is separate 8 inch wate.	23	MR. CULHANE: do is separate 8 inch water
main loop around the building for the fire hydrants -	24	main loop around the building for the fire hydrants
25	25	

	37
1	(Indiscernible conversation)
2	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, we added a fire loop
3	around the building Oh I just think maybe we should
4	review the letter
5	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, but John had
6	questions on fire were there any other questions on
7	fire
8	(Indiscernible conversation)
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Okay, then we'll
10	go back here so let's hold on to that one and then
11	we'll go back to the B-5. John, did you have anything
12	else?
13	MR. CULHANE: No, not of
14	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Mr. Zitelli?
15	MR. ZITELLI: I have nothing to add Mr.
16	Chairman said in the previous
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Mr. Huysenov?
18	MR. HUYSENOV: My only question was with
19	regards to the item in the letter. So, we are
20	MR. NAPOLITANO: Can you repeat that?
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, I think before we
22	get to 24 yeah, let's start from the beginning of
23	that review letter, otherwise we're going to get
24	jumping all around.

1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. So, let's go to
2	the technical review letter entitled Engineering
3	Review Number Three, marked into evidence as Exhibit
4	B-5. It states that the current comments are provided
5	in bold and italics. And has before we go into the
6	various paragraphs. Has an application been made to
7	waive the requirement of an EIS submission?
3	MR. REGAN: I'm not sure that was I think
9	it might have been part of the application, let me
10	look. They have not submitted an EIS to the best of
11	my knowledge. I think they're requesting
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And are you planning on
13	
14	MR. REGAN: a waiver.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: submitting an EIS or
16	are you seeking a waiver.
17	MR. NAPOLITANO: We were always a waiver
18	
19	MR. VOGEL: I I believe my correspondence
20	asked for a waiver.
21	MR. REGAN: Yeah, I think that's correct.
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what are the grounds
23	for that request?
24	MR. NAPOLITANO: This is a mature site
25	previously developed. It is not it is not a site

that's being developed for the first time. It is repurposing an existing structure and adding to it.

And the impervious surface that exists currently is being reduced, according -- argue that -- its existence and the fact that we're reducing the impervious surface and reusing the existing structure that it would be -- to do an Environmental Impact Statement, and -- and the purpose of such a statement would -- is not directly -- site -- such as this.

MR. REGAN: It's not specifically requested in the application but by inference he's asking for it on the record. But you'd have to review the criteria.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, my concern is, and I -- I don't know if it's shared by the Board Members. My concern is the fact that the site yes had previously been developed, but with a completely different use. It was an office use. And it had been an office use for the past 35 or 40 years, whatever it might have been.

MR. NAPOLITANO: With the existing -- existing paving and site --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No, I understand, but allow me to finish. Since that office building, that site was developed upland from the site there's been

other development. We've had other residential 1 developments, the Havanian (phonetic) project which is 2 a large project. The -- the properties along Summit 3 Avenue could -- the development that occurred in that 4 area over the past 40 years have impacted this 5 property from an EIS point of view. I don't know, I'm 6 not an engineer. Chris is that reasonable or 7 unreasonable? 8 MR. DOUR: I think there's going to be some 9 impacts, like an updated valuation of the impacts on 10 the area. It's not unusual, but everything --11 everything that is there is existing -- noted they are 12 reducing the impervious coverage. 1.3 MR. REGAN: We haven't heard any testimony 14 about the criteria for an exemption. 400-132 spells 15 out --16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm sorry --17 MR. REGAN: -- about a dozen standards. 18 MR. NAPOLITANO: I did, and I can repeat it 19 if you want. 20 MR. REGAN: Was it in any kind of report. 21 don't remember --22 MR. NAPOLITANO: I didn't a submit a report 23 that -- one of the planning board meetings I had gone 24

through 400-132 with the exceptions --

MR. REGAN: Okay. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- do you have a 2 recollection of that John? 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I do. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Does everybody have a 5 recollection of that. 6 MR. REGAN: -- my notes. 7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I don't. 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, I just wanted --9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I mean I for one would 10 like to have you repeat that, even if you summarize 11 it. 12 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, sure. 13 MR. REGAN: Yeah he -- he did -- he did 14 reference it. I'm looking at my notes now, February 15 1st he went to seek an exemption from me. I asked --16 the criteria if any --17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, why don't we have 18 Steve summarize. If you have -- if you have your 19 20 notes just share with us. MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, absolutely. It's 21 section 400-132 exemptions from the Environmental 22 Impact Statement. Exemptions for the following 23 reasons. 24 A, the soil will be stabilized by soil 25

erosions -- control measures which have been indicated 1 on the site plan. 2 B, although there was an increase -- there 3 was an increase in impervious coverage, the decrease 4 in impervious coverage, the detention basin is 5 designed for the 2, 10, and 100 years storms. There's 6 no increase in stormwater flow. 7 There's no effect on springs, Letter C. 8 Letter D, no potential effects on animals or 9 significant plant species in that area. 10 E, no potential increase in air or water 11 pollution -- by the development. 12 F, no effect on critical slope areas. As we 13 went through that we did have a small percentage, 1.3 14 percent of the site, will be disturbing the 15 percent 15 or greater. So, I should say that there's minimal 16 affect regarding steep slopes considering the -- the 17 -- the small amount compared to the entire site. 18 There are no problems related to rock 19 removal on the property. There's approximately 9300 20 -- 9,083 cubic yards of mixed soil to be exported from 21 the site. 22 There's no potential noise pollution. 23 No increase in industrial waste. 24 No increased problems projected for

industrial or non-industrial waste. 1 And there doesn't appear to be any 2 peculiarities to the site to maybe warrant that. 3 repeating what I had mentioned, and we respectfully 4 request a waive to --5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, major soil moving 6 permit. Has an application --7 MR. REGAN: Hasn't been -- hasn't been 8 applied for yet to the best of my knowledge. 9 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Was that noticed in the 10 hearing. 11 MR. VOGEL: Yeah. 12 MR. REGAN: No, I don't think it was. 13 an application for the soil permit filed? I don't 14 have a copy for it. 15 MR. VOGEL: No, I don't think so. I don't 16 believe we filed one --17 MR. REGAN: Okay. 18 MR. VOGEL: We were going to file it after 19 we knew what the site plan would look like after --20 here because it is an existing building and 21 additionally we didn't know what the addition would 22 look like. And when we got this far we -- be able to 23

MR. REGAN: Well, an application will be

calculate the soil --

required --1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're going to have to 2 3 MR. REGAN: -- and they'll need to 4 re-notice. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You'll have to re-notice, 6 because the public hearing is required --7 MR. VOGEL: Yeah. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- in connection with the 9 major permit. 10 MR. REGAN: Yeah. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, we'll make a note of 12 that. Chris, with respect to the calculations that 13 were provided --14 MR. NAPOLITANO: Excuse me, I made an error 15 in my number that I have you. Not 9,083. I'm sorry. 16 Total soil moment, was what I said at the last one, 17 was 6,060 cubic yards of total soil movement. 18 (Indiscernible conversation) 19 20 MR. NAPOLITANO: 232 cubic yard fill, 5,833 cubic yards of cut. Added together, total soil 21 movement of 6,060 cubic yards. 22 23 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And --MR. NAPOLITANO: -- cubic yards of soil 24 that's going to be exported from the site is 5,601. 25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And you expect those 1 numbers to hold. 2 MR. NAPOLITANO: To hold, unless this --3 some change that -- in general, that number should 4 hold. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 6 MR. NAPOLITANO: Again, sorry about that 7 9,083, I'll repeat that is incorrect. 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 9 MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay why don't we -- why 11 don't we move on to Board Exhibit Five. And Chris I'm 12 going to have you address each of the paragraphs and 1.3 then if necessary have Steve respond. 14 MR. DOUR: Okay, thank you Mr. Chairman. 15 The -- item number five, the wetlands -- they provided 16 testimony that they're not going to be doing any 17 construction in the wetlands which are in the south 18 end of the property, so we took no exception to that. 19 20 Mr. Napolitano did provide testimony on the existing and proposed steep slopes and I believe what 21 was the -- the slopes to be impacted? 22 MR. NAPOLITANO: Steep slopes 1.3 percent of 23 the site, which equates to --24 MR. DOUR: 8350? 25

MR. NAPOLITANO: I'm almost -- I'm almost there. Yes, 8,350 square feet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DOUR: And the next thing we discussed -- we touched on -- Napolitano -- before, this is unusual because of the amount of the disruption and the amount of -- surface is fairly large. But -- but at the same token the amount of impervious coverage is being reduced. So, we -- Mr. Napolitano and I discussed -- where a good suggestion would be to have him reach out to the DEP to see how this would be handled -- nature. Absent that, and we don't get any resolution from them, then we'll work out something so that we can address the intent of the major development -- ordinance that we handle some of the -parking lot as well as infiltration and we do have -detention basin in the back. So that this will bring the development up to -- as close as we possibly can to what's --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.

MR. DOUR: Number Nine, the aerial photographs -- back to the architectural plans so that the -- comment that was noted that the aerial photograph is really not that visible. So, I don't think that has changed. At least I haven't seen --

Items 10, 11, 12 -- the roof mounted -- I

don't know if Darlene wants to discuss that -- the 1 roof mounted equipment, just make sure there's nothing 2 visible from Summit Avenue --3 I don't know if that's -- architects --4 I think we'd have to hear CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 5 from the architect on that. 6 MR. DOUR: Fire lanes have been addressed. 7 We just touched on the soil movement, rather than 8 going through all of this and then having it come back 9 to the Board -- make no sense. 10 MR. VOGEL: Yeah, can I interject. Now that 11 Mr. Napolitano is giving you the numbers, since we're 12 coming back on May 3rd I'll file that application 1.3 between now and then, so you'll have it to review for 14 that meeting. 15 MR. REGAN: You may want to provide the 16 notice too. So, you --17 MR. VOGEL: Yeah, I will. 18 MR. REGAN: Okay. 19 MR. DOUR: 16 on the soil -- now, and I 20 apologize that it -- meeting that the applicant had 21 indicated -- storage on site. So, there's not going 22 to be any -- I mean not -- it's not just storage and 23 equipment and supplies, but no snow stored on site. 24

Everything's going to be moved off site -- the snow --

MR. NAPOLITANO: There's going to be no snow 1 equipment on site. 2 MR. DOUR: Okay, but snow -- snow storage, 3 actually what we've done with other projects is have 4 an area -- area set aside that when the plow comes in 5 they push the snow up and -- and that's like 6 considered snow storage areas for the actual snow 7 itself. So, that's something --8 MR. NAPOLITANO: Is that a comment --9 MR. DOUR: Yeah, we'd like to see. 10 MR. NAPOLITANO: Which comment is that? 11 MR. DOUR: That is 16. 12 MR. NAPOLITANO: It says the applicant has 13 indicated no removal equipment and we take no 14 exception. 15 MR. DOUR: It should have also mentioned 16 about snow storage areas. 17 MR. NAPOLITANO: Oh, what are you asking for 18 that? 19 20 MR. DOUR: Just show -- areas -- areas on the site that in the event of snow -- snow, that 21 you're going to storing snow that the plow pushes up 22 23 or whatever. MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay. Thank you. 24 (Indiscernible conversation) 25

MR. DOUR: Because that's kind of a mixed 1 bagger. 2 MR. VOGEL: Put it in the area where there 3 -- where we're going to -- parking lot --4 (Indiscernible conversation) 5 MR. DOUR: Next comment is about the EIS --6 discussed. They updated the square footage, so we 7 have -- included the square footage, we have the 8 existing building -- 76,000 square feet. The proposed 9 addition is 109,000 -- 110,000 square feet in total. 10 The total building is 185,964 square feet. 11 The applicant updated the lot coverage 12 information on that sheet. We discussed that before. 1.3 Steep slope plans, we discussed that as well. The 14 applicant is providing a variance regarding the number 15 of parking spaces -- under 2300. 16 24, it was discussed about the parking. 17 one of the comments that we have is that the parking 18 spaces in the east side of the building, there's no 88 19 parking spaced there. So, where you do have --20 accessible -- the other side of the building. So, is 21 there any reason why there's none there --22 (Indiscernible conversation) 23 MR. NAPOLITANO: Well, we have -- well I 24

know that -- 50 percent of your entrances and I

believe we have it more than 50 percent of the 1 entrances. You have to cross a drive aisle to get 2 there -- parking lot configuration. 3 MR. DOUR: Do you know is that -- that area 4 is going to be used -- is that going to be accessible 5 to the public? Is that --6 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, it would -- it 7 potentially could be, I suppose. I don't know what 8 that's slated for that parking lot. I'm not 100 9 percent sure of --10 (Indiscernible conversation) 11 MR. DOUR: -- parking spaces there as well. 12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: While -- while we're 13 talking about parking spaces there's the ADA that now 14 the new requirement for the electric charging, has 15 that been taken into consideration with the plan? 16 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And where do you propose 18 and how many do you propose? 19 MR. NAPOLITANO: 15 of them, as per the 20 comments. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And is 15 consistent with 22 23 code? MR. NAPOLITANO: This is a very new code --24 comment and I put 15 spaces for the -- very new code, 25

so I'm not 100 percent familiar with it. 1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Bob, what's your 2 familiarity with it? 3 MR. REGAN: Yeah, Darlene and I both looked 4 at this, I think 15 will do it. I think D-2 is the 5 applicable section. We had a discussion as to whether 6 it could be considered a multi-family type use. Both 7 the planner and I believe that that's not an 8 appropriate designation that it would be D-2, which 9 essentially covers all -- types of uses. And 15 would 10 do it. I think it was 14.56 rounded up to 15, 11 something like that. 12 MR. NAPOLITANO: We've indicated in --13 fashion. 15 spaces in the front, two of which are 14 handicapped and 13 others that are EV spaces. 15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And why are --16 (Indiscernible conversation) 17 MR. REGAN: I'm sorry, two are handicapped 18 and 13 are electric vehicle? 19 MR. NAPOLITANO: Of the 15 two are them are 20 going to be --21 MR. REGAN: Oh, okay. 22 MR. NAPOLITANO: -- handicapped spots -- 2 23 handicap spots and 13 regular. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And why was that location 25

chosen versus anywhere else on the property. 1 (Indiscernible conversation) 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Who's request? 3 (Pause in discussion) 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I -- I understand putting 5 ADA parking space in close proximity to an entry way 6 of a building and not crossing any access aisles. But 7 electric charging stations for cars do they have to be 8 close to the front door --9 MR. NAPOLITANO: They -- they don't. They 10 don't. 11 MR. REGAN: Yeah, I --12 MR. VOGEL: The only thing I'm aware of in 13 the statute is that I think it's -- parking lot over 14 50 spaces you have to have a percentage of spots. 15 I don't remember what the percentage is. But my 16 recollection doesn't state where they have to be 17 located. 18 MR. REGAN: I -- I think Mr. Vogel's 19 correct. And I -- I can just speak from a personal 20 experience where I had to take a family member to 21 Valley Hospital testing site in Paramus. The spaces 22 closest to the entry were all electric vehicle 23 charging spaces. 24 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And they were empty. 25

MR. REGAN: And they're totally empty. And 1 I had to park -- I mean the person I was taking was a 2 little bit disabled. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, if you go --4 MR. REGAN: It was insane. 5 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- if you next door to 6 Wegmans you'll see they have their spaces -- vehicles 7 parked but none of them plugged in. People recognize 8 that it's a good place to park close to the front 9 door. I mean --10 MR. REGAN: Well, these you couldn't park in 11 unless you had an electric vehicle. 12 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, you're not supposed 13 to. 14 MR. REGAN: Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But who's enforcing that? 16 MR. REGAN: I wasn't going to take a chance. 17 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Is it the -- is it the 18 appropriate location; that was my question. 19 20 MR. NAPOLITANO: It's as good as any location of any -- as any others. I mean they should 21 be located together so that the power and electric 22 gets -- gets to it. They should be located it in a --23 I mean I personally have no objection to 24 moving these electric vehicles to another location on 25

the site. I don't think the -- the applicant has --1 has a preference either. And if the Board would like 2 to see them in a different area I'm sure that the --3 that the applicant would be amenable. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Chris --5 MR. DOUR: The only -- the main -- the main 6 entrance which is where -- so that's the main entrance 7 for the entire facility? 8 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 9 MR. DOUR: Okay, so visitors coming in to 10 see anybody on that -- building will be going -- so 11 the other entrance is at the south east corner --12 northeast corner, that is down below in the new 1.3 building -- northeast. That one -- that one is for 14 what? 15 MR. NAPOLITANO: Ambulance. 16 MR. DOUR: Just strictly for ambulance. 17 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah. 18 MR. DOUR: So, if -- if that's where the 19 bulk of the people coming in are going to park then 20 that would make -- sense --21 MR. NAPOLITANO: I mean we could -- we could 22 23 also move -- move -- move them to --CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, but I'm seeing 24 those spaces empty.

```
MR. DOUR: Well, okay.
1
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Now maybe in 5 or 10
2
     years.
3
               MR. NAPOLITANO: We got to plan for the
4
     future.
5
               MR. DOUR: So maybe -- maybe move them down
6
     to -- not down --
7
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, John Culhane has
8
     something to say on this. John?
9
               MR. CULHANE: -- the one -- I think the
10
     State is encouraging these items to be installed,
11
     number one. Number two, where are the employees
12
     expected to park, primarily? I know -- traffic -- you
13
     said 50 percent are coming in spring Valley, 50
14
     percent from Summit. But where do you expect the
15
     employees to park? I mean they're the majority users
16
     of this site I would think.
17
               MR. NAPOLITANO: I -- I would imagine that
18
     the vast majority of the employees would park in the
19
20
     large lot in the back.
               MR. CULHANE: Then if that's where the
21
     employees are expected to park, space trying to
22
     encourage electric vehicles --
23
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah.
24
               MR. CULHANE: -- then I fortunately -- based
25
```

```
on what -- basically where he put it is probably --
1
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Where the employees are
2
     going to park.
3
               MR. CULHANE: Right.
4
               MR. REGAN: Yeah.
5
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: They're the ones that are
6
     going to be --
7
               MR. REGAN: They'll have the electric
8
     vehicles if anybody will --
9
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And you don't typically
10
     put your employee's parking that close to the front
11
     door.
12
               MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, like I said we could
13
     always --
14
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
15
               MR. CULHANE: -- electric vehicle --
16
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Beg your pardon? What
17
     did you say John?
18
               MR. CULHANE: I said that's their reward for
19
     getting the electric vehicle --
20
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You get a -- you get a
21
     good parking spot.
22
               MR. REGAN: For paying 60K for one.
23
                    (Indiscernible conversation)
24
               CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, I would like Mr.
25
```

- Dour and Mr. Napolitano to take a look at that. 1 Chris, go ahead. 2 MR. DOUR: We go down then to Number 26, 3 that as the moving the -- the dumpster enclosure from 4 the -- location. So that area is right now --5 concrete -- stored -- Correct? 6 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, -- the dumpster 7 location is going to be located here on the northwest 8 corner. 9 MR. DOUR: Okay, and just staying on the 10 11 12
 - dumpster discussion. I had -- I spoke to Chris Gruber today about that. He -- his concern was visually what that would look like from the street and maintenance. So, I guess the -- you testified that it's going to be built into the hill and we're going to see a profile from the architect on that.

MR. VOGEL: Yeah.

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DOUR: And -- would be -- landscaping so it's not going to be visible from Summit Avenue. The only -- and someone did provide -- I don't know if that was your office, the traffic --

MR. NAPOLITANO: It was Brian Dempsey's office that provided that.

MR. DOUR: Okay. Okay, so it looks tight going in that parking lot with a -- with a dumpster --

with a garbage truck. So, but that shows it, I quess 1 your narrowing down the making of the island smaller? 2 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes. 3 MR. DOUR: Okay. 4 MR. NAPOLITANO: In order to do that we're 5 cutting out a small piece of the island. 6 MR. DOUR: Okay. So, that's the only 7 comment we have about the dumpster location. Just 8 having it that close to --9 (Indiscernible conversation) 10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, and -- and Mr. 11 Napolitano said he drove up and down the road --12 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah, you can -- you can as 13 well. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- and you believe that 15 it will not be visible to a motorist driving east or 16 west past that property. 17 MR. NAPOLITANO: I don't believe so at all. 18 I -- I went there, I don't believe so at all. It's a 19 fairly -- fairly --20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, why don't we keep 21 going Chris. 22 MR. DOUR: Major development, we talked 23 about that. 29, on the first floor, that's kind of a 24 question for the architect. 25

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I'm sorry back up. Major 1 development, what is that issue? 2 MR. DOUR: That's the stormwater. 3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 4 MR. DOUR: So, for sheet A-201 was that 5 going to be revised to show the -- what's -- what else 6 is on the first floor --7 MR. NAPOLITANO: I don't know that answer. 8 Sheet A-201 --9 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yeah -- testimony last 10 meeting that the information shown on that sheet match 11 the -- of what's on -- it's basically excavated area 12 within -- underneath the first floor of the existing 1.3 building --14 (Indiscernible conversation) 15 MR. REGAN: Sir, could you identify yourself 16 for the record? 17 MR. MCDERMOTT: Sure, Ryan McDermott. 18 MR. REGAN: He was previously sworn. 19 MR. DOUR: So that -- so that somebody 20 coming into the new main entrance how do they get to 21 the rest of the building? 22 MR. MCDERMOTT: Sure, so you walk into that 23 lower level, which is -- lower level -- it's a -- 60 24 by 60 -- and then you take an elevator or stairs up to

the first floor of the existing building, which is the 1 grand lobby -- area. 2 The reason for that is because the parking 3 lot -- south is at a lower grade than the existing 4 first floor of the existing building. So, it will be 5 difficult to utilize that parking lot -- to get people 6 directly into the facility. It's actually about 10 7 feet higher --8 So, in excavating that portion -- underneath 9 that existing building creating that lobby people can 10 walk directly from the parking lot into that level --11 to the existing first floor 12 MR. DOUR: So, then the employees' entrance 13 will be underneath -- discussed this before, but the 14 employees' entrance where is that going to be? 15 that going to be the main entrance still? 16 MR. MCDERMOTT: No. So, the employees will 17 enter on the west south of the building, which is the 18 existing loading dock area, there's actually a --19 (Indiscernible conversation) 20 MR. MCDERMOTT: -- that employee entry area. 21 So, we don't expect employees to enter in the same

MR. DOUR: And the east parking lot would be used for -- for what -- for who?

22

23

24

25

entrance -- as the --

MR. MCDERMOTT: We don't anticipate that 1 parking lot to be used very much at all. If anything 2 -- select staff or doctors that might come directly to 3 the nursing wing itself. -- ambulance as a limited 4 control from a staffing perspective. But there are --5 nurses on that unit -- called down for that -- then 6 admit the doctor -- so the doctor wouldn't have to 7 travel through the existing building to get to the --8 So, we expect a handful of employees that 9 will come --10 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, Chris I think we're 11 12 MR. REGAN: 29 or 30. 13 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: 29 or 30, right. 14 MR. DOUR: There was a question regarding 15 the storage of -- materials and medical waste on the 16 individual floors. That's going to be addressed? 17 18 That's correct, we're --MR. MCDERMOTT: 19 20 prepare a -- of documents --(Indiscernible conversation) 21 MR. DOUR: Then we have now the 33, we 22 talked about the fire -- we -- comments from the fire 23 department, as far as -- and then the applicants 24 provide -- calculations --

MR. MCDERMOTT: I believe the applicant is 1 doing that along with the -- engineer, but I -- I know 2 that they had said that they would do it. 3 MR. REGAN: To be provided. 4 MR. NAPOLITANO: To be provided, yeah, by 5 the applicant. 6 MR. DOUR: And you're going to be doing to 7 the -- approval --8 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes. 9 MR. DOUR: The 30 foot -- as far as working 10 in proximity to that. And -- to be further away --11 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes. 12 MR. DOUR: So that was -- discussion. 13 talked -- we just talked about the turning templates, 14 we know that that was prepared by the traffic and 15 engineering? 16 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes. 17 MR. DOUR: And there's no signage proposed 18 at this time. So, my question is the applicant going 19 to come back to the Board? 20 MR. MCDERMOTT: That's my understanding that 21 the applicant understands that they're going to have 22 to come back to the Board for any kind of signage, 23 whether it be directional signage or -- signage --24

wall signage or any kind of signage. They're aware

that they have to come back to the Board for approval 1 on that. Right now, there's no sign as far as the 2 application. 3 39 was about orientation of the MR. DOUR: 4 building, that's --5 (Indiscernible conversation) 6 MR. VOGEL: The two fronts and the two 7 sides? 8 MR. DOUR: Right. 40 -- so there's been 9 some comments about the existing lighting, and some 10 lighting has been changed -- LED that may or may not 11 meet the ordinance. So, and I think the chairman 12 already discussed that -- see based on the nature of 13 this application that all -- brought up to date as far 14 as the poles. Correct me if I'm wrong John --15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That is correct. 16 MR. DOUR: So, everything would have to meet 17 the ordinance. And that's as far as color, the 18 lumens, and then I guess a revised lighting plan if 19 necessary. 20 So, then the only question is, I guess if 21 the -- stating that the parking requirements -- ADA 22 requirements meet the code -- be any -- eastern most 23 part of --24

And those revisions we talked about the

facility van and the facility ambulance; I believe 1 location parking in the west side. 2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, how -- how are we 3 going to deal with that? I thought early on we had 4 discussed with respect to the ambulance that the 5 applicant was going to contract with a private vendor 6 for call an ambulance when needed. I have no 7 recollection of ever saying that we would allow an 8 ambulance to remain on site, nor did we ever talk 9 about keeping a facility van on site. Are you 10 proposing Steve to design a garage somewhere? 11 MR. NAPOLITANO: No -- no there's no garage. 12 Just a facility van and a facility ambulance to be 13 parked there. 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, why can't you 15 create an accessory structure to hide those things? 16 MR. NAPOLITANO: Such as a garage? Is that 17 what you're referring? 18 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah. Yeah. 19 20 MR. NAPOLITANO: I can certainly talk to the applicant about that. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We also had a letter from 22 23 the Triboro Volunteer Ambulance Corps, dated March 9.

We should probably mark this into evidence.

MR. REGAN: Okay, I think we're up to B-6.

24

1	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah.
2	MR. REGAN: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I guess.
4	BOARD SECRETARY: No, I'm sorry B-6 was the
5	fire department.
6	MR. REGAN: Oh okay, that's right. So,
7	it'll be B-7.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: They're going they're
9	going on record that they cannot provide service to
10	this facility.
11	So, Steve you're suggesting putting up a
12	garage for the van and the ambulance?
13	MR. NAPOLITANO: I I'll certainly speak
14	to the client about it.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I think you should.
16	MR. NAPOLITANO: I will.
17	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I think you should.
18	MR. NAPOLITANO: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We're going we're
20	going to upgrade this John. When it's done when
21	it's done it's going to look really nice.
22	MR. VOGEL: Yeah, it's not an ambulance it's
23	a van. And I think it's what Mr. Smith testified to
24	at the last hearing.
25	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: A van.

	66
1	MR. SMITH: Yes sir.
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what about an
3	ambulance?
4	MR. SMITH: It's it's not an ambulance.
5	I think they're just using the wrong term. It's a van
6	it's a wheelchair van. So, it
7	(Indiscernible conversation)
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Here it's stated a
9	facility ambulance. Who called it that?
10	MR. NAPOLITANO: I did. It was an error on
11	my part. It must be two two parking spaces for a
12	facility van.
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, you need two parking
14	spaces for a facility van?
15	MR. SMITH: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Two vans?
17	MR. SMITH: Yes sir.
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. And where are they
19	shown on the plans?
20	MR. NAPOLITANO: They're shown on the
21	western portion of the property
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Next to the garbage we
23	can't see?
24	MR. NAPOLITANO: In the near that area
25	near the loading dock.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, so maybe if you put 1 it in that area by the dumpster, which you said is not 2 visible from the road that might make a sense without 3 having to build a garage. You could find a good spot 4 5 MR. NAPOLITANO: Sure, thank you for that. 6 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay Chris please 7 continue. 8 MR. DOUR: The -- I think we jumped down to 9 10 MR. VOGEL: Chris, what number are we on? 11 MR. DOUR: I think 49, just -- the sewer 12 lines, to make sure that they're -- good condition. 13 Steve 49? 14 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, the applicant is going 15 to have to agree to that. 16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Chris why is a 17 treatment works approval required? 18 MR. DOUR: See -- I -- what --19 MR. NAPOLITANO: Based on 180 beds times --20 I can't remember the number of stuff in my head, I 21 don't have that file with me. It's over an additional 22 8,000 gallons per day of sanitary sewer flow, so a 23 treatment works approval is required. That's going to 24 be the same the property next door at 110 -- assisted 25

living facility. So, any -- any development that 1 produces more than 8,000 -- 8,000 gallons per day 2 requires --3 in this --4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what -- where will 5 that be located on the site? 6 MR. NAPOLITANO: It's not actually. It's an 7 application given to the -- to Bergen County Utility 8 Authority to the DEP and it kind of makes it way 9 around so everybody can get their signatures on it. 10 The sanitary sewer leaves the building -- existing 11 building very close to where we're connecting here. 12 So -- it's pretty simple actually. 1.3 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Is this -- is this 14 facility above ground or beneath ground? 15 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yeah -- yeah -- no these 16 are existing pipes. We're not changing any sanitary 17 on this property. None of the sanitary lines are being 18 changed --19 20 MR. DOUR: -- paperwork is called that treatment works approval, but there's no actual 21 treatment being done on site. It's because they're -22 they're generating more capacity -- more gallons of 23 sewage. That they have to get approval from the --24

the Borough has to acknowledge it and endorse it.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Okay. 1 MR. DOUR: It's -- paperwork. 2 MR. NAPOLITANO: The Borough Administrator 3 got involved last time. 4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. 5 MR. DOUR: We talked about, I guess, Steve 6 -- the applicant provided testimony. The -- you know 7 the parking spaces, I guess changed to 369 -- most 8 recent --9 MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, 369 spaces are 10 proposed. 411 are existing and a total of 369 are 11 proposed. So, a reduction of 42 spaces. 12 MR. DOUR: Okay, next number 58 was about 13 the EIS which we discussed. Then go down to 60C --14 development --15 (Indiscernible conversation) 16 MR. DOUR: Number 63 was about major soil 17 movements and we discussed that as well. And then 18 number 66 we discussed too, resealing and re-striping 19 20 the parking lot versus redoing the parking lot -attorney -- construction work on this site. 21 Number 68 refers to the fire department, 22 23 which we do have their memo. And the -- agreed -- I think they've already incorporated some of them. 24 Right Steve?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Which one?

1.3

MR. DOUR: The fire department memo with the fire hydrants and the -- that they requested?

MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, 310 we provided -text is coming from the client, along with their
planning engineer, I don't believe that they provided,
number one. Number two, we provided an 8 inch water
serving the hydrants. We do have separate -- for fire
and domestic. The fire department did have comments
as it relates to the location. They were kind enough
to show and to include it in their comments on the
last page a sketch showing where they'd like to see
them. So, I very much appreciate that. We'll update
our drawings based on this March 10th memo from the
fire department as it relates to that.

The fire department connections, number five, number six, number seven, no problem. The roadways are not 30 feet wide, and we did mark "no parking fire zones." Fire zones to be located along buildings. We'll update the drawings -- along the buildings.

Providing a -- box and any kind of -- any other recommendations from the fire department as it relates to the -- box. The center island, I know that the fire department is asking for the center island to

removed. I spoke with Andy Hipolit quite -- quite a lot about that. He does not want that center island to be removed. He had said leave the center island, make those curbs angled curbs. So, we provided detailed --

1.3

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Show on the plans Steve.
MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes sir.

This island right here is existing. This is the entrance -- oh, let me give you a clearer -- this island is existing. This is drawing -- two. People come into the site; people leave the site. This island here is what the fire department has suggested to -- they like it to be removed in its entirety. It would make this drive aisle -- people wouldn't even know where they were going in my opinion. Andy Hipolit and I discussed it and he said they're worried about -- they're likely worried not being, let's say, able to get onto the curb. I'm guessing.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Does the island prohibit left turn out?

MR. NAPOLITANO: That's -- that's going to be -- that's going to be discussed with Brian Dempsey. He's the traffic engineer who's in contact with Eric Timsack (phonetic) and --

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Mr. Zitelli you had

1	some concern I recall with respect to that, didn't
2	you?
3	MR. ZITELLI: On this one?
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Yeah, the left turn out?
5	MR. ZITELLI: Oh yeah I mean making a left
6	turn out of here is going to be very difficult at
7	certain times of day. Right, because the traffic on
8	Summit
9	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I always spoke about
10	right in/right out.
11	MR. VOGEL: We're still in the process of
12	talking to the County about that.
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And
14	MR. VOGEL: They haven't gotten back to us.
15	I expect that they'll be back to us before we come
16	back next time.
17	MR. NAPOLITANO: Brian has a wealth of
18	knowledge with regard to that.
19	MR. DEMPSEY: Yeah, I've I've been
20	talking with with Eric and Andy we're just
21	trying to finalize everything.
22	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what what's
23	Timsack's position?
24	MR. DEMPSEY: He hadn't made a final
25	decision vet, but he was going to listen he wanted

to listen to what the Borough's --1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And what -- what's your 2 professional opinion? Should it be restricted to 3 right in/right out? 4 MR. DEMPSEY: I think -- handle it right 5 in/right out -- traffic that's there. One of the 6 things that was talked about --7 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Well, from a safety point 8 of view, would that be your recommendation, right 9 in/right out? 10 MR. DEMPSEY: Right out -- yes. 11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay then someone wanting 12 to go west on Summit Avenue they could exit onto 1.3 Spring Valley Road, go to the controlled intersection, 14 turn left and go west on Summit. 15 MR. DEMPSEY: Correct, yes. 16 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And this is what you're 17 advocating and talking to Timsack? 18 MR. DEMPSEY: So, we're talking to him about 19 it. Andy has -- other towns out there and we -- asked 20 that information and -- to the county -- together. 21 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Right, I personally think 22 it's a disaster to make a left hand turn out of that. 23

And if the County comes along and says that they want

it, I think they'll hear from us on that.

MR. DEMPSEY: Right and --1 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We -- we better -- yes, 2 we'd better be very, very careful with that. That 3 could open up Pandora's box. 4 MR. DEMPSEY: A lot to consider. 5 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, every morning and 6 every afternoon. 7 (Indiscernible conversation) 8 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you. 9 MR. NAPOLITANO: Mr. Chairman the -- the 10 entrance that Mr. Napolitano is talking about -- curb, 11 is the exact same thing that we have at the 12 intersection of --1.3 (Indiscernible conversation) 14 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Can mount it if 15 necessary. 16 MR. NAPOLITANO: Exactly. So that's --17 that's -- so that's different than what's out there 18 now, but it meets both the intent of the --19 (Indiscernible conversation) 20 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: But is it sufficient to 21 prohibit the left hand turn, or is it just to 22 discourage? 23 MR. NAPOLITANO: It -- it can be made to 24 discourage and --

	75
1	(Indiscernible conversation)
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay.
3	(Indiscernible conversation)
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, good. I think
5	we've covered the letter. Chris?
6	MR. DOUR: Yes we have.
7	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, I presume Mr.
8	Napolitano will be back for the meeting.
9	MR. VOGEL: HE will.
10	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: On May what is it
11	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: The 3rd.
12	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: May 3rd. Steve will you
13	be back?
14	MR. NAPOLITANO: Yes, sure.
15	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And I'd ask Board Members
16	if you have any questions to carry them to that date
17	rather than extend the meeting tonight. At that
18	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Mr
19	MR. VOGEL: In order to make each of the
20	meetings productive as possible.
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: What you don't like the
22	progress we've made?
23	MR. VOGEL: No, I do.
24	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Oh okay.
25	MR. VOGEL: But there were some issues

raised this evening and I'd like to give clients some 1 advice as to how I think -- react to comments --2 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: I think they're good --3 MR. VOGEL: -- decisions --4 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: -- I think they're good 5 listeners, I saw them out there nodding affirmatively. 6 So, they're smart enough to know do what Montvale 7 wants. 8 MR. VOGEL: -- indication that -- there was 9 some indication about the 35 year old parking lot and 10 it's being resealed and the --11 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Light poles. 12 MR. VOGEL: -- the existing light stanchions 13 are there. Is there -- is there a -- take back to my 14 client to --15 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Use -- tell to use their 16 best judgment. 17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What is the life span on 18 these -- you know --19 CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: We're not the designers 20 of the project, we're just the review agency. We've 21 shared with you some of us our opinion. I did. They 22 could listen or ignore it. However, I will give you 23 one bit with respect to the EIS, I will pole the 24 Board, which is something I do normally with respect 25

	77
1	to your request for a waiver for submission of an EIS.
2	Starting with Frank. Frank are you okay waiving EIS?
3	MR. STEFANELLI: Yes I am.
4	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Dieter?
5	MR. KOELLING: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. John?
7	MR. RYAN: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Dante?
9	MR. TEAGNO: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: John?
11	MR. CULHANE: Yes for the waiver.
12	(Indiscernible conversation)
13	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: So, you're off the hook
14	on EIS.
15	MR. VOGEL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: You're welcome.
17	MR. VOGEL: We shall see you on the 3rd.
18	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: That will cost you a
19	parking lot and new lights.
20	(Pause in discussion)
21	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay, thank you we'll see
22	you in May.
23	MR. VOGEL: Thank you.
24	(Pause in discussion)
25	MR. VOGEL: Okay good night everyone.

7	0
/	0

CERTIFICATION

	CERTIFICATION 78
1	BOARD MEMBERS: (Collectively) Good night.
2	CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Have a safe trip home.
3	
4	(Matter Concluded)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Certification
13	I, Jessica Robinson, the assigned transcriber, do
14	hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings
15	on disc, from approximately 9:19 p.m. to 10:54 p.m.,
16	is prepared in full compliance with the current
17	Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a
18	true and accurate non-compressed transcript of the
19	proceedings as recorded.
20	Stalessica Robinson Date: March 22, 2022
21	Jessica Robinson AOC #581
22	KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES (Date)
23	3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203 Riverdale, N.J. 07457
24	
25	